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# Introduction

*Briefly introduce background, the scope of this email discussion (e.g. list of treated agenda items) and provide some guidelines for email discussion if necessary*

*RAN1 request clarification from RAN4 for the purpose of propagation delay compensation in LS R1-2102245. RAN1 listed 2 options:*

* **Option 1**: downlink frame timing detection error is already included in UE transmit timing error (i.e. Te);
* Interpretation: “the reference point” defined in section 7.1.2 in TS 38.133 for UE transmission timing is  ahead of “True arrival timing at UE”, and the timing error limit value Te is given taking downlink frame timing detection error into account, as shown in figure 1 as an example.
* **Option 2**: downlink frame timing detection error is not included in UE transmit timing error (i.e. Te);
* Interpretation: “the reference point” defined in section 7.1.2 in TS 38.133 for UE transmission time is  ahead of the first path detected by the UE, and the timing error limit value Te is given without consideration of downlink frame timing detection error, as shown in figure 2 as an example.

Tdoc’s submitted and handled in this email discussion:

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| R4-2104609 | Discussion and draft LS on UE transmit timing error | CMCC | discussion |
| R4-2104648 | Discussion on the reply to LS on UE transmit timing error | MediaTek Inc. | discussion |
| R4-2104725 | Discussion on UE transmit timing error | Nokia, Nokia Shanghai Bell | LS out |
| R4-2104767 | Discussion on UE transmit timing error | CATT | discussion |
| R4-2104822 | On UE Tx transmit timing error and the reply LS | ZTE Wistron Telecom AB | discussion |
| R4-2104853 | Discussion on RAN1 LS on UE transmit timing error for R17 URLLC | Apple | discussion |
| R4-2104984 | Discussion for reply LS of UE transmit timing error | NEC | discussion |
| R4-2106445 | Reply to LS on UE transmit timing error | Intel Corporation | discussion |
| R4-2107031 | reply LS on UE transmit timing error | Huawei, HiSilicon | LS out |
| R4-2107153 | LS response on UE transmit timing error | Ericsson | LS out |

*List of candidate target of email discussion for 1st round and 2nd round*

* 1st round:
	+ Select RAN4 reply option.
	+ Decide whether to include figure 2.1 from R4-2104767 into the Reply LS.

Companies are invited to provide their views on the two aspects in Sub-topic 1-1 and Sub-topic 1-2 during the 1st round. Based on the input from the companies drafting of Reply LS can be initiated in the 2nd round.

# Topic #1: UE transmit timing error

*Main technical topic overview. The structure can be done based on sub-agenda basis.*

