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# Introduction

This email discussion summary covers BS RF requirements for extending NR operation to 71 GHz. The discussion is split into two major topics, Tx requirements and Rx requirements, within which individual requirements are discussed in various sub-topics. Generally, proposals and requirements having most dependency have been grouped together.

As this is the first meeting to discuss requirements it is highly welcomed to raise open issues around requirements which need to be covered, even though they would have not been covered by the input documents to the meetings.

The main goal of the discussion is to find a baseline on which requirements and which aspects of requirements need further analysis, therefore having a clear guideline on the work towards next meeting.

# Topic #1: Tx requirements

First topic covers Tx requirements

The input documents did not discuss base station classes, but as classes may be very relevant on the details of the requirements, it is encouraged to take possible differences between classes into account in company comments.

## Companies’ contributions summary

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **T-doc number** | **Company** | **Proposals / Observations** |
| [**R4-2104456**](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/TSG_RAN/WG4_Radio/TSGR4_98bis_e/Docs/R4-2104456.zip) | Nokia, Nokia Shanghai Bell | **Proposal 1:** The radiated transmitter characteristics requirements apply to the BS type 2-O should be considered as the baseline for NR operation in 52.6 – 71 GHz range.  **Proposal 2:** The radiated transmit power for NR operation in 52.6 – 71 GHz range should be declared by the manufacturer.  **Proposal 3:** The BS output power for NR operation in 52.6 – 71 GHz range should be declared by the manufacturer. Additional regional requirements can be specified to align with regulatory requirements in certain regions.  **Proposal 4:** The current total power dynamic range for BS type 2-O can be used as baseline for NR operation in 52.6 – 71 GHz range, but special consideration should be placed on the wider channel bandwidth with large SCS.  **Proposal 5:** The transmit OFF power for NR operation in 52.6 – 71 GHz range should be calculated with a suitable set of operation parameters at this frequency range. Moreover, the transient period for NR operation in 52.6 – 71 GHz range should be shorter than that for BS type 2-O considering the shorter symbol duration with the larger SCS and the target cell sizes in these frequency ranges.  **Proposal 6:** The frequency error and EVM requirements for BS type 2-O should be applicable for NR operation in 52.6 – 71 GHz range. However, special consideration should be placed on the applicable higher order modulations for NR operation in 52.6 – 71 GHz range due to the higher phase noise expected in this frequency range. Moreover, the CA time alignment error requirements for NR operation in 52.6 – 71 GHz range should be shorter than that for BS type 2-O considering the shorter symbol duration with the larger SCS and the target cell sizes in these frequency ranges.  **Proposal 7:** The ACLR and ACS values from the coexistence studies at 70GHz in the NR study item can be considered as baseline for NR operation in 52.6 – 71 GHz range. Moreover, the out-of-band emissions and unwanted emissions in the spurious domain specified in ETSI EN 303 722 can be considered as baseline for at least unlicensed NR operation in 52.6 – 71 GHz range. Additional regional requirements can be specified to align with regulatory requirements in certain regions. |
| [**R4-2104731**](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/TSG_RAN/WG4_Radio/TSGR4_98bis_e/Docs/R4-2104731.zip) | CATT | **Observation 1:** If fractional bandwidth of operating band in 52.6-71GHz is less than 6%, the EIRP accuracy (±3.4dB) and the TRP accuracy (±3dB) for FR2 can be reused for 52.6-71GHz.  **Observation 2:** To derive OTA total power dynamic range (dB) by , where is transmission bandwidth configuration for supported numerology/CBW in 52.6 -71 GHz.  **Observation 3:** To take the OFF power -36 dBm/MHz for 52.6 -71GHz.  **Observation 4:** 2 us can be considered as the transient period requirement as the trade-off of the system performance and implementation efforts.  **Observation 5:** Frequency error requirement can be reused from FR2.  **Observation 6:** EVM requirement can be reused from FR2, and need to define EVM window length for supported SCS for 52.6-71GHz.  **Observation 7:** OTA occupied bandwidth can be reused from FR2.  **Observation 8:** For licensed operation, OBUE limits need to be adjusted for 52.6 – 71GHz.  **Proposal 1:** To decide the required ACLR for co-existence for 52.6 – 71GHz by co-existence simulation. |
| [**R4-2106355**](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/TSG_RAN/WG4_Radio/TSGR4_98bis_e/Docs/R4-2106355.zip) | Ericsson | **Proposal 1:** It is proposed to use parameter sets in Table 2.1-3 if co-existence simulations are considered or if antenna parameters are shared to other groups.  **Proposal 2:** NR in 52.6 to 71 GHz should support modulation orders up to 64QAM.  **Proposal 3:** Due to large carrier bandwidth or large RFBW foreseen for NR in 52.6 GHz, as the unwanted emission levels due to low power spectral density of the signal become lower than unwanted emission limits, RAN4 should study how the unwanted emissions for large carrier bandwidths or RFBW should be handled.  **Proposal 4:** Final evaluation of transient times has to consider not only the general ON/OFF mask at start and end slot, for TDD DL/UL boundaries, used in GP timing, but also other use cases related to UE UL, like SRS time mask and PUSCH-PUCCH and SRS time mask. The cases of SRS time mask and PUSCH-PUCCH and SRS time mask have to be investigated in UE RF session.  **Proposal 5:** Investigate if TAE for MIMO in extension to 71 GHz WI can be based on the AAS method, ie no explicit TAE requirement, instead performance is verified in BS conformance.  **Proposal 6:** Single FFT assumed for intra band contiguous CA as UE architecture.  **Proposal 7:** Once a UE RX architecture is agreed then link simulations and/or analytical calculations and investigations will give the actual requirement for TAE for intra band contiguous CA.  **Proposal 8:** Once a UE RX architecture and deployment cases are agreed then link simulations and/or analytical calculations and investigations will give the actual requirement for TAE for intra band non-contiguous CA and inter band CA. |
| [**R4-2106589**](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/TSG_RAN/WG4_Radio/TSGR4_98bis_e/Docs/R4-2106589.zip) | ZTE | [Summary by moderator]: There are no observations or proposals explicitly made in the contribution, but it provides an overview with initial views on individual Tx requirements and how to proceed with them. |

