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# Introduction

This part includes contributions in agenda 12.2.1, 12.2.1.1 and 12.2.1.4.

Classify the contents into 3 topics:

1. Topic #1: Work Plan
2. Topic #2: UL MIMO configuration for SUL band configurations as in 12.2.1.1
3. Topic #3: intra-band contiguous UL CA for FR1 power class 2 which is for agenda 12.2.1.4

Candidate target of email discussion are as below:

* 1st round:
  + Make agreement on work plan
  + Reach consensus on enabling UL MIMO configuration for SUL
  + Reach consensus on intra-band contiguous UL CA PC2
* 2nd round:
  + Approve on the CR/LS for enabling UL MIMO configuration for SUL
  + Approve on the WF for intra-band contiguous UL CA HPUE

# Topic #1: Work plan for Rel-17 FR1 UE RF enhancement

*Main technical topic overview. The structure can be done based on sub-agenda basis.*

## Companies’ contributions summary

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **T-doc number** | **Company** | **Proposals / Observations** |
| R4-2016540 | Huawei, HiSilicon | This paper provides Work Plan on Rel-17 FR1 UE RF enhancement according to the time budget agreed in RAN#89 meeting. |

## Open issues summary

### Sub-topic 1-1 Work plan for Rel-17 FR1 UE RF enhancement

**Issue 1-1: Work plan**

* **Proposals: Agree on the work plan in R4-2016540**
* **Recommended WF**
  + TBA

## Companies views’ collection for 1st round

### Open issues

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Sub-topic** | **Comments: (Company: …)** |
| 1-1 |  |
|  |
|  |
|  |
|  |

### CRs/TPs comments collection

*Major close-to-finalize WIs and Rel-15 maintenance, comments collections can be arranged for TPs and CRs. For Rel-16 on-going WIs, suggest to focus on open issues discussion on 1st round.*

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **CR/TP number** | **Comments collection** |
|  |  |
|  |
|  |
|  |

## Summary for 1st round

### Open issues

*Moderator tries to summarize discussion status for 1st round, list all the identified open issues and tentative agreements or candidate options and suggestion for 2nd round i.e. WF assignment.*

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Sub-topic#1 | *Recommendations for 2nd round:* |
|  |  |
|  |

*Recommendations on WF/LS assignment*

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  | **WF/LS t-doc Title** | **Assigned Company,**  **WF or LS lead** |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

### CRs/TPs

*Moderator tries to summarize discussion status for 1st round and provides recommendation on CRs/TPs Status update*

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **CR/TP number** | **CRs/TPs Status update recommendation** |
|  |  |

## Discussion on 2nd round (if applicable)

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| T-doc number | Title | Comments |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

## Summary on 2nd round (if applicable)

*Moderator tries to summarize discussion status for 2nd round and provided recommendation on CRs/TPs/WFs/LSs Status update suggestion*

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **CR/TP/LS/WF number** | **Title** | **T-doc Status update recommendation** |
|  |  |  |

# Topic #2: UL MIMO configuration for SUL band configurations

*Main technical topic overview. The structure can be done based on sub-agenda basis.*

## Companies’ contributions summary

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **T-doc number** | **Company** | **Proposals / Observations** |
| R4-2014735 | CMCC | Summary of change:   1. Section 5.2D: Introduce band n80 in the table of NR operating bands for UL-MIMO in Table 5.2D-1. 2. Section 6.2D: Introduce band n80 in UL MIMO configuration in Table 6.2D.1-1 3. Section 5.2C: Remove Note 3 for SUL band combination in Table 5.2C-1 and Table 5.2C-2 (For UE supporting SUL band combination, UL MIMO is not configured on SUL carrier) |
| LS R4-2014736 | CMCC | LS to RAN2:  Remove restrictions of RAN2 specifications (38.331 and 38.306) on configuring UL MIMO for SUL bands. |
| R4-2015181 | ZTE | Observation 1: SAR issue may become more severe if enabling UL-MIMO support for SUL, in particular at a higher power class.  Proposal 1: RAN4 introduce the missing power class definition for an SUL band combination before the UL-MIMO and 2Tx switching support is enabled for SUL. |
| R4-2015284 | Huawei | Proposal 1: Void note 3 in both table 5.2C-1 and 5.2C-2 in TS 38.101-1 to enable UL MIMO configuration on SUL carriers.  Proposal 2: Send an LS to RAN2 tasking corresponding removal of the restrictions against configuring UL MIMO on SUL carriers and UE indicating correct UL MIMO capabilities for SUL bands.  Proposal 3: Add n80 into table 5.2D-1 UL MIMO band list in TS 38.101-1.  Proposal 4: Establish a new basket WI for introduction of bands supporting UL MIMO according to operators’ requests in Rel-17. |