## Companies’ contributions summary

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **T-doc number** | **Company** | **Proposals / Observations** |
| R4-2104609 | CMCC | Tdoc Title: Discussion and draft LS on UE transmit timing errorObservation 1: Downlink frame timing detection error is already included in UE transmit timing error requirements (i.e. Te).Option1 |
| R4-2104648 | MediaTek Inc. | Observation 1: The initial timing error (Te) is based on the DL timing estimation error, which depends on the BW of the signal usedObservation 2: The DL frame estimation/detection timing error was taken into account in the calculation of Te.Proposal 1: The downlink frame timing detection error is already included in UE transmit timing error (i.e. Te).Option 1. |
| R4-2104725 | Nokia, Nokia Shanghai Bell | Proposal 1: UE transmit timing error does not include the downlink frame detection error and option 2 in the RAN1 LS is the correct interpretation.Option 2. |
| R4-2104767 | CATT | Proposal 1: It is proposed to clarify that the option 1 in RAN1 LS is the correct understanding, i.e. downlink frame timing detection error is already included in UE transmit timing error in response LS.Proposal 2: It is proposed to include Figure 2-1 in the LS response.Option 1 |
| R4-2104822 | ZTE Corporation | Observation 1: Multiple paths may be detected with a span over a searching window in a 3G RAKE receiver, so it is reasonable to specify the first detected path as the timing reference.Observation 2: 3G UEs may have a reliable estimate on the true arrival time of downlink frames thanks to the constantly available downlink common pilot channel CPICH, and can even be enhanced by always-on downlink UE-specific DPCCH channel once the connection is setup.Observation 3: In 4G, UE transmit timing error is to guarantee the uplink signals from different intra-cell UEs at different locations can arrive at BS in an controlled interval, in order to keep the orthogonality among UEs, and 4G UEs may also have an accurate estimate on the true arrival time at UE side based on constantly transmitted signal CRS.Observation 4: No need to specify detection performance requirements in 3G and 4G.Observation 5: 5G NR UEs may still need to have a performance requirement on UE transmit timing error similar to that in LTE, but may not obtain an estimate on the downlink frame timing as accurate as in LTE.Observation 6: In 5G NR, Te should include the detection error on the downlink frame timing.Proposal 1: Option 1 is taken from RAN4’s understanding.Proposal 2: Send a reply LS based on the common understanding as shown appendix.Option 1 |
| R4-2104853 | Apple | Observation 1: downlink timing detection error is included in Te requirement.Proposal 1: RAN4 is to reply RAN1 that downlink timing detection error is included in UE transmit timing error (Te) requirements.Option 1 |
| R4-2104984 | NEC | Proposal 1: RAN4 to reply RAN1 that downlink frame timing detection error is already included in UE transmit timing error (i.e. Te).Option 1 |
| R4-2106445 | Intel | Observation 1: Since there is no way for a UE to know the DL frame timing detection error, it has no choice but to simply use the perceived timingObservation 2: Test equipment verify the UE transmit timing by comparing the received timing against the transmitted DL signal timingObservation 3: Although there is no clear requirement specified for DL timing detection error, the UE needs to be good enough to cover detection error in Te to pass the testsProposal 1: Reply to RAN1 about the correct interpretation for them to take:- Downlink frame timing detection error is already included in UE transmit timing error (i.e. Te), but- Correct interpretation is that the UE takes the detected first DL path as the reference point to apply (NTA + NTA\_offset) ×Tc ahead of the detected path- Since there is no way for the UE to know the ‘true arrival timing’, RAN4 spec specifies the correct UE behaviourOption 1 |
| R4-2107031 | Huawei | Proposal 1: Reply to RAN1 that option 1 is aligned with RAN4 understanding of Te requirementOption 1 |
| R4-2107153 | Ericsson | Observation 1: UE transmit timing is derived by the UE based on the first detected path (in time) of the SSB of the reference cell. The power of the SSB’s first detected path in time is measured at the UE antenna.Observation 2: The UE transmit timing error (Te) in table 7.1.2-1 in TS 38.133 is defined as function of both SSB SCS and UL SCS.Observation 3: Te decreases with the increase in SSB SCS due to increase in the sampling rate resulting in decrease in the DL reception errors at the UE.Observation 4: Te also decreases with the increase in UL SCS to ensure Te is fraction of UL CPObservation 5: If downlink frame timing detection error was not included in Te then Te will not have any upper bound leading to unpredictable reception error at the BS.Proposal 1: The downlink frame timing detection error in the UE is included in UE transmit timing error (Te) defined in section 7.1.2, TS 38.133Option 1 |

## Open issues summary

*Before e-Meeting, moderators shall summarize list of open issues, candidate options and possible WF (if applicable) based on companies’ contributions.*

### Sub-topic 1-1

*Sub-topic description:*

*RAN1 request clarification from RAN4 for the purpose of propagation delay compensation in LS R1-2102245. RAN1 listed 2 options:*

* **Option 1**: downlink frame timing detection error is already included in UE transmit timing error (i.e. Te);
* Interpretation: “the reference point” defined in section 7.1.2 in TS 38.133 for UE transmission timing is  ahead of “True arrival timing at UE”, and the timing error limit value Te is given taking downlink frame timing detection error into account, as shown in figure 1 as an example.
* **Option 2**: downlink frame timing detection error is not included in UE transmit timing error (i.e. Te);
* Interpretation: “the reference point” defined in section 7.1.2 in TS 38.133 for UE transmission time is  ahead of the first path detected by the UE, and the timing error limit value Te is given without consideration of downlink frame timing detection error, as shown in figure 2 as an example.