## Open issues summary

### Sub-topic 1-1: General and output power requirements

This sub-topic covers output power related requirements. Throughout the issues the individual options are not exclusive i.e. multiple options can and sometimes need be supported together to create a coherent requirement.

*Open issues and candidate options before e-meeting:*

**Issue 1-1-1: Using existing BS type 2-O requirements as baseline**

* Proposals
  + Option 1: The radiated transmitter characteristics requirements apply to the BS type 2-O should be considered as the baseline for NR operation in 52.6 – 71 GHz range.
  + Option 2: TBA
* Recommended WF
  + TBA

**Issue 1-1-2: EIRP and TRP**

* Proposals
  + Option 1: EIRP and TRP are declared by manufacturer
  + Option 2: The BS output power for NR operation in 52.6 – 71 GHz range should be declared by the manufacturer. Additional regional requirements can be added to specification to align with regulatory requirements.
* Recommended WF
  + TBA

**Issue 1-1-3: Fractional bandwidth**

* Proposals
  + Option 1: If fractional bandwidth of operating band in 52.6-71GHz is less than 6%, the EIRP accuracy (±3.4dB) and the TRP accuracy (±3dB) for FR2 can be reused for 52.6-71GHz.
  + Option 2: TBA
* Recommended WF
  + TBA

**Issue 1-1-4: Total power dynamic range**

* Proposals
  + Option 1: The current total power dynamic range for BS type 2-O, based on 10\*log10(Nrb) can be used for NR operation in 52.6 – 71 GHz range.
  + Option 2: TBA
* Recommended WF
  + TBA

### Sub-topic 1-2: Timing and signal quality requirements

This sub-topic covers timing and signal quality related requirements. Throughout the issues the individual options are not exclusive i.e. multiple options can and sometimes need be supported together to create a coherent requirement.

