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# Introduction

The email thread [98e] [305] NR\_IAB\_RF\_Maintenance covers the contributions in agenda 7.4.1 and 7.4.2. The targets of the two rounds are as following.

* 1st round:
  + Discuss the open issues to find the tentative WF.
  + Review the maintenance CRs to collect comments.
* 2nd round:
  + Approve the WF for the open issues or agree the related CRs.
  + Agree the maintenance CRs.

# Topic #1: Open issues for maintenance

*Main technical topic overview. The structure can be done based on sub-agenda basis.*

## Companies’ contributions summary

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **T-doc number** | **Company** | **Proposals / Observations** |
| R4-2100365 | CATT | **Proposal 1: IAB-MT EVM measurement process refers UE R15 specification.**  **Proposal 2: IAB-MT EVM only test PUSCH physical channel and the measurement process doesn’t include the description of other physical channels/signals.**  How to update the spec is also provided to collect comments. |
| R4-2100826 | CMCC | **Proposal 1: the diagram for IAB-MT EVM measurement methodology is suggested below by replacing the block** **“Tx-Rx chain equalizer” with block “Per-subcarrier Amplitude/phase and CPE correction” and by eliminating block “in-band emission meas”, based on UE measurement points. It is noted, the CPE correction is only used in FR2 not FR1.**    **Proposal 2: For IAB-MT it is better to calculate phase and magnitude of original Tx signal in 10ms time-averaging length without any frequency domain linear interpolation when measuring EVM.**  **Proposal 3: For averaged EVM, it is more preferred to reuse the same averaging period as UE over basic EVM considering IAB-MT acts as UE.** |
| R4-2102012 | Nokia, Nokia Shanghai Bell | **Proposal 1: Usage of PT-RS should be enabled in Tx EVM conformance test for IAB-MT to be aligned with Tx EVM test for gNB.** |
| R4-2102333 | Ericsson | **Proposal-1: Specify BS approach on EVM measurement procedure**.  **Proposal-2: Allow the configuration of the PTRS signal in the IAB-MT TX test signal but optional.** |
| R4-2102334 | Ericsson | **Observation-1: Compared with UE characteristic of interference signal specification, the bandwidth and # of RB are specified in core specification in IAB-MT and thus there is no need to be specified again in Annex.**  **Observation-2: Interference signal other detailed configuration is chosen as the same as the wanted signal in UE specification.**  **Proposal -1: IAB-MT interference signal construction could be the same as the wanted signal as one option.**  **Proposal-2: For the detail configuration design of interference signal design, RAN4 could discuss it after consensus on wanted signal design (test model).** |

## Open issues summary and views’ collection for 1st round

### Sub-topic 1-1

**Issue 1-1: Does IAB-MT EVM measurement procedure refer UE spec or follow BS procedure?**

* Proposals
  + Option 1: Refer UE R15 spec with some necessary modifications. (CATT)
  + Option 2: The same as BS approach. (Ericsson)
* Recommended WF
  + Option 1

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Comments** |
| XXX |  |
| Ericsson | Option 2. As indicated in 2333, the BS approach does not prevent the usage of the UE TE while it not the other way round. |

### Sub-topic 1-2

**Issue 1-2: If all of the UL physical channels should be tested for IAB-MT EVM measurement?**

* Proposals
  + Option 1: only PUSCH is tested (CATT)
  + Option 2: Following UE that PUSCH, PUCCH, DMRS and PRACH should be tested.
  + Option 3: Other proposal
* Recommended WF
  + Option 1

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Comments** |
| XXX |  |
| Ericsson | Option 1. EVM measure the hardware impairment. Follow the BS approach which not test all different physical channel is ok. |

### Sub-topic 1-3

**Issue 1-3: Should PTRS be used for IAB-MT EVM measurement?**

* Proposals
  + Option 1: yes for FR2 and optional (Nokia, Ericsson)
  + Option 2: No, as the current UE spec
* Recommended WF
  + Option 1

*Moderator: It’s moderator’s understanding that the proposal is for FR2 not FR1.*

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Comments** |
| XXX |  |
| Ericsson | Option 1. |

### Sub-topic 1-4

**Issue 1-4: How to modify IAB-MT EVM measurement diagram?**

* Proposals
  + Option 1: As proposed in R4-2100365 (CATT)
  + Option 2: As proposes in R4-2100826 (CMCC)
  + Option 3: As BS diagram (Ericsson)
  + Option 4: Other proposal
* Recommended WF
  + To be discussed

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Comments** |
| XXX |  |
| Ericsson | Option 3. |

### Sub-topic 1-5

**Issue 1-5: The equalizer calculation method and time interval for IAB-MT EVM measurement**

* Proposals
  + Option 1: No frequency domain linear interpolation and 10 ms (CMCC)
  + Option 2: The same as BS (Ericsson)
  + Option 3: The same as UE (CATT)
  + Option 4: Other proposal
* Recommended WF
  + To be discussed

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Comments** |
| XXX |  |
| Ericsson | Option 2. |

### Sub-topic 1-6

**Issue 1-6: The basic EVM measurement interval for IAB-MT**

* Proposals
  + Option 1: The same as UE for PUSCH (10 ms) (CATT)
  + Option 2: The same as UE (CMCC)
  + Option 3: The same as BS (Ericsson)
* Recommended WF
  + To be discussed

*Moderator: The discussion of this topic may rely on the conclusion of Issue 1-2. If the conclusion is that only PUSCH is measured for IAB-MT, all of the three options go to 10 ms.*

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Comments** |
| XXX |  |
| Ericsson | Option 3. UE and BS both has 10ms measurement inverval for DATA. Addtionaly UE also has 60 subframe for reference signal so it also depends on which physical channel EVM IAB-MT should be tested. If only PUSCH is needed which is to follow BS approach. |

### Sub-topic 1-7

**Issue 1-7: IAB-MT interference signal construction spec**

* Proposals
  + Proposal in R4-2102334 (Ericsson): One option is to configure the interference signal as the same as wanted signal but detail is decided after the conclusion of DL FRCin conformance test. Below text is recommended.

