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# Introduction

*Briefly introduce background, the scope of this email discussion (e.g. list of treated agenda items) and provide some guidelines for email discussion if necessary.*

*List of candidate target of email discussion for 1st round and 2nd round*

* 1st round: TBA
* 2nd round: TBA

# Topic #1: PC2 for NR FDD band

*Main technical topic overview. The structure can be done based on sub-agenda basis.*

## Companies’ contributions summary

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **T-doc number** | **Company** | **Proposals / Observations** |
| R4-2106550 | Xiaomi | **Observation 1: the evaluation period when deriving the UL dutycycle shall be carefully defined.****Observation 2: to weight the sensitivity degradation compared to PC3 case, the MSD approach can be used. The detail value shall be derived until RF components feasibility and performance can be assessed properly.****Observation 3: only one set of MSD value by considering the worst case between two different RF architectures is specified.****Observation 5: UE implementation based solution, i.e. P-MPR shall be allowed for PC2 FDD high power UE.** |
| R4-2104509 | Softbank | **[Question to the group]****Assuming that the power down problem is likely to happen in duty cycle solution,**1. **in P-MPR scheme where backoff logic is implementation dependent, should we also assume that the problem happens?**
2. **if such problems are unavoidable, should we assume to create a new set of test suite for FDD-PC2 and solicit a regulator to adopt?**
 |
| R4-2106560 | OPPO | **Observation 1: FDD duty cycle can be calculated by UE itself and it is unlikely for the NW to align the calculation window with UE under current capability framework.****Observation 2: It is unknown whether this FDD UL duty cycle concept can be accepted by regulations, if not then FDD HPUE has to apply PMPR.****Proposal 1: It is proposed to conclude that the FDD duty cycle concept can be applied by UE itself.** |
| R4-2106362 | ZTE | **Proposal 1. Same duty cycle capability for HPUE FR1TDD bands can be reused for HPUE FDD bands.****Observation 1. Taking more aggressive duplexer assumption into account, no additional REFSEN degradation might need to be considered for PC2 band n1.****Observation 2. REFSEN degradation will become more severer for PC2 band n3, especially for 40/50MHz.** |
| R4-2104997 | LGE | **Observation 1: In n3 FDD band, the 0.9 dB MSD is needed when Duplexer isolation charateristic is decreased 2dB compare to current filter characteristics.****Observation 2: In n1 FDD band, the 0.4dB MSD is needed when Duplexer isolation charateristic is decreased 2dB compare to current filter characteristics.****Observation 3: Eventhough duplexer isolation level keep or enhance the Tx/Rx isolation level, the raised leakage problem in Rx band by RFIC/PA operation in FDD band will be impacted to the sensitivity degradation in FDD band.****Observation 4: In n3 FDD band, the 0.7 dB MSD is needed when assume noise level might be increased about 1.5dB in Rx band by RFIC/PA operation.****Observation 5: In n1 FDD band, the 0.3dB MSD is needed when assume noise level might be increased about 1.5dB in Rx band by RFIC/PA operation.****Observation 6: In n3 FDD band, the 1.7 dB MSD is needed when both Duplexer isolation charateristic (2dB relaxation) and increased noise level (1.5dB) in Rx band by RFIC/PA operation for PC2 FDD UE.****Observation 7: In n1 FDD band, the 0.8dB MSD is needed when both duplexer isolation charateristic (2dB relaxation) and increased noise level (1.5dB) in Rx band by RFFIC/PA operation for PC2 FDD UE.****Proposal 1: To reduce the sensitivity degradation in n3/n1 band, filter vendor shall keep or enhance the duplexer Tx/Rx isolation level.****Proposal 2: RAN4 need to hear of RF component vendor’s opinions when they can support the enhanced RF component performance such as RFIC, duplexer and PAs to support PC2 UE in FDD band.****Proposal 3: RAN4 can further study for sensitivity degradation requirements when RF component vendor provide these commercial Duplexer, PAs and RFICs for PC2 UE in FDD band. The above MSD results can be considered as baseline to derive MSD requirements in WI phase.** |
| R4-2107298 | Huawei, HiSilicon | **Observation: For 2Tx PC2 UE, noise floor for Band n3 and n1 in the Rx band is negligible.** **Proposal 1: For specific band n3 and n1, it can be concluded that there is no obvious REFSENS degradation for PC2 FDD HPUE.** |
| R4-2107299 | Huawei, HiSilicon | **Observation 1: Whether the components targeted to PC3 UE can be reused for PC2 UE depends on the maximum rating capability, some of them may need to be redesigned.****Observation 2: FDD HPUE with 2Tx can reuse existing RF components targeted for PC3, while 1Tx may need to use newly designed components to enhance the maximum rating ability to address the reliability issue.****Proposal: It is proposed to focus on 2Tx UE architecture for FDD HPUE to fully utilize the existing RF components and take advantage of the economies of scale.** |
| R4-2107354 | Qualcomm | **Proposal: FDD ON/OFF duty cycle control needs to be managed. A mechanism where the maximum uplink duty cycle UE capability is reported and counted based on transmitted symbols should be defined. The details are FFS.****Proposal: Companies to evaluate a guideline for the uplink configuration for PC2 FDD reference sensitivity.** |
| R4-2107300 | Huawei, HiSilicon | **Observation 1: From the simulation results, performance gain can be observed for both cell average and cell edge cases. And extremely obvious performance gain on cell average throughput is observed.** **Proposal 1: It is proposed not to spend more time to justify the obvious system performance gain for FDD HUPE and focus on other remaining issues.** |
| R4-2107119 | Qualcomm | **Observation 1: The system performance is sensitive to the power control set parameters. PC2 UE brings system gain when realistic assumptions are considered. A degradation can be seen if we assume that throughput will be reduced by half due to the duty cycle constraints. In realistic situation, where several UEs are multiplexed in the same cell, non-negligible gain in UL performance can be observed in both mean and 5%-tile throughput.****Observation 2: The potential DL degradation due to Tx/Rx de-sense does not lead to substantial performance degradation in typical interference limited scenarios. The performance degradation for noise limited scenarios might be seen which depends on operator’s deployment.** |
| R4-2104922 | ZTE | **Observation: From the simulation results, obvious performance gains on cell average throughput and cell edge throughput can be observed.**  |
| R4-2104541 | vivo | **Observation 1:** * The overall gain is much smaller compared to [3] and there exist some negative gain case.
* Alpha values have significant impact on the gain.
	+ Though Alpha = 0.8 generally have larger gain for cell average, the absolute value is smaller than alpha=0.6. It maybe because higher interference.
* Under 50% (per-subframe) restriction, generally there is some performance penalty, particularly for cell edge.