*Open issues and candidate options before e-meeting:*

**Issue 1-2-1: Transient time**

* Proposals
  + Option 1: Transient period should be shorter than 3us, considering shorter symbol times
  + Option 2: Final evaluation of transient times has to consider not only the general ON/OFF mask at start and end slot, for TDD DL/UL boundaries, used in GP timing, but also other use cases related to UE UL, like SRS time mask and PUSCH-PUCCH and SRS time mask. The cases of SRS time mask and PUSCH-PUCCH and SRS time mask have to be investigated in UE RF session.
  + Option 3: Consider 2us for transient time to balance implementation effort and system performance
* Recommended WF
  + TBA

**Issue 1-2-2: Tx OFF power**

* Proposals
  + Option 1: To take the OFF power -36 dBm/MHz for 52.6 -71GHz.
  + Option 2: The transmit OFF power for NR operation in 52.6 – 71 GHz range should be calculated with a suitable set of operation parameters at this frequency range.
* Recommended WF
  + TBA

**Issue 1-2-3: Time alignment error**

* Proposals
  + Option 1: CA time alignment error should be smaller than for current BS type 2-O
  + Option 2: Investigate if TAE for MIMO in extension to 71 GHz WI can be based on the AAS method, i.e. no explicit TAE requirement, instead performance is verified in BS conformance.
  + Option 3 Single FFT assumed for intra band contiguous CA as UE architecture.
  + Option 4: Once a UE RX architecture is agreed then link simulations and/or analytical calculations and investigations will give the actual requirement for TAE for intra band contiguous CA.
  + Option 5: Once a UE RX architecture and deployment cases are agreed then link simulations and/or analytical calculations and investigations will give the actual requirement for TAE for intra band non-contiguous CA and inter band CA.
* Recommended WF
  + TBA

**Issue 1-2-4: Frequency error**

* Proposals
  + Option 1: Frequency error requirement can be reused from FR2.
* Recommended WF
  + TBA

**Issue 1-2-5: EVM**

* Proposals
  + Option 1: EVM requirements for BS type 2-O should be applicable for NR operation in 52.6 – 71 GHz range. However, special consideration should be placed on the applicable higher order modulations for NR operation in 52.6 – 71 GHz range due to the higher phase noise expected in this frequency range.
  + Option 2: New EVM window length needs to be defined for new SCS
  + Option 3: Support modulations up to 64 QAM.
* Recommended WF
  + TBA

### Sub-topic 1-3: Emission requirements

This sub-topic covers unwanted emissions related requirements. Throughout the issues the individual options are not exclusive i.e. multiple options can and sometimes need be supported together to create a coherent requirement.

*Open issues and candidate options before e-meeting:*

**Issue 1-3-1: Low absolute emission level due to wide carrier BW**

* Proposals
  + Option 1: Due to large carrier bandwidth or large RFBW foreseen for NR in 52.6 GHz, as the unwanted emission levels due to low power spectral density of the signal become lower than unwanted emission limits, RAN4 should study how the unwanted emissions for large carrier bandwidths or RFBW should be handled.
* Recommended WF
  + TBA

**Issue 1-3-2: ACLR and co-existence simulations**

* Proposals
  + Option 1: ACLR is derived by new co-existence simulations
  + Option 2: ACLR can be derived based on 70 GHz co-existence study in 38.803
* Recommended WF
  + TBA

**Issue 1-3-3: OBUE and spurious emissions**

* Proposals
  + Option 1: For licensed operation, OBUE limits need to be adjusted for 52.6 – 71GHz.
  + Option 2: out-of-band emissions and unwanted emissions in the spurious domain specified in ETSI EN 303 722 can be considered as baseline for at least unlicensed NR operation in 52.6 – 71 GHz range.
* Recommended WF
  + TBA

**Issue 1-3-4: Occupied BW**

* Proposals
  + Option 1: OTA occupied bandwidth can be reused from FR2.
* Recommended WF
  + TBA

## Companies views’ collection for 1st round

### Open issues

Sub-topic 1-1:

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Comments** |
| XXX |  |

Sub-topic 1-2:

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Comments** |
| XXX |  |

Sub-topic 1-3:

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Comments** |
| XXX |  |

## Summary for 1st round

### Open issues

*Moderator tries to summarize discussion status for 1st round, list all the identified open issues and tentative agreements or candidate options and suggestion for 2nd round i.e. WF assignment.*

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
|  | **Status summary** |
| **Sub-topic #1** | *Tentative agreements:*  *Candidate options:*  *Recommendations for 2nd round:* |

## Discussion on 2nd round (if applicable)

# Topic #2: Rx requirements

*Main technical topic overview. The structure can be done based on sub-agenda basis.*