## Open issues summary

### Sub-topic 2-1: enable UL MIMO configuration on SUL carriers in TS 38.101

**Issue 2-1-1: Revision on TS 38.101 to enable MIMO configuration for SUL**

* Proposals
  + revise the spec as proposed in R4-2015284:
    - Void note 3 in both table 5.2C-1 and 5.2C-2 in TS 38.101-1
    - Add n80 into table 5.2D-1 and 6.2D UL MIMO band list in TS 38.101-1
* Recommended WF
  + **TBA**

**Issue 2-1-2: new basket WI for introduction of bands supporting UL MIMO**

* Proposals
  + **Option 1: Yes**
  + **Option 2: No**
* Recommended WF
  + **TBA**

**Issue 2-1-3: Whether introducing SUL BC power class is a prerequisite to enabling UL MIMO configuration on SUL bands?**

* Proposals
  + **Option 1:**  **Yes**
  + **Option 2: No**
* Recommended WF
  + **TBA**

### Sub-topic 2-2: LS to RAN2 on removing restrictions for SUL MIMO

**Issue 2-2-1: Send the LS to RAN2(CC RAN1) as proposed in R4-2014736**

* Proposals
  + **Option 1:** Yes, contents for the LS follows R4-2014736
  + **Option 2:** other
* Recommended WF
  + **TBA**

## Companies views’ collection for 1st round

### Open issues

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Sub-topic** | **Comments: (Company: …)** |
| 2-1 | **Issue 2-1-1:** |
| **Issue 2-1-2** |
| **Issue 2-1-3** |
| 2-2 | **Issue 2-2-1:** |

### CRs/TPs comments collection

*Major close to finalize WIs and Rel-15 maintenance, comments collections can be arranged for TPs and CRs. For Rel-16 on-going WIs, suggest to focus on open issues discussion on 1st round.*

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **CR/TP number** | **Comments collection** |
| R4-2014735 | Company A |
| Company B |
|  |
|  |  |
|  |
|  |  |
|  |

## Summary for 1st round

### Open issues

*Moderator tries to summarize discussion status for 1st round, list all the identified open issues and tentative agreements or candidate options and suggestion for 2nd round i.e. WF assignment.*

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Sub-topic** | **Status summary** |
| 2-1 |  |
|  |
|  |
|  |

*Suggestion on WF/LS assignment*

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  | **WF/LS t-doc Title** | **Assigned Company,**  **WF or LS lead** |
|  |  |  |

### CRs/TPs

*Moderator tries to summarize discussion status for 1st round and provided recommendation on CRs/TPs Status update suggestion*

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **CR/TP number** | **CRs/TPs Status update recommendation** |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |

## Discussion on 2nd round (if applicable)

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| T-doc number | Title | Comments |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

## Summary on 2nd round (if applicable)

*Moderator tries to summarize discussion status for 2nd round and provided recommendation on CRs/TPs/WFs/LSs Status update suggestion*

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| T-doc number | Title | **T-doc Status update recommendation** |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