**Observation 2**: Alpha = 0.8 means more UE would be in the state of power saturation. |

## Open issues summary

*Before e-Meeting, moderators shall summarize list of open issues, candidate options and possible WF (if applicable) based on companies’ contributions.*

### Sub-topic 1-1 SAR Scheme

*Sub-topic description:*

*Open issues and candidate options before e-meeting:*

**Issue 1-1-1: How to apply duty cycle in FDD band**

* Proposals
	+ Option 1: Same duty cycle capability for HPUE FR1 TDD bands can be reused for HPUE FDD bands.
	+ Option 2: FDD duty cycle concept can be applied by UE itself, it is unlikely for the NW to align the calculation window with UE under current capability framework.
* Recommended WF
	+ TBA

**Issue 1-1-2: Whether power down problem is likely to happen in P-MPR solution?**

* Proposals
	+ Option 1: Yes
	+ Option 2: No
* Recommended WF
	+ TBA

### Sub-topic 1-2 Interference

*Sub-topic description*

*Open issues and candidate options before e-meeting:*

**Issue 1-2-1: How to handle REFSENS degradation**

* Proposals
	+ Option 1: Reduce the Tx power for reference sensitivity back to PC3 power levels
	+ Option 2: Reduce the uplink configuration (number of RB’s) for reference sensitivity
	+ Option 3: Introduce an MSD term
* Recommended WF
	+ TBA

**Issue 1-2-2: Evaluation of receiver sensitivity degradation**

* Proposals
* Option 1: MSD evaluation as well as uplink configuration for FDD PC2 is calculated based on PC3 assumptions
* Option 2: MSD evaluation as well as uplink configuration for FDD PC2 is calculated based on new assumptions
* Recommended WF
	+ TBA

### Sub-topic 1-3 System Performance evaluation

***Sub-topic description: Target to conclude on system performance evaluation for NR FDD HUPE based on simulation results submitted in this meeting.***

*Open issues and candidate options before e-meeting:*

**Issue 1-3: Simulation results**

* Proposals
	+ Option 1: There are performance gain observed based on simulation results, no further evaluation is needed.
	+ Option 2: The performance gain needs to be further evaluated.
* Recommended WF
	+ TBA

## Companies views’ collection for 1st round

### Open issues

Sub topic 1-1 SAR Scheme

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Comments** |
| LGE | Issues 1-1-1: **: How to apply duty cycle in FDD band**Prefer option1. Duty Cycle approach can be applied for FDD band if there are not any big problem to apply the dutycycle capability.Issue 1-1-2: **Whether power down problem is likely to happen in P-MPR solution?**Option 2: P-MPR solution is one of alternative solution by UE implementation to meet SAR regulation. NW should be known the P-MPR level after apply P-MPR to meet SAR regulation.  |

Sub topic 1-2 Interference

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Comments** |
| LGE | **Issue 1-2-1: How to handle REFSENS degradation**Prefer option 3 to define MSD for PC2 UE**Issue 1-2-2: Evaluation of receiver sensitivity degradation**Prefer option 1 to define MSD & UL configuration based PC3 assumptions |
| Skyworks | **Issue 1-2-1: How to handle REFSENS degradation**Given that PC2 is meant for cell edge where REFSENS is relevant and increased MSD will happen whatever the architecture => option 3 is preferred**Issue 1-2-2: Evaluation of receiver sensitivity degradation**REFSENS or MSD is assessed with the same UL configuration than for PC3 |

Sub topic 1-3 System performance evaluation

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Comments** |
| LGE | **Issue 1-3: Simulation results**Prefer option 2. Need further evaluation to align the system simulation results. |

### CRs/TPs comments collection

*For close-to-finalize WIs and maintenance work, comments collections can be arranged for TPs and CRs. For ongoing WIs, suggest to focus on open issues discussion on 1st round.*

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **CR/TP number** | **Comments collection** |
| XXX | Company A |
| Company B |
|  |
| YYY | Company A |
| Company B |
|  |

## Summary for 1st round

### Open issues

*Moderator tries to summarize discussion status for 1st round, list all the identified open issues and tentative agreements or candidate options and suggestion for 2nd round i.e. WF assignment.*

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
|  | **Status summary**  |
| **Sub-topic #1** | *Tentative agreements:**Candidate options:**Recommendations for 2nd round:* |

### CRs/TPs

*Moderator tries to summarize discussion status for 1st round and provides recommendation on CRs/TPs Status update*

*Note: The tdoc decisions shall be provided in Section 3 and this table is optional in case moderators would like to provide additional information.*

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **CR/TP number** | **CRs/TPs Status update recommendation**  |
| XXX | *Based on 1st round of comments collection, moderator can recommend the next steps such as “agreeable”, “to be revised”* |

## Discussion on 2nd round (if applicable)

# Topic #2: TR Skeleton

*Main technical topic overview. The structure can be done based on sub-agenda basis.*

## Companies’ contributions summary

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **T-doc number** | **Company** | **Proposals / Observations** |
| R4-2106912 | China Unicom | TR skeleton for Study on high power UE (power class 2) for one NR FDD band |

## Open issues summary

*Before e-Meeting, moderators shall summarize list of open issues, candidate options and possible WF (if applicable) based on companies’ contributions.*

### Sub-topic 2-1

*Sub-topic description:*

*Open issues and candidate options before e-meeting:*

**Issue 2-1: TR skeleton**

* Recommended WF
	+ It is recommended to agree on the TR skeleton.

## Companies views’ collection for 1st round

### Open issues

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Comments** |
| XXX | Sub topic 2-1:  |

### CRs/TPs comments collection

*Major close to finalize WIs and Rel-15 maintenance, comments collections can be arranged for TPs and CRs. For Rel-16 on-going WIs, suggest to focus on open issues discussion on 1st round.*

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **CR/TP number** | **Comments collection** |
| XXX | Company A |
| Company B |
|  |
| YYY | Company A |
| Company B |
|  |

## Summary for 1st round

### Open issues

*Moderator tries to summarize discussion status for 1st round, list all the identified open issues and tentative agreements or candidate options and suggestion for 2nd round i.e. WF assignment.*

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
|  | **Status summary**  |
| **Sub-topic#1** | *Tentative agreements:**Candidate options:**Recommendations for 2nd round:* |

### CRs/TPs

*Moderator tries to summarize discussion status for 1st round and provided recommendation on CRs/TPs Status update suggestion*

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **CR/TP number** | **CRs/TPs Status update recommendation**  |
| XXX | *Based on 1st round of comments collection, moderator can recommend the next steps such as “agreeable”, “to be revised”* |

## Discussion on 2nd round (if applicable)

*Moderator can provide summary of 2nd round here. Note that recommended decisions on tdocs should be provided in the section titled ”Recommendations for Tdocs”.*

# Recommendations for Tdocs

## 1st round

**New tdocs**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Title** | **Source** | **Comments** |
| WF on … | YYY |  |
| LS on … | ZZZ | To: RAN\_X; Cc: RAN\_Y |
|  |  |  |

**Existing tdocs**

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Tdoc number** | **Title** | **Source** | **Recommendation**  | **Comments** |
| R4-210xxxx | CR on … | XXX | Agreeable, Revised, Merged, Postponed, Not Pursued |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |

Notes:

1. Please include the summary of recommendations for all tdocs across all sub-topics incl. existing and new tdocs.
2. For the Recommendation column please include one of the following:
	1. CRs/TPs: Agreeable, Revised, Merged, Postponed, Not Pursued
	2. Other documents: Agreeable, Revised, Noted
3. For new LS documents, please include information on To/Cc WGs in the comments column
4. Do not include hyper-links in the documents

## 2nd round

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Tdoc number** | **Title** | **Source** | **Recommendation**  | **Comments** |
| R4-210xxxx | CR on … | XXX | Agreeable, Revised, Merged, Postponed, Not Pursued |  |
| R4-210xxxx | WF on … | YYY | Agreeable, Revised, Noted |  |
| R4-210xxxx | LS on … | ZZZ | Agreeable, Revised, Noted |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |

Notes:

1. Please include the summary of recommendations for all tdocs across all sub-topics.
2. For the Recommendation column please include one of the following:
	1. CRs/TPs: Agreeable, Revised, Merged, Postponed, Not Pursued
	2. Other documents: Agreeable, Revised, Noted
3. Do not include hyper-links in the documents