## Companies’ contributions summary

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **T-doc number** | **Company** | **Proposals / Observations** |
| [**R4-2104457**](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/TSG_RAN/WG4_Radio/TSGR4_98bis_e/Docs/R4-2104457.zip) | Nokia, Nokia Shanghai Bell | **Proposal 1:** The radiated receiver characteristics requirements apply to the BS type 2-O should be considered as the baseline for NR operation in 52.6 – 71 GHz range.  **Proposal 2:** The reference sensitivity level for NR operation in 52.6 – 71 GHz range should be declared by the manufacturer within specified ranges, which should be calculated with a suitable set of operation parameters at this frequency range. Moreover, new FRCs should be defined for the larger SCSs with the wider channel bandwidth for NR operation in 52.6 – 71 GHz range, where the allocated RBs should be scaled according to the target SCSs and channel bandwidth.  **Proposal 3:** The ACLR and ACS values from the coexistence studies at 70GHz in the NR study item can be considered as baseline for NR operation in 52.6 – 71 GHz range. Moreover, the in-band blocking level of BS type 2-O can be used as baseline for NR operation in 52.6 – 71 GHz range, but consideration should be placed to ensure alignment between in-band selectivity and ACS.  **Proposal 4:** The current out-of-band blocking level for BS type 2-O can be used as baseline, but special consideration should be placed on ΔfOOB the expected wider operating bands in 52.6 – 71 GHz range. Moreover, the current 60 GHz upper frequency limit will need to be extended to cover above 71 GHz depending on test system implementation feasibility, while the current measurement step sizes for BS type 2-O can be used as baseline for NR operation in 52.6 – 71 GHz range with special consideration on the testing time impact with the extended upper frequency limit.  **Proposal 5:** The receiver unwanted emissions in the spurious domain specified in ETSI EN 303 722 can be considered as baseline for at least unlicensed NR operation in 52.6 – 71 GHz range, and additional regional requirements can be specified to align with regulatory requirements in certain regions.  **Proposal 6:** The interferer levels for general receiver intermodulation for NR operation in 52.6 – 71 GHz range can be derived by applying an offset below the in-band blocking levels.  **Proposal 7:** The current ICS value for BS type 2-O can be used as baseline to calculate the wanted and interfering signal levels for NR operation in 52.6 – 71 GHz range, but the value may be adjusted for this higher frequency range. Moreover, new FRCs should be defined for the larger SCSs with the wider channel bandwidth for NR operation in 52.6 – 71 GHz range, where the allocated RBs within the new FRCs should be scaled according to the target SCSs and channel bandwidth. |
| [**R4-2104683**](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/TSG_RAN/WG4_Radio/TSGR4_98bis_e/Docs/R4-2104683.zip) | Ericsson | **Proposal 1:** For the 120kHz SCS, retain the existing FRC for sensitivity and RX requirements based on 50MHz.  **Proposal 2:** For the 480kHz SCS, define the sensitivity using minimum bandwidth FRC. Consider whether a larger bandwidth FRC should also be defined in order to reduce the amount of testing for the 1600MHz bandwidth.  **Proposal 3:** For the 960kHz SCS, define the sensitivity using 400MHz FRC. Consider an additional larger FRC for larger bandwidths if needed.  **Observation 1:** The ranges for sensitivity declaration in the existing specifications appear to be sufficient.  **Proposal 3:** The ACS requirement can be used as is, except that the interferer bandwidth may need to be adjusted to be the same as the reference sensitivity FRC bandwidth. The interferer level should be set to achieve the correct ACS for the sub-range.  **Proposal 4:** For the frequency range 52 to 71 GHz define the wanted signal power as EISREFSENS\_xxM + 6 dB (The bandwidth for the sensitivity FRC needs further discussion).  **Proposal 5:** For the frequency range 52 to 71 GHz, use the same interferer levels as for FR2.  **Proposal 6:** For the frequency range 52 to 71 GHz, re-use the size of the exclusion zone from FR2.  **Proposal 7:** For the frequency range 52 to 71 GHz, set the upper test limit to 2nd harmonic.  **Proposal 8:** Set the RX intermodulation levels based on RX blocking. |
| [**R4-2104732**](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/TSG_RAN/WG4_Radio/TSGR4_98bis_e/Docs/R4-2104732.zip) | CATT | **Observation 1:** [13] dB can be considered as NF assumption for 52.6-71GHz for receiver requirement.  **Observation 2:** Antenna gain assumption for 52.6-71GHz can be considered to be increased by 1dB relative to 45GHz.  **Proposal 1:** To decide the required ACS for co-existence by co-existence simulation.  **Proposal 2:** To decide in-band blocking interference level by the simulation.  **Observation 3:** 120 MHz can be considered as measurement step size for interferer signal step size for 800MHz and 1600MHz CBW for OTA in-band blocking and OTA out-of-band blocking.  **Observation 4:** Reusing interfering field strength for FR2 for OTA out-of-band blocking can be considered as starting point.  **Observation 5:** Reusing 8 dB below OTA in-band blocking levels principles for RX IM interferer level for FR2 can be considered as starting point.  **Observation 6**: 14dBc required ICS can be considered to be reused for 52.6-71GHz for all BS type. |
| [**R4-2106590**](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/TSG_RAN/WG4_Radio/TSGR4_98bis_e/Docs/R4-2106590.zip) | ZTE corporation | [Summary by moderator]: There are no observations or proposals explicitly made in the contribution, but it provides an overview with initial views on individual Rx requirements and how to proceed with them. |