# Topic #3: PC2 intra-band contiguous UL CA

## Companies’ contributions summary

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **T-doc number** | **Company** | **Proposals / Observations** |
| R4-2014392 | CATT | Observation 1: Duty cycle based solution and fall back/P-MPR solution were chosen for the closed HPUE topics.  Observation 2: The RAN1 transmission power priority considers both physical channels and cells. It’s difficult to say which cell of Pcell or Scell should be prioritized.  Proposal 1: Duty cycle based SAR solution is used by TDD intra-band contiguous UL CA.  Proposal 2: Total duty cycle capability for the two UL carriers can be reported to the network.  Proposal 3: TDD intra-band contiguous UL CA total duty cycle capability can be defined referring TDD single carrier HPUE duty cycle capability, i.e. 50% is the default total duty cycle capability and the reported IE can be defined as ENUMERATED {n60, n70, n80, n90, n100}.  Observation 3: The solution for the UE behaviour when the scheduling is beyond UE duty cycle capability and/or the capability is absent needs more discussion. |
| R4-2014508 | Skyworks | **Proposal 1 on HPUE architecture for class C contiguous UL CA:**  **• A single TX PC2 PA is the baseline to develop requirement**  **• Additional requirement for two PC3 PAs architecture is FFS once single CC related requirements are finalized.**  **Proposal 2 on ACLR and SEM requirements for PC2 contiguous UL CA:**  **• PC2 ACLR is PC3 ACLR+1 dB at 31 dB**  **• PC2 SEM is the same as for PC3.**  ***Furthermore, back-off measurements are presented for contiguous and non-contiguous allocation MPR and A-MPR.***  **Proposal 3 on contiguous allocations:**  **• Contiguous Inner and Outer allocations definition for PC3 is adopted for PC2 (1Tx)**  **• PC3 QPSK Inner MPR is adopted for PC2 (1Tx)**  **• PC3 QPSK Outer MPR is adopted for PC2 (1Tx) with a potential reduction due to better ACLR**  **• PC2 (1Tx) NS04 A-MPR is FFS but larger than PC3 especially for some inner allocation.**  **Proposal 4 on non-contiguous allocations:**  **• Contiguous Inner, Outer1 and Outer 2 allocations definition for PC3 is adopted for PC2**  **• PC3 QPSK MPR is adopted for PC2 (1Tx) with 1 dB additional back-off**  **• PC2 (1Tx) NS04 A-MPR is FFS but larger than PC3 especially for some inner allocation.** |
| R4-2015038 | ZTE | Observation 1: Rx RF requirements are not impacted.  Proposal 1: No changed for the spectrum emission mask and additional spurious emission requirements, regardless of the RF implementation architectures  Proposal 2: The UE maximum output power is 26dBm+ +/-2dB, regardless of the RF implementation architectures  Proposal 3: Same requirement for PC2 single carrier (i.e. ACLR=31dB) is re-used for PC2 intra-band contiguous UL CA.  Observation 2: P-MPR solution can be used as basedline SAR solution  Proposal 4. For duty cycle based solutions, report duty cycle of PCell.  Proposal 5: A new parameter i.e ΔPPowerClass needs to be introduced on top of the currently PC3 PCMAX\_L equations in case of the duty cycle solution is identified. |
| R4-2015261 | Xiaomi | Proposal 1: required changes for TS 38.101-1 in table 1 shall be considered when HP UE intra-band contiguous CA is introduced.  Observation 1: power class is defined per band other than per CC  Proposal 2: no need to consider different power class configuration of each CC for HP UE intra-band contiguous CA.  Proposal 3: the mechanism for single carrier for PC2 to meet SAR requirments can be reused for high power UE intra-band contiguous CA. |
| R4-2015326 | Vivo | **Observation 1**: The SAR control scheme is one issue that need to be solved for TDD intra-band contiguous UL CA.  **Observation 2**: Intra-band EN-DC (TDD-TDD) scheme can be considered somehow similar to UL CA case in that similar restrictions for two carriers for in-device co-existence.  **Observation 3**: It is already recommended in the WID that “*- Mechanism for HPUE on single carrier can be a start point considering the same UL-DL configuration assumption*”.  **Proposal 1**: Optionally report one UL duty cycle threshold or reuse the capability for single carrier case, make mandatory power class back off if actual transmission exceeded.  **Proposal 2**: Conceptually, one carrier transmission in intra-band contiguous CA configuration can also be regarded as CA transmission if needed in requirements definition.  **Proposal 3**: For default case without the capability reporting, default value solution which is identical to NR single carrier case can be reused.  **Proposal 4**: Do not consider any power class fallback optimization since it was already down scoped. |
| R4-2015354 | OPPO | 2.1 Basic configurations  Observation 1: In Rel-15, same UL/DL configuration and synchronized scenario are adopted for intra-band EN-DC based on the NW deployment reality.  Proposal 1: It is proposed to adopt same UL/DL configuration and synchronized condition for Rel-17 intra-band contiguous UL CA HPUE.  2.2 UE architecture  Observation 2: In Rel-15, dual PA architecture was adopted as reference for the intra-band EN-DC HPUE.  Observation 3: There is possibility that UE might use single PA with 200MHz or two PAs with 100MHz each to support PC2 CA.  Proposal 2: It is proposed to consider two UE reference architectures for intra-band contiguous UL CA HPUE, one is single PA with 200MHz, the other is two PAs with 100MHz each.  2.3 power class  Observation 4: The power class definition between single CC and intra-band contiguous CA are same as the discussion in UL MIMO/TxD and should be clarified from the beginning.  Proposal 3: It is proposed to assume same power class for CA and single CC to simplify the discussion.  2.4 SAR  Observation 5: SAR effects for the two CCs in intra-band contiguous CA are same which makes reusing the solution of SA single band HPUE is possible.  Proposal 4: It is proposed to reuse the solution of SA single band HPUE SAR solution, i.e. based on the reported maxUplinkDutyCycle capability.  2.5 MPR/AMPR  Observation 6: The discussions in Rel-15 B41+n41 intra-band contiguous EN-DC can be used as a reference for two PA architecture scenario. |
| R4-2016537 | Huawei, HiSilicon | ***Proposal 1: use type2 architecture as the default assumption for PC2 intra-band contiguous UL CA, i.e. 2PA architecture, each PA supports up to 200MHz, assumes 23dBm+23dBm, 1LO.***  ***Observation 1: if UE supports PC2 for 2 contiguous CCs on Band A, it should support PC2 for single carrier on Band A.***  ***Proposal 2: Band set for PC2 contiguous CA is the subset of bands for PC2 single carrier.***  ***Proposal 3: Multiple sets of Band combination capability are indicated if PA architecture is different for power class2 and 3 for a certain intra-band combination.***  ***Proposal 4: RAN4 study whether CA UL MIMO RF requirement is specified in TS 38.101-1.*** |

