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# Introduction

Below is list of issues related to adding B24 on the CAT-M1/M2 and NB1/NB2 :

1. CR to add B24 on NB1 and NB2

# Topic #1: CR to add B24 on NB1 and NB2

The CR:es are based on #97e endorsed CR, Comments on the CR is needed and please go directly to section 2.3.2 to comment on each CR.

## Companies’ contributions summary

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **T-doc number** | **Company** | **Proposals / Observations** |
| R4-20xxxxx | Company A | Proposal 1:  Observation 1: |

## Open issues summary

### Sub-topic 1-1

## Companies views’ collection for 1st round

### Open issues

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Comments** |
| XXX | Sub topic 1-1:  Sub topic 1-2:  ….  Others: |

### CRs/TPs comments collection

*Major close-to-finalize WIs and Rel-15 maintenance, comments collections can be arranged for TPs and CRs. For Rel-16 on-going WIs, suggest to focus on open issues discussion on 1st round.*

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **CR/TP number** | **Comments collection** |
| R4-2100604 | Company A |
| Company B |
|  |
| R4-2100608 | Nokia: Cover sheet has “0” in revision field instead of “-“, may not be acceptable for MCC. |
| Company B |
|  |
| R4-2100609 |  |
| R4-2100610 |  |
| R4-2100611 |  |
| R4-2100612 |  |
| R4-2100613 |  |
| R4-2100614 |  |

## Summary for 1st round

No technical comments received but as the cover sheet may have error so the revision of the CR may be needed.

### Open issues

*Moderator tries to summarize discussion status for 1st round, list all the identified open issues and tentative agreements or candidate options and suggestion for 2nd round i.e. WF assignment.*

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
|  | **Status summary** |
| **Sub-topic#1** | *Tentative agreements:*  *Candidate options:*  *Recommendations for 2nd round:* |

*Recommendations on WF/LS assignment*

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  | **WF/LS t-doc Title** | **Assigned Company,**  **WF or LS lead** |
| #1 |  |  |

### CRs/TPs

*Moderator tries to summarize discussion status for 1st round and provides recommendation on CRs/TPs Status update*

No technical comments received on the CR, one company points out the cover sheet has error, so the revision may be needed if MCC does no accept the CR revision of “0” in stead of “-“.

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **CR/TP number** | **CRs/TPs Status update recommendation** |
| XXX | *Based on 1st round of comments collection, moderator can recommend the next steps such as “agreeable”, “to be revised”* |
| R4-2100604 | *“to be revised”* |
| R4-2100608 | *“to be revised”* |
| R4-2100609 | *“to be revised”* |
| R4-2100610 | *“to be revised”* |
| R4-2100611 | *“to be revised”* |
| R4-2100612 | *“to be revised”* |
| R4-2100613 | *“to be revised”* |
| R4-2100614 | *“to be revised”* |
|  |  |
|  |  |

## Discussion on 2nd round (if applicable)

## Summary on 2nd round (if applicable)

*Moderator tries to summarize discussion status for 2nd round and provided recommendation on CRs/TPs/WFs/LSs Status update suggestion*

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **CR/TP/LS/WF number** | **T-doc Status update recommendation** |
| XXX | *Based on 2nd round of comments collection, moderator can recommend the next steps such as “agreeable”, “to be revised”* |