## Open issues summary

*Before e-Meeting, moderators shall summarize list of open issues, candidate options and possible WF (if applicable) based on companies’ contributions.*

### Sub-topic 2-1: General and sensitivity related requirements

This sub-topic covers general topics and sensitivity related requirements. Throughout the issues the individual options are not exclusive i.e. multiple options can and sometimes need be supported together to create a coherent requirement.

*Open issues and candidate options before e-meeting:*

**Issue 2-1-1: Using existing BS type 2-O requirements as baseline**

* Proposals
  + Option 1: The radiated receiver characteristics requirements apply to the BS type 2-O should be considered as the baseline for NR operation in 52.6 – 71 GHz range.
  + Option 2: TBA
* Recommended WF
  + TBA

**Issue 2-1-2: Sensitivity**

* Proposals
  + Option 1: Sensitivity is declared
  + Option 2: Retain existing FRC for 50 MHz channel bandwidth
  + Option 3: Define new FRCs for wide channel bandwidths
  + Option 4: For 480 kHz SCS, use smallest channel bandwidth for FRC
  + Option 5: For 960 kHz SCS, use 400 MHz channel bandwidth for FRC
* Recommended WF
  + TBA

### Sub-topic 2-2: ACS and in-band blocking

This sub-topic covers ACS and in-band blocking related requirements. Throughout the issues the individual options are not exclusive i.e. multiple options can and sometimes need be supported together to create a coherent requirement.

*Open issues and candidate options before e-meeting:*

**Issue 2-2-1: Deriving ACS and blocking levels**

* Proposals
  + Option 1: Derive ACS and blocking levels with new simulations
  + Option 2: Derive ACS from co-existence study in 38.803
  + Option 3: Current FR2 requirement can be baseline for in-band blocking, but alignment between ACS and in-band blocking needs to be considered.
* Recommended WF
  + TBA

**Issue 2-2-2: Interferer bandwidth and measurement step size**

* + Option 1: Adjust interferer signal bandwidth to match FRC definition
  + Option 2: 120 MHz can be considered as measurement step size for interferer signal step size for 800MHz and 1600MHz CBW for OTA inband blocking.
* Recommended WF
  + TBA

### Sub-topic 2-3: Out-of-band blocking

This sub-topic covers out-of-band blocking related requirements. Throughout the issues the individual options are not exclusive i.e. multiple options can and sometimes need be supported together to create a coherent requirement.

*Open issues and candidate options before e-meeting:*

**Issue 2-3-1: Out of band blocking**

* Proposals
  + Option 1: Re-use current FR2 OOB blocker level
  + Option 2: Wanted signal level shall be EISrefsens\_XX MHz + 6 dB, i.e. bandwidth of FRC needs to be discussed
  + Option 3: ΔfOOB needs further consideration taking into account the expected wider operating bands in 52.6 – 71 GHz range
  + Option 4: Re-use the exclusion zone from current FR2
  + Option 5: Test system feasibility needs to be considered together with setting upper frequency limit for blocker
  + Option 6: Consider 2nd harmonic to be the upper frequency limit for blocker
  + Option 7: Current measurement step size can be the starting point, but test time needs to be considering taking into account the extended upper frequency limit
  + Option 8: 120 MHz can be considered as measurement step size for interferer signal step size for 800MHz and 1600MHz CBW for OTA out-of-band blocking.
* Recommended WF
  + TBA

### Sub-topic 2-4: Others

This sub-topic remaining proposal and other Rx requirements not covered before. Throughout the issues the individual options are not exclusive i.e. multiple options can and sometimes need be supported together to create a coherent requirement.