*The interfering signal shall be configured with PDSCH and PDCCH containing data and DM-RS symbols. Normal cyclic prefix is used. The data content shall be uncorrelated to the wanted signal and modulated according to clause 6 of TS38.211 [9]. Mapping of PDSCH modulation to receiver requirement are specified in table F-1.*

Table F-1: Modulation of the interfering signal

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Receiver requirement | Modulation |
| Adjacent channel selectivity and narrow-band blocking | QPSK |
| General blocking | QPSK |
| Receiver intermodulation | QPSK |

* Recommended WF
  + To be discussed

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Comments** |
| XXX |  |
| Ericsson | The text proposal does not give detail of the construction of the interference signal which follows the BS approach. |

## Companies views’ collection for 1st round

### CRs/TPs comments collection

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **CR/TP number** | **Comments collection** |
| R4-2100366, Draft CR for TS 38.174: IAB-MT EVM measurement, CATT | Company A |
| Company B |
| Ericsson: cannto decide now, depending on the 1st round discussion |
| R4-2100367, Draft CR for TR 38.809: IAB-MT EVM measurement, CATT | Company A |
| Company B |
| Ericsson: cannto decide now, depending on the 1st round discussion |
| R4-2102337, CR on Transmitted signal quality in TS 38.174 , Ericsson | Company A |
| Company B |
|  |

## Summary for 1st round

### Open issues

*Moderator tries to summarize discussion status for 1st round, list all the identified open issues and tentative agreements or candidate options and suggestion for 2nd round i.e. WF assignment.*

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
|  | **Status summary** |
| **Sub-topic#1** | *Tentative agreements:*  *Candidate options:*  *Recommendations for 2nd round:* |

*Recommendations on WF/LS assignment*

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  | **WF/LS t-doc Title** | **Assigned Company,**  **WF or LS lead** |
| #1 |  |  |

### CRs/TPs

*Moderator tries to summarize discussion status for 1st round and provides recommendation on CRs/TPs Status update*

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **CR/TP number** | **CRs/TPs Status update recommendation** |
| XXX | *Based on 1st round of comments collection, moderator can recommend the next steps such as “agreeable”, “to be revised”* |

## Discussion on 2nd round (if applicable)

## Summary on 2nd round (if applicable)

*Moderator tries to summarize discussion status for 2nd round and provided recommendation on CRs/TPs/WFs/LSs Status update suggestion*

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **CR/TP/LS/WF number** | **T-doc Status update recommendation** |
| XXX | *Based on 2nd round of comments collection, moderator can recommend the next steps such as “agreeable”, “to be revised”* |

# Topic #2: Maintenance CRs

## Companies views’ collection for 1st round

### CRs/TPs comments collection

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **CR/TP number** | **Comments collection** |
| R4-2100368, Draft CR for TS 38.174: Correction of clause 5, CATT | Company A |
| Company B |
| Ericsson: no need to mention this as the BW per band speaking itself. |
| R4-2100369, Draft CR for TS 38.174: Correction of clause 6,7 and 9, CATT | Company A |
| Company B |
| Ericsson: title of the 9.3 could be adding OTA in front of the IAB output power, not delete the IAB. |
| R4-2100909, Draft CR to align the general clause of radiated and conducted requirement, Samsung  *Moderator: The correction is included in R4-2102011.* | Company A |
| Company B |
| Ericsson: ok |
| R4-2100910 Big CR for update on TR38.809, Samsung | Moderator’s note: This big CR is intended for email approval after the meeting. |
| R4-2102011, DraftCR to TS 38.174: Receiver requirement corrections, Nokia, Nokia Shanghai Bell | Company A |
| Company B |
| Ericsson :Ok |
| R4-2102336, CR on Tx Power related requirements in TS 38.174, Ericsson | Company A |
| Company B |
|  |
| R4-2102338, CR on Transmitter characteristics- Others, TS 38.174 Ericsson | Company A |
| Company B |
|  |
| R4-2102339, CR on In-band selectivity and blocking requirements in TS 38.174, Ericsson | Company A |
| Company B |
|  |
| R4-2102340, CR on Rx Charateristic other related requirements, Ericsson | Company A |
| Company B |
|  |
| R4-2102341, CR on Sensitivity and dynamic range requirements TS 38.174, Ericsson | Company A |
| Company B |
|  |
| R4-2102422, draft CR to TR 38.174 - correction to clause 6, Huawei  *Moderator: The CR is for 38.809.* | Company A |
| Company B |
| Ericsson: ok |

## Summary for 1st round

### CRs/TPs

*Moderator tries to summarize discussion status for 1st round and provided recommendation on CRs/TPs Status update suggestion*

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **CR/TP number** | **CRs/TPs Status update recommendation** |
| XXX | *Based on 1st round of comments collection, moderator can recommend the next steps such as “agreeable”, “to be revised”* |

## Discussion on 2nd round (if applicable)

## Summary on 2nd round (if applicable)

*Moderator tries to summarize discussion status for 2nd round and provided recommendation on CRs/TPs/WFs/LSs Status update suggestion*

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **CR/TP/LS/WF number** | **T-doc Status update recommendation** |
| XXX | *Based on 2nd round of comments collection, moderator can recommend the next steps such as “agreeable”, “to be revised”* |