*Open issues and candidate options before e-meeting:*

**Issue 1-1: LS Reply.**

* Proposals
	+ Option 1: Option 1 in the RAN1 LS is the correct interpretation according to RAN4.
	+ Option 2: Option 2 in the RAN1 LS is the correct interpretation according to RAN4.
* Recommended WF
	+ Agree on Option 1. Option 1 in the RAN1 LS is the correct interpretation according to RAN4. Send Reply LS to RAN1 with this conclusion.

### Sub-topic 1-2

*Sub-topic description:*

In R4-2104767 it is proposed to include below figure (Figure 2-1 in R4-2104767) in the LS response:



*Open issues and candidate options before e-meeting:*

**Issue 1-2: include Figure 2-1 from R4-2104767 in the LS response.**

* Proposals
	+ Option 1: Yes.
	+ Option 2: No.
* Recommended WF
	+ More discussion needed

## Companies views’ collection for 1st round

### Open issues

Sub topic 1-1, Issue 1-1:

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Comments** |
| Ericsson | Support the recommended WF.  |

Sub topic 1-2, Issue 1-2:

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Comments** |
| Ericsson | We support option 2 (No). RAN4 should only reply to the question raised by RAN1 and avoid including anything additional in the response. This is to avoid any confusion. The RAN4 proposed response in option 1 (issue 1-1) is actually sufficiently clear and enough. |

## Summary for 1st round

### Open issues

*Moderator tries to summarize discussion status for 1st round, list all the identified open issues and tentative agreements or candidate options and suggestion for 2nd round i.e. WF assignment.*

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
|  | **Status summary**  |
| **Sub-topic #1-1** | *Tentative agreements:**Candidate options:**Recommendations for 2nd round:* |
| **Sub-topic #1-2** | *Tentative agreements:**Candidate options:**Recommendations for 2nd round:* |

### CRs/TPs

*Moderator tries to summarize discussion status for 1st round and provides recommendation on CRs/TPs Status update*

*Note: The tdoc decisions shall be provided in Section 3 and this table is optional in case moderators would like to provide additional information.*

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **CR/TP number** | **CRs/TPs Status update recommendation**  |
| XXX | *Based on 1st round of comments collection, moderator can recommend the next steps such as “agreeable”, “to be revised”* |

## Discussion on 2nd round (if applicable)

# Recommendations for Tdocs

## 1st round

**New tdocs**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Title** | **Source** | **Comments** |
| LS on … | ZZZ | To: RAN\_X; Cc: RAN\_Y |
|  |  |  |

**Existing tdocs**

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Tdoc number** | **Title** | **Source** | **Recommendation**  | **Comments** |
| R4-210xxxx | CR on … | XXX | Agreeable, Revised, Merged, Postponed, Not Pursued |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |

Notes:

1. Please include the summary of recommendations for all tdocs across all sub-topics incl. existing and new tdocs.
2. For the Recommendation column please include one of the following:
	1. CRs/TPs: Agreeable, Revised, Merged, Postponed, Not Pursued
	2. Other documents: Agreeable, Revised, Noted
3. For new LS documents, please include information on To/Cc WGs in the comments column
4. Do not include hyper-links in the documents

## 2nd round

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Tdoc number** | **Title** | **Source** | **Recommendation**  | **Comments** |
| R4-210xxxx | CR on … | XXX | Agreeable, Revised, Merged, Postponed, Not Pursued |  |
| R4-210xxxx | WF on … | YYY | Agreeable, Revised, Noted |  |
| R4-210xxxx | LS on … | ZZZ | Agreeable, Revised, Noted |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |

Notes:

1. Please include the summary of recommendations for all tdocs across all sub-topics.
2. For the Recommendation column please include one of the following:
	1. CRs/TPs: Agreeable, Revised, Merged, Postponed, Not Pursued
	2. Other documents: Agreeable, Revised, Noted
3. Do not include hyper-links in the documents