**Issue 2-4-1: Receiver intermodulation interferer level**

* Proposals
  + Option 1: The interferer levels for general receiver intermodulation for NR operation in 52.6 – 71 GHz range can be derived by applying an offset below the in-band blocking levels.
  + Option 2: Reusing 8 dB below OTA in-band blocking levels principles for RX IM interferer level for FR2 can be considered as starting point.
* Recommended WF
  + TBA

**Issue 2-4-2: In channel selectivity**

* Proposals
  + Option 1: 14dBc required ICS can be considered to be reused for 52.6-71GHz for all BS type.
  + Option 2: The current ICS value for BS type 2-O can be used as baseline to calculate the wanted and interfering signal levels for NR operation in 52.6 – 71 GHz range, but the value may be adjusted for this higher frequency range
  + Option 3: New FRCs should be defined for the larger SCSs with the wider channel bandwidth for NR operation in 52.6 – 71 GHz range, where the allocated RBs within the new FRCs should be scaled according to the target SCSs and channel bandwidth.
* Recommended WF
  + TBA

**Issue 2-4-3: Receiver spurious emissions**

* Proposals
  + Option 1: The receiver unwanted emissions in the spurious domain specified in ETSI EN 303 722 can be considered as baseline for at least unlicensed NR operation in 52.6 – 71 GHz range, and additional regional requirements can be specified to align with regulatory requirements in certain regions.
* Recommended WF
  + TBA

## Companies views’ collection for 1st round

### Open issues

Sub topic 2-1

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Comments** |
| XXX |  |

Sub topic 2-2

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Comments** |
| XXX |  |

Sub topic 2-3

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Comments** |
| XXX |  |

Sub topic 2-4

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Comments** |
| XXX |  |

## Summary for 1st round

### Open issues

*Moderator tries to summarize discussion status for 1st round, list all the identified open issues and tentative agreements or candidate options and suggestion for 2nd round i.e. WF assignment.*

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
|  | **Status summary** |
| **Sub-topic#1** | *Tentative agreements:*  *Candidate options:*  *Recommendations for 2nd round:* |

## Discussion on 2nd round (if applicable)

*Moderator can provide summary of 2nd round here. Note that recommended decisions on tdocs should be provided in the section titled ”Recommendations for Tdocs”.*

# Recommendations for Tdocs

## 1st round

**New tdocs**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Title** | **Source** | **Comments** |
| WF on … | YYY |  |
| LS on … | ZZZ | To: RAN\_X; Cc: RAN\_Y |
|  |  |  |

**Existing tdocs**

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Tdoc number** | **Title** | **Source** | **Recommendation** | **Comments** |
| R4-210xxxx | CR on … | XXX | Agreeable, Revised, Merged, Postponed, Not Pursued |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |

Notes:

1. Please include the summary of recommendations for all tdocs across all sub-topics incl. existing and new tdocs.
2. For the Recommendation column please include one of the following:
   1. CRs/TPs: Agreeable, Revised, Merged, Postponed, Not Pursued
   2. Other documents: Agreeable, Revised, Noted
3. For new LS documents, please include information on To/Cc WGs in the comments column
4. Do not include hyper-links in the documents

## 2nd round

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Tdoc number** | **Title** | **Source** | **Recommendation** | **Comments** |
| R4-210xxxx | CR on … | XXX | Agreeable, Revised, Merged, Postponed, Not Pursued |  |
| R4-210xxxx | WF on … | YYY | Agreeable, Revised, Noted |  |
| R4-210xxxx | LS on … | ZZZ | Agreeable, Revised, Noted |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |

Notes:

1. Please include the summary of recommendations for all tdocs across all sub-topics.
2. For the Recommendation column please include one of the following:
   1. CRs/TPs: Agreeable, Revised, Merged, Postponed, Not Pursued
   2. Other documents: Agreeable, Revised, Noted
3. Do not include hyper-links in the documents