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# Background

This WF covers the high level issues of IAB-MT EVM measurement procedure in core spec TS 38.174.

# Way forward

2.1 High level agreements

After the 1st round email discussion and the GTW discussion, the following high level agreements were reached,

**Issue 1-1: Does IAB-MT EVM measurement procedure refer UE spec or follow BS procedure?**

Using BS approach as basis, further discuss on the details required and the modifications not precluded if necessary.

**Issue 1-2: If all of the UL physical channels should be tested for IAB-MT EVM measurement?**

Using BS approach:

1. Core spec: clarify that the EVM (modulation orders) specify for PUSCH.
2. Introduce test models in conformance spec with PUSCH channel.

**Issue 1-3: Should PTRS be used for IAB-MT EVM measurement?**

Follow BS approach to configure PTRS in FR2 with optional.

Further discuss the test model and how to the clarify the optional in conformance specification if needed

**Issue 1-4: How to modify IAB-MT EVM measurement diagram?**

Using BS diagram as basis, further modifications not precluded if necessary.

**Issue 1-6: The basic EVM measurement interval for IAB-MT**

The same as BS approach.

2.2 WF for the details

According to the 1st round discussion agreements, BS approach is used for the IAB-MT EVM measurement as a high level guideline. However, IAB-MT Tx signal is UL signal, some details of BS approach needs to be modified to adapt to UL signal. Every sub-clause of BS EVM is reviewed,

The followings are the preliminary high level estimated modifications for IAB-MT compared with BS EVM measurement procedure.

The CR will be prepared based on the following guidelines, other modifications are not excluded if they’re found technically necessary.

### 2.2.1 FR1 BS approach adaption for IAB-MT

* B.1 Reference point for measurement

Add the measurement reference point for DFT-s-OFDM modulated signals.

[Ericsson] DFT-s-OFDM waveform is less critical for PAPR compared with CP-OFDM signal. If CP-OFDM signal can pass EVM test and it will for DFT-s-OFDM signal from the RF perspective. Maybe the question then whether or not the DFT-s-OFDM signal should be tested. It also related to the test burden reduction discussion as the principle there agreed is to test the more stringent case.

* B.2 Basic unit of measurement

No necessary modifications were observed.

* B.3 Modified signal under test

Add the modification equation for DFT-s-OFDM modulated signals.

[Ericsson] the same concern for DFT-s-OFDM signal as discussed above.

* B.4 Estimation of frequency offset

No necessary modifications were observed.

* B.5 Estimation of time offset

Window length for UE is smaller than BS. As IAB-MT is part of a network node, it is estimated that the filter implementation capability can be similar with BS and IAB-DU. Therefore the same window length as IAB-DU is proposed for IAB-MT. Based on that technical assumption, the following can be observed.

No necessary modifications were observed including B.5.1 General and B.5.2 Window length.

[Ericsson] Window length is informative so agree with suggestion but seems argument is better from this aspective.

* B.6 Estimation of TX chain amplitude and frequency response parameters

The UL reference signals are different with DL reference signals, so

Equalizer coefficients calculation method should be modified to adapt to UL signals.

[Ericsson] TS 38.101-1/2 does not specifically give full formular on the equalizer coefficients calculation. Rather the 38.151-1/2 has detailed formular for this. Compared with equalizer calculation the difference is listed as below:

1. TS 38.101-1/2:

In the case of PUCCH and PUSCH, the UL EVM analyzer shall estimate the TX chain equalizer coefficients and  used by the ZF equalizer for all subcarriers by time averaging at each signal subcarrier of the amplitude and phase of the reference and data symbols. The time-averaging length is 1 slot. This process creates an average amplitude and phase for each signal subcarrier used by the ZF equalizer. The knowledge of data modulation symbols may be required in this step because the determination of symbols by demodulation is not reliable before signal equalization.

1. TS 38.104 annex B3.

The difference is the averaging approach for Equalizer Coefficeints (EC), for UE, it time averaging at both data and reference symbol while BS approach is to average the reference symbol and apply the linear interpolation for data symbol. The basic formular to calculate the equalizer coefficients is the same when compared with TS 38.104 and TS 38.151-1/2. UE approach needs to be more “pessimistic” as there is later on a spectrum flatness requirements to pass while it is not the case for BS. From this aspects, we think BS EC approach is better for IAB-MT as IAB-MT has no spectrum flatness requirements. On the other hand, if UE system emulator is used, it would not matter if it can pass the EVM test if DUT has better margin. So the conclusion is that BS EC approach is ok to be specified in the TS 38.174.

Again, we see it less critical for UL signal verse DL signal (of course, we need UL signal of PUSCH) other than how to calculate the EC.

* B.7 Averaged EVM

Change to , to .

[Ericsson] some general text could be added if BS annex was referred in the end.

### 2.2.2 FR2 BS approach adaption for IAB-MT

FR2 modifications are very similar with FR1.

* C.1 Reference point for measurement

Add the measurement reference point for DFT-s-OFDM modulated signals.

[Ericsson] same comments with FR1.

* C.2 Basic unit of measurement

No necessary modifications were observed.

* C.3 Modified signal under test

Add the modification equation for DFT-s-OFDM modulated signals.

[Ericsson] same comments with FR1.

* C.4 Estimation of frequency offset

No necessary modifications were observed.

* C.5 Estimation of time offset

No necessary modifications were observed including B.5.1 General and B.5.2 Window length.

* C.6 Estimation of TX chain amplitude and frequency response parameters

Equalizer coefficients calculation method should be modified to adapt to UL signals.

[Ericsson] same comments with FR1. Above all, there is PT-RS signal need to be considered.

* C.7 Averaged EVM

Change to , to .

[Ericsson] some general text could be added if BS annex was referred in the end.
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