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1. Introduction

This contribution summarizes the following email discussion in AI 7.2.11 regarding Rel-16 NR UE features.

[100b-e-NR-UEFeatures-Remaining] Email discussion/approval of remaining issues (especially the one identified as low priority items in FL’s summaries) starting no earlier than 4/30 till next meeting – Hiroki (DCM)/Ralf (ATT)

Companies are encouraged to check further updates for UE features list based on R1-2003073 shown below and provide feedback if any. Please note that the target of this email discussion is to reflect agreeable updates rather than solving any controversial discussion point. If there is any controversial discussion point, it should be discussed in the next RAN1 meeting.

1. NR\_2step\_RACH

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Features | Index | Feature group | Components | Prerequisite feature groups | Need for the gNB to know if the feature is supported | Applicable to the capability signalling exchange between UEs (V2X WI only)”. | **Consequence if the feature is not supported by the UE** | **Type****(the ‘type’ definition from UE features should be based on the granularity of 1) Per UE or 2) Per Band or 3) Per BC or 4) Per FS or 5) Per FSPC)** | Need of FDD/TDD differentiation | Need of FR1/FR2 differentiation | Capability interpretation for mixture of FDD/TDD and/or FR1/FR2 | Note | Mandatory/Optional |
| 9. NR\_2step\_RACH | 9-1 | Basic channel structure and procedure of 2-step RACH | 1. RACH type selection for CBRA according to SSB-based RSRP threshold
2. msgA PRACH resource configuration including separately configured ROs not applicable to 4-step RO configuration and fully or partially shared ROs but different preamble sequences partitioning with 4-step RO preamble sequences configuration
3. msgA PUSCH resource (DMRS included) and waveform determination for 2-step CBRA
	1. Supporting up to two msgA PUSCH configurations in an UL BWP
	2. Supporting a separate or common transform precoder configuration for 2-step CBRA, when 2-step CBRA and 4-step CBRA co-exist
	3. [Supporting intra-slot frequency hopping for msgA PUSCH transmission when NR Rel-15 waveform is used]
4. Validation of MsgA PRACH and PUSCH
5. Mapping between preamble of MsgA PRACH and PUSCH occasion with DMRS resource of MsgA PUSCH
6. msgB monitoring and decoding for 2-step CBRA
	1. (for UE in any RRC state) monitoring msgB PDCCH with CRC masked by msgB-RNTI in Type-1 CSS set, and decoding multi-cast msgB PDSCH carrying SuccessRAR, FallbackRAR and BI
	2. (for RRC connected UE only) monitoring msgB PDCCH with CRC masked by C-RNTI in USS set, and decoding the unicast PDSCH carrying absolute TA MAC CE
7. PUCCH transmission for HARQ-ACK feedback to a msgB
8. Power control for msgA PRACH, msgA PUSCH and PUCCH carrying HARQ-ACK feedback to msgB
 |  | Yes | N/A | UE cannot initiate a 2-step RACH process, and thus would not be expected understand the 2-step RACH configurations | per band | N/A | N/A | N/A |  | Optional with capability signalling |
| 9. NR\_2step\_RACH | [9-3] | [Parallel MsgA and SRS/PUCCH/PUSCH transmissions across CCs in inter-band CA] | [Parallel MsgA and SRS./PUCCH/PUSCH transmissions across CCs in inter-band CA with msgA in PCell/PScell] | 9-1TBD | Yes | N/A | UE cannot transmit an MsgA and other UL transmissions in parallel across CCs in inter-band CA | Per BC | N/A | N/A | N/A |  | Optional with capability signalling |
| 9. NR\_2step\_RACH | [9-4] | [MsgA operation in a band combination including SUL] | [MsgA operations in a band combination including SUL] | 9-1, 6-16 TBD | Yes | N/A | UE does not support msgA operations in a band combination including SUL | Per BC | N/A | N/A | N/A |  | Optional with capability signalling |
| 9. NR\_2step\_RACH | [9-5] | [intra-slot msgA PUSCH FH with non-zero GP] | [intra-slot msgA PUSCH FH with non-zero GP] | TBD | Yes | N/A |  | [Per band] | N/A | N/A | N/A |  | Optional with capability signalling |
| 9. NR\_2step\_RACH | [9-6] | [up to X of msgBs per slot/within the msgB window] | [up to X of msgBs per slot/within the msgB window] | TBD | Yes | N/A |  | [Per band] | N/A | N/A | N/A |  | Optional with capability signalling |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Company | Comment |
| Nokia | * 9-3: Do not introduce the FG. The FG does not make sense for initial access, as for such case the UE could simply rely on 4-step RACH. The gNB would anyway not know the capability during initial access. As optional FG, the potential use cases are much more limited, as gNB may potentially utilize the information of the capability in case of UE in RRC connected mode only.
* 9-4: Do not introduce the FG. Reasoning is essentially the same as for 9-3.