## Open issues summary

### Sub-topic 3-1 architecture baseline and power class signaling

**Issue 3-1-1: RF architecture baseline**

* Proposals
  + Option 1: A single TX PC2 PA is the baseline to develop requirement
  + Option 2: two UE reference architectures for intra-band contiguous UL CA HPUE, one is single PA with 200MHz, the other is two PAs with 100MHz each.
  + Option 3: 2PA architecture, each PA supports up to 200MHz, assumes 23dBm+23dBm, 1LO.
* Recommended WF
  + TBA

**Issue 3-1-2: Relation between PC2 for single carrier and contiguous CA**

* Proposals
  + Option 1: Assume same power class for CA and single CC to simplify the discussion.
  + Option 2: Band set for PC2 contiguous CA is the subset of bands for PC2 single carrier.

**Issue 3-1-3: Power class signalling for intra-band contiguous UL CA**

* Proposals
  + Option 1: No need to consider different power class configuration of each CC for HP UE intra-band contiguous CA.
  + Option 2: Multiple sets of Band combination capability are indicated if PA architecture is different for power class2 and 3 for a certain intra-band combination.

### Sub-topic 3-2 RF requirements

**Issue 3-2-1: MPR/AMPR**

* Proposals
  + Option 1: Rel-15 B41+n41 intra-band contiguous EN-DC can be used as a reference for two PA architecture scenario
  + Option 2:

|  |
| --- |
| **1PA architecture**   * **on contiguous allocations:**   + **Contiguous Inner and Outer allocations definition for PC3 is adopted for PC2 (1Tx)**   + **PC3 QPSK Inner MPR is adopted for PC2 (1Tx)**   + **PC3 QPSK Outer MPR is adopted for PC2 (1Tx) with a potential reduction due to better ACLR** * **on non-contiguous allocations:**   + **Contiguous Inner, Outer1 and Outer 2 allocations definition for PC3 is adopted for PC2**   + **PC3 QPSK MPR is adopted for PC2 (1Tx) with 1 dB additional back-off** |

* Recommended WF
  + TBA

**Issue 3-2-2: UL-DL configuration**

* Proposals
  + Adopt same UL/DL configuration and synchronized condition for Rel-17 intra-band contiguous UL CA HPUE.
* Recommended WF
  + TBA

**Issue 3-2-3: ACLR**

* Proposals
  + 31dB
* Recommended WF
  + 31dB

**Issue 3-2-4: SEM/Spurious emission/ASE**

* Proposals
  + Same as for PC3
* Recommended WF
  + Same as for PC3

**Issue 3-2-5: Power class definition for PC2**

* Proposals
  + Option 1: 26dBm with +/-2dB torlerance
  + Option 2: other
* Recommended WF
  + TBA

**Issue 3-2-6: Whether CA UL MIMO requirement is needed?**

* Proposals
  + Option 1: Yes
  + Option 2: No
* Recommended WF
  + TBA

### Sub-topic 3-3 solution for SAR issue

*Sub-topic description*

*Open issues and candidate options before e-meeting:*

**Issue 3-3-1: Solution for TDD contiguous UL CA**

* Proposals
* Option 1: Duty cycle based SAR solution is used. Total duty cycle capability for the two UL carriers can be reported to the network.
* Option 2: P-MPR solution can be used as basedline SAR solution. For duty cycle based solutions, report duty cycle of PCell.
* Option 3: Other
* Recommended WF
  + TBA

**Issue 3-3-2: If duty cycle based SAR solution, how to define the UE capability？**

* Proposals
  + Option 1: New Total duty cycle capability：50% is the default total duty cycle capability and the reported IE can be defined as ENUMERATED {n60, n70, n80, n90, n100}.
  + Option 2: Reuse the capability for single carrier case
  + Option 3: Other
* Recommended WF
  + TBA

**Issue 3-3-3: Is there need for power class fallback optimization?**

* Proposals
  + Option 1: Yes
  + Option 2：No
* Recommended WF
  + TBA

## Companies views’ collection for 1st round

### Open issues

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Sub-topic** | **Comments (Company: …)** |
| 3-1 | **Issue 3-1-1** |
| **Issue 3-1-2** |
| **Issue 3-1-3** |
| 3-2 | **Issue 3-2-1** |
| **Issue 3-2-2** |
| **Issue 3-2-3** |
| **Issue 3-2-4** |
| **Issue 3-2-5** |
| **Issue 3-2-6** |
| 3-3 | **Issue 3-3-1** |
| **Issue 3-3-2** |
| **Issue 3-3-3** |

### CRs/TPs comments collection

*Major close to finalize WIs and Rel-15 maintenance, comments collections can be arranged for TPs and CRs. For Rel-16 on-going WIs, suggest to focus on open issues discussion on 1st round.*

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **CR/TP number** | **Comments collection** |
|  |  |
|  |
|  |

## Summary for 1st round

### Open issues

*Moderator tries to summarize discussion status for 1st round, list all the identified open issues and tentative agreements or candidate options and suggestion for 2nd round i.e. WF assignment.*

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Sub-topic#3** | **Status summary** |
| 3-1 |  |
|  |  |
|  |
|  |  |
|  |  |

*Suggestion on WF/LS assignment*

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  | **WF/LS t-doc Title** | **Assigned Company,**  **WF or LS lead** |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

### CRs/TPs

*Moderator tries to summarize discussion status for 1st round and provided recommendation on CRs/TPs Status update suggestion*

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **CR/TP number** | **CRs/TPs Status update recommendation** |
|  |  |
|  |  |

## Discussion on 2nd round (if applicable)

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| T-doc number | Title | Comments |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

## Summary on 2nd round (if applicable)

*Moderator tries to summarize discussion status for 2nd round and provided recommendation on CRs/TPs/WFs/LSs Status update suggestion*

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| T-doc number | Title | **T-doc Status update recommendation** |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |