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# Introduction

This email thread discusses the maintenance on Rel-17 Tx switching enhancement for inter-band SUL and uplink CA.

List of candidate target of email discussion for 1st round and 2nd round:

* 1st round:
  + Review and comment the recommended WF for each issue in section 1.2.
  + Review and comment the draft CRs in section 1.3.2.
* 2nd round:
  + TBA

# Topic #1: Rel-17 Tx switching maintenance

## Companies’ contributions summary

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **T-doc number** | **Company** | **Proposals / Observations** |
| R4-2104592 | CMCC | Draft CR to 38.101-1 Correction on DL interruption applicability for inter-band CA:  Correct the note for DL interruption applicability in Table 5.2A.2.1-1 to support all the following cases for dynamic Tx switching  – 1Tx-2Tx switching between carrier 1 and carrier 2  – 2Tx-2Tx switching between carrier 1 and carrier 2  – 1Tx-2Tx and 2Tx-2Tx switching between band A (carrier 1) and band B (carrier 2+3) |
| R4-2104593 | CMCC | In this contribution, we discuss the DL interruption applicability for dynamic Tx switching for Rel-17 1Tx-2Tx and 2Tx-2Tx switching between band A and band B. The proposals are provided as follows:  Proposal 1: it is proposed to correct the NOTE 8 in Table 5.2A.2.1-1 TS 38.101-1 as follows:  NOTE 8: Applicable when dynamic Tx switching is conducted. The DL interruption requirement is specified in clause 8.2.2.2.10 of 38.133 [13].  Proposal 2: RAN4 should discuss whether the correction on NOTE for “DL interruption allowed” should be adopted for Rel-16 TS 38.101-1 and Rel-16/17 TS 38.101-3 in order to keep the spec consistency. |
| R4-2104638 | ZTE Wistron Telecom AB | Observation 1: In new Rel-17 UL Tx switching schemes, the Case 2 maximum transmit power gap between CA and SUL is still the same as that in Rel-16.  Observation 2: The capability demand for Carrier #1 in Rel-17 new UL Tx switching schemes has no impact on the power boosting capability of Carrier #2 in Rel-16.  Observation 3: The power boosting in Rel-17 new UL Tx switching schemes can be enabled as that in Rel-16 with the same set of signalling and without any additional requirement.  Proposal: Support power boosting scheme for CA in Rel-17 new UL Tx switching schemes. |
| R4-2104639 | ZTE Wistron Telecom AB | draftCR on Rel-17 UL Tx switching time mask for 2Tx-2Tx switching between two carriers and 1Tx-2Tx/2Tx-2Tx switching between two bands in Rel-17:  Enable power boosting for the new Rel-17 UL Tx switching. |
| R4-2105087 | Ericsson | We propose  Proposal 1: RAN4 to send an LS to inform the upcoming RAN meeting that MPR for TxD is considered by RAN4, but that this does not preclude approval of the endorsed CR RP-210850 nor band combinations with TX switching and MPR allowance according to the existing MPR specification for PC2.  while observing that  Observation 1: power boosting for UL CA PC3 also applies to the 2TX-2TX case as per the specification in 38.331, recognising that the 3 dB boosting only occurs on carrier2. |

## Open issues summary

### Sub-topic 1-1: Note for DL interruption applicability

**Issue 1-1: Note for DL interruption applicability**

* *RAN4 #98e agreement on the applicability of DL interruption (see approved WF in R4-2103235)*
  + *There is no need to differentiate the DL interruption applicability between Rel-16 1Tx-2Tx switching and Rel-17 Tx switching scenarios, which means that “DL interruption allowed” specified in existing TS 38.101-1 should also be applied to the Rel-17 Tx switching scenarios including:*
    - *2Tx-2Tx switching between carrier 1 and carrier 2*
    - *1Tx-2Tx and 2Tx-2Tx switching between band A (carrier 1) and band B (carrier 2+3)*
* Proposals
  + Proposal 1: it is proposed to correct the NOTE 8 in Table 5.2A.2.1-1 TS 38.101-1 as follows: (CMCC)

NOTE 8: Applicable when dynamic Tx switching is conducted. The DL interruption requirement is specified in clause 8.2.2.2.10 of 38.133 [13].

* + Proposal 2: RAN4 should discuss whether the correction on NOTE for “DL interruption allowed” should be adopted for Rel-16 TS 38.101-1 and Rel-16/17 TS 38.101-3 in order to keep the spec consistency. (CMCC)
* Recommended WF
  + Is proposal 1 agreeable?
  + Encourage feedback on proposal 2.

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Comments** |
| OPPO | Proposal 1 is ok. |
| China Telecom | On proposal 1: We support Proposal 1 to implement the RAN4 #98e agreement. In addition, the reference clause number to 38.133 needs also to be updated after the RRM requirements for Rel-17 switching scenarios are finished.  On proposal 2: We prefer not to change the NOTE for Rel-16 TS 38.101-1 and Rel-16/17 TS 38.101-3, since the switching scenarios are not extended for those specs. But we are open to follow majority view as well. |
|  |  |

### Sub-topic 1-2: Power boosting for CA Tx switching

**Issue 1-2: Power boosting for CA Tx switching**

* Proposals
  + Proposal 1:Support power boosting scheme for CA in Rel-17 new UL Tx switching schemes. (ZTE)
  + Proposal 2: Power boosting for UL CA PC3 also applies to the 2TX-2TX case as per the specification in 38.331, recognising that the 3 dB boosting only occurs on carrier2. (E///)
* Recommended WF
  + Encourage feedback on proposal 1 and 2.

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Comments** |
| OPPO | In Rel-17, the inter-band CA PC2 feature is introduced for the band combinations that support PC2 this power boosting is not needed. For the band combinations that doesn’t support PC2 but can support PC2 in high band then maybe power boosting is needed. |
| China Telecom | It is not necessary to introduce power boosting for PC3 in Rel-17 switching scenarios, since Rel-17 has already specified PC2. This is a different situation to Rel-16.  From the first meeting for Rel-17 Tx switching, companies have spent efforts to discuss the technical aspects, and no one has found any UE architecture that supporting PC3 + power boosting but not supporting PC2.  Regarding OPPO’s comment, we agree with the first sentence; we don’t seen any BC that doesn’t support PC2 BC but can support PC2 in high band. |
|  |  |
|  |  |

### Sub-topic 1-3: MPR for TxD

**Issue 1-3: MPR for TxD**

* Proposals
  + Proposal 1: RAN4 to send an LS to inform the upcoming RAN meeting that MPR for TxD is considered by RAN4, but that this does not preclude approval of the endorsed CR RP-210850 nor band combinations with TX switching and MPR allowance according to the existing MPR specification for PC2. (E///)
* Recommended WF
  + Encourage feedback on proposal 1.

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Comments** |
| OPPO | Maybe it is better to wait for the MPR introduced for 2T which could be finished in a short time. |
|  |  |
|  |  |

## Companies views’ collection for 1st round

### Open issues

*Provided under each issue in section 1.2*

### CRs/TPs comments collection

*For close-to-finalize WIs and maintenance work, comments collections can be arranged for TPs and CRs. For ongoing WIs, suggest to focus on open issues discussion on 1st round.*

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Draft CR number** | **Comments collection** |
| R4-2104592, CMCC | China Telecom: support |
| Company B |
|  |
| R4-2104639, ZTE | China Telecom: not support |
| Company B |
|  |

## Summary for 1st round

### Open issues

*Moderator tries to summarize discussion status for 1st round, list all the identified open issues and tentative agreements or candidate options and suggestion for 2nd round i.e. WF assignment.*

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
|  | **Status summary** |
| **Sub-topic#1** | *Tentative agreements:*  *Candidate options:*  *Recommendations for 2nd round:* |

### CRs/TPs

*Moderator tries to summarize discussion status for 1st round and provides recommendation on CRs/TPs Status update*

*Note: The tdoc decisions shall be provided in Section 3 and this table is optional in case moderators would like to provide additional information.*

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **CR/TP number** | **CRs/TPs Status update recommendation** |
| XXX | *Based on 1st round of comments collection, moderator can recommend the next steps such as “agreeable”, “to be revised”* |

## Discussion on 2nd round

1. Recommendations for Tdocs
   1. 1st round

**New tdocs**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Title** | **Source** | **Comments** |
| WF on … | YYY |  |
| LS on … | ZZZ | To: RAN\_X; Cc: RAN\_Y |
|  |  |  |

**Existing tdocs**

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Tdoc number** | **Title** | **Source** | **Recommendation** | **Comments** |
| R4-210xxxx | CR on … | XXX | Agreeable, Revised, Merged, Postponed, Not Pursued |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |

Notes:

1. Please include the summary of recommendations for all tdocs across all sub-topics incl. existing and new tdocs.
2. For the Recommendation column please include one of the following:
   1. CRs/TPs: Agreeable, Revised, Merged, Postponed, Not Pursued
   2. Other documents: Agreeable, Revised, Noted
3. For new LS documents, please include information on To/Cc WGs in the comments column
4. Do not include hyper-links in the documents
   1. 2nd round

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Tdoc number** | **Title** | **Source** | **Recommendation** | **Comments** |
| R4-210xxxx | CR on … | XXX | Agreeable, Revised, Merged, Postponed, Not Pursued |  |
| R4-210xxxx | WF on … | YYY | Agreeable, Revised, Noted |  |
| R4-210xxxx | LS on … | ZZZ | Agreeable, Revised, Noted |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |

Notes:

1. Please include the summary of recommendations for all tdocs across all sub-topics.
2. For the Recommendation column please include one of the following:
   1. CRs/TPs: Agreeable, Revised, Merged, Postponed, Not Pursued
   2. Other documents: Agreeable, Revised, Noted
3. Do not include hyper-links in the documents