We would like to observe that during the online discussions there was a clear trend on not introducing the above FGs, and we hope that it would be a non-controversial decision that we could take in this email discussion.* 9-5: Frequency hopping is supported by default, guard period between MsgA PUSCH occasions are supported by default. Thereby, having the guard period between frequency hops should also be supported by default. If this is not acceptable, it would be preferable to drop the feature completely and clean up the specifications (would be relatively simple to remove – and the technical solution in RAN1 to provide the gap combined with SLIV indication has not been implemented yet).
* 9-6: Do not introduce the FG. When monitoring for the MsgB, the UE will simply monitor configured search spaces for DCI. Also, for initial access the gNB would not know this feature and would hence not be able to act accordingly. And for connected mode, it would not make much sense to have limitations compared to initial access. It should be noted that there are no UE features for restrictions on monitoring for Msg2 for 4-step RACH.
 |
| CATT | For 9-1, there are 8 components with sub bullets for the basic feature group 9-1. There is a risk that some items in the specs can’t be included and will be interpreted as not required for the feature. 4s RACH in R15 can’t use this approach. We would like to suggest more simplified and clear description of FG 9-1 as follows.1. RACH type selection for CBRA according to SSB-based RSRP threshold
2. msgA resource configuration and waveform determination for 2-step CBRA
3. Validation of MsgA PRACH and PUSCH
4. Mapping between preamble of MsgA PRACH and PUSCH occasion with DMRS resource of MsgA PUSCH
5. msgB monitoring and decoding for 2-step CBRA
6. PUCCH transmission for HARQ-ACK feedback to a msgB

Power control for msgA PRACH, msgA PUSCH and PUCCH carrying HARQ-ACK feedback to msgB. Especially regarding 6.b (for RRC connected UE only) monitoring msgB PDCCH with CRC masked by C-RNTI in USS set, and decoding the unicast PDSCH carrying absolute TA MAC CEBased on RAN1 agreement, Agreements:UEs in CONNECTED state use UE specific search space, or common search space to receive the PDCCH associated with MsgA response and with CRC scrambled by the C-RNTI.Based on above agreement, USS and CSS can be used for msgB PDCCH with CRC masked by C-RNTI.Regarding FG 9-3, we prefer to keep FG 9-3as single FG because for 4step RACH, there is already 4-26 FG (Parallel PRACH and SRS/PUCCH/PUSCH transmissions across CCs in inter-band CA) is similar with FG9-3 description. Regarding the difference between R16 FG 9-3 and R15 FG 4-26, there are at least two different points as follows:1. MSGA PUSCH transmission and SRS/PUCCH/PUSCH can't be transmitted in parallel for inter band CA if FG9-3 can't be supported.2. MSGA PUSCH transmission with TA equal to zero is different with R15 PUSCH transmission.3. MSGA PUSCH transmission with frequency hopping is different with R15 behaviorRegarding FG 9-4, we prefer to keep FG9-4 as single FG because FG 6-16 already addressed SUL for 4- step RACH. The difference point between FG9-4 and FG 6-16 is that MSGA PUSCH with TA equal to zero can be transmitted. In addition, UL coverage can be enhanced for MSGA in SUL. So we propose to keep FG 9-3 as single FG. |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |