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# Introduction

The BS RF core spec TS 38.104 is stable in Rel-15 and Rel-16 and there are few contributions in this area. Contributions were submitted within the following Topics:

1. EESS protection
2. Introduction of new BS capability set in AAS specs
3. Other maintenance
4. CEPT/ECC recommendation for receiver parameters

Topic #1 to #3 include CRs for corrections, while topic #4 is only for discussion.

# Topic #1: EESS protection (23.6 – 24 GHz)

## Companies’ contributions summary (CRs)

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **T-doc number** | **Company** | **Title / Proposal** |
| R4-2010762 R4-2010763 | NEC | **CR to TS 38.104: OTA receiver spurious requirements for EESS protection**  Summary of change: It is made clear that additional OTA receiver spurious requirements may be applied. |
| R4-2010764 R4-2010765 | NEC | **CR to 38.141-2: Additional requirements for EESS protection (rel-15)**  Summary of change: It is made clear that additional OTA receiver spurious requirements may be applied. Deleted the TT values for OTA OBUE and OTA TX spurious for EESS protection in the table for FR1, and added them in the table for FR2. |

## Companies views’ collection for 1st round

### CRs/TPs comments collection

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **CR/TP number** | **Comments collection** |
| R4-2010763 R4-2010765 | **Moderator:**  These two are Cat A CRs and should not have been submitted in advance of the meeting.  We will at this time only take comments on the two Cat F CRs. |
| R4-2010762 | Nokia: It remains unclear where the addition OTA receiver spurious emission requirements refer to as there is no separate clause for those. Could use “the following requirement may be applied for protection of EESS and for BS operating in frequency range….” |
| **Ericsson:** Additional requirements in general are not mandatory, since they apply regionally. The specific limits for EESS are however global and can be stated as a mandatory requirement. The cover page should be updated accordingly, while the body of the CR is correct. |
| Huawei: it was observed that for FR2 section 10.7.3 in this CR the additional (regional/optional) requirements are addressed, while for FR1 in clause 10.7.2 they are not, i.e. for FR1 there is no sentence pointing to Additional requirements in 9.7.5.2.4 (additional requirements or FR1 Tx spur).  Even though this may be seen as out of scope of this CR, it is suggested to add similar statement to 10.7.2. Otherwise, we can provide related CR next meeting for FR1 alignment. |
| R4-2010764 | Nokia: It remains unclear where the addition OTA receiver spurious emission requirements refer to as there is no separate clause for those. Could use “the following requirement may be applied for protection of EESS and for BS operating in frequency range….” Moreover, in Annex C.1 the TT table error does not exist in rel-16 specification. |
| **Ericsson:** The first statement about “additional requirements” may be ambiguous here, since the clause contains a mix of the general test requirements and the “additional” requirements, in this case only EESS protection. Strictly speaking, this CR is not needed, except fo the TT correction in Annex C.1. |
| Huawei: similar comment as for the core spec above: Rx spur FR2 section 7.7.5.2 indicates the additional (EESS only) requirement and the same happens for the Tx spur FR2 section in 6.7.5.4.5.2. However, for the Rx spur FR1 requirement does not mention additional requirements (it would be expected to refer to 6.7.5.4.5.1 for Additional Tx spur for FR1).  Either we fix it together in this CR, or we can provide related CR next meeting for FR1 alignment. |

## Summary for 1st round

### Open issues

*Moderator tries to summarize discussion status for 1st round, list all the identified open issues and tentative agreements or candidate options and suggestion for 2nd round i.e. WF assignment.*

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
|  | **Status summary** |
| **Sub-topic#1** | *Tentative agreements:*  *Candidate options:*  *Recommendations for 2nd round:* |

*Recommendations on WF/LS assignment*

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  | **WF/LS t-doc Title** | **Assigned Company,**  **WF or LS lead** |
| #1 |  |  |

### CRs/TPs

*Moderator tries to summarize discussion status for 1st round and provides recommendation on CRs/TPs Status update*

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **CR/TP number** | **CRs/TPs Status update recommendation** |
| XXX | *Based on 1st round of comments collection, moderator can recommend the next steps such as “agreeable”, “to be revised”* |

## Discussion on 2nd round (if applicable)

## Summary on 2nd round (if applicable)

*Moderator tries to summarize discussion status for 2nd round and provided recommendation on CRs/TPs/WFs/LSs Status update suggestion*

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **CR/TP/LS/WF number** | **T-doc Status update recommendation** |
| XXX | *Based on 2nd round of comments collection, moderator can recommend the next steps such as “agreeable”, “to be revised”* |

# Topic #2: Introduction of new BS capability set in AAS specs

Three CRs are submitted, proposing how to add new BS capability set in the AAS core and conformance specifications. This was not done in the Rel-16 Work Item MSR\_GSM\_UTRA\_LTE\_NR-Core (now closed).

The issue is quite complex and was therefore put as its own topic here.

## Companies’ contributions summary

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **T-doc number** | **Company** | **Title / Proposals** |
| R4-2011261 | Huawei | **CR to TS 37.105: Introduction of new BS capability set for NR+EUTRA+UTRA, Rel-16**  Summary of change: - 6.6.5.2.2, 6.6.5.2.3: conducted OBUE applicability table introduced for Band Category 1, 2, 3; table headers updated - 7.4.2.1: conducted general blocking table updated  - 7.7.2.1: conducted Tx IMD table updated - 10.5.2.1: OTA general blocking table updated - 10.8.2.1: OTA Tx IMD table updated |
| R4-2011262 | Huawei | **CR to TS 37.145-1: Introduction of new BS capability set for NR+EUTRA+UTRA, Rel-16**  Summary of changes: - 4.9: introduction of CSA3B for UTRA, EUTRA, NR multi-RAT case. - 4.11.2.8.1.2 (ATC5a): applicabiltiy table updated with new CS - 4.11.2.8.2 (ATC5b): MSR changes reflected. Applicabiltiy table updated with new CS - 4.11.2.9, 4.11.2.10 (ATC6, ANTC6): MSR changes reflected. Power allocation section updated.  - 4.11.2.13, 4.11.2.14 (ATC8, ANTC8): new section for UTRA, E-UTRA and NR multi-RAT operation - 5.2: Test configuration applicability table updated with nes CSA3B test case  - 6.6.5.5.2, 6.6.5.5.3: conducted OBUE applicability table introduced for Band Category 1, 2, 3; table headers updated - 7.4.5.1.1: general blocking table updated  - 7.7.5.1.1: Tx IMD table updated |
| R4-2011263 | Huawei | **CR to TS 37.145-2: Introduction of new BS capability set for NR+EUTRA+UTRA, Rel-16**  Summary of changes: - 4.9: introduction of RCSA3B for UTRA, EUTRA, NR multi-RAT case. - 4.11.2.8.1.2 (ATCR5): applicability table updated with new CS - 4.11.2.8.2 (ATCR5b): MSR changes reflected. Applicabiltiy table updated with new CS - 4.11.2.9, 4.11.2.10 (ATCR7, ANTCR7): MSR changes reflected. Power allocation section updated.  - 4.11.2.13, 4.11.2.14 (ATCR9, ANTCR9): new section for UTRA, E-UTRA and NR multi-RAT operation - 5.2: Test configuration applicability table updated with nes RCSA3B test case  - 6.6.5.5.2, 6.6.5.5.3: conducted OBUE applicability table introduced for Band Category 1, 2, 3; table headers updated - 7.8.5.1.1: Tx IMD table updated |

## Companies views’ collection for 1st round

### CRs/TPs comments collection

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **CR/TP number** | **Comments collection** |
| R4-2011261 R4-2011262 R4-2011263 | **Moderator:**  Corrections needed on the CR cover pages:   * The related work items must all be for the same release, in this case Rel-16. * This is maintenance CR for closed Rel-16 Work items and cannot be Category B.   CR body:   * There should be no overlapping change marks. Please make sure to clean up any updated versions and only have single changes. |
| R4-2011261 R4-2011262 R4-2011263 | **Ericsson:** The AAS specs were never included as part of the work item MSR\_GSM\_UTRA\_LTE\_NR-Core/Perf. Inclusion of the new CS could however be reasonable, if the changes are straightforward and non-controversial, and can be completed in one meeting cycle.  The CRs presented are quite complex, where in addition to the new CS and related applicability, there are four new test configurations and updates to a number of existing TCs, plus updates and new applicability tables for OBUE(UEM), blocking and IM requirements. They are also at the moment presented with overlapping change marks, which makes the review more complex.  For this reason, Ericsson proposes that companies will have until the next RAN4 to form an opinion on the topic of introducing the new BS capability set for AAS BS. If agreeable, there would still be two meetings for preparing and agreeing on Category F CRs until November. |
| Huawei: as pointed by Ericsson above and indicated in the CR cover page, the AAS specs were not included in the MSR\_GSM\_UTRA\_LTE\_NR-Core/Perf WI. Still, it was found that the referred WI has impacted OBUE and blocking requirements, which affect the AAS BS specifications. Therefore it is seen necessary to update AAS specifications as well.  The WI codes used may require corrections – this may need to be consulted with MCC as well.  CR category: the motivation for CatB was that this is new feature for AAS spec, but I tend to agree with the comment that CatB shall not be used for the closed WI. Again, this may need to be consulted with MCC as well.  For the “overlapping change marks”: this approach was used on purpose to show the reader how the final content of the CR was achieved. This was found important for tracing back the new text, especially in such large CR.  In order to give companies more time to review such heavy CRs, we may also consider to seek for Endorsement of the (revised) CRs (instead of Agreement), and to provide the final Rel-16 version next meeting. This depends on the feedback from companies. |
|  |
| YYY | Company A |
| Company B |
|  |

## Summary for 1st round

### Open issues

*Moderator tries to summarize discussion status for 1st round, list all the identified open issues and tentative agreements or candidate options and suggestion for 2nd round i.e. WF assignment.*

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
|  | **Status summary** |
| **Sub-topic#1** | *Tentative agreements:*  *Candidate options:*  *Recommendations for 2nd round:* |

*Suggestion on WF/LS assignment*

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  | **WF/LS t-doc Title** | **Assigned Company,**  **WF or LS lead** |
| #1 |  |  |

### CRs/TPs

*Moderator tries to summarize discussion status for 1st round and provided recommendation on CRs/TPs Status update suggestion*

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **CR/TP number** | **CRs/TPs Status update recommendation** |
| XXX | *Based on 1st round of comments collection, moderator can recommend the next steps such as “agreeable”, “to be revised”* |

## Discussion on 2nd round (if applicable)

## Summary on 2nd round (if applicable)

*Moderator tries to summarize discussion status for 2nd round and provided recommendation on CRs/TPs/WFs/LSs Status update suggestion*

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **CR/TP/LS/WF number** | **T-doc Status update recommendation** |
| XXX | *Based on 2nd round of comments collection, moderator can recommend the next steps such as “agreeable”, “to be revised”* |

# Topic #3: Other maintenance (CRs)

Topics covered by submitted CRs related to maintenance (Rel-15 & Rel-16):

* Clarification on calculation pf step frequencies in TR 38.817-02
* Correction of co-location requirement (core + conformance)
* NB-IoT bands (Band n26)

## Companies’ contributions summary

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **T-doc number** | **Company** | **Title / Proposals** |
| R4-2011186 | Nokia, Nokia Shanghai Bell | **CR to TS 38.817-02: Clarification on calculation of step frequencies for defining the Category B radiated Tx spurious emission limits in FR2**  Summary of change: Clearly explain how to calculate the step frequencies for defining the Category B radiated Tx spurious emission limits in FR2 for future reference when the limits are applicable to other bands. |
| R4-2010178 R4-2010179 | Ericsson | **CR to TS 38.104: Correction of co-location requirement table in subclause 7.5.3**  Summary of change: The table heading for wanted power signal leval is corected to apply for WA, MR and LA BS. |
| R4-2010834 | Dish | **Correction to NB-IoT Bands with n26 (38.104)**  Summary of change: Addition of n26 to the list of NR bands for NB-IoT. |

## Companies views’ collection for 1st round

### CRs/TPs comments collection

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **CR/TP number** | **Comments collection** |
| R4-2011186 | **Ericsson:** While this change may not be completely necessary, we should give background calculations for all steps in 38.104 if we do it, including the steps in Table 10.7.3‑2 .That can either be done in the same clause, or perhaps in clause 10.7.3 of TR 38.817-02.  Nokia response: We assume this comment is on R4-2011186 instead of R4-2010762, we are ok to include the background calculation for the steps in Table 10.7.3-2 of TS 38.104 in clause 10.7.3 of TR 38.817-02 in the revised CR. |
| Huawei: Step frequencies derivation seems good idea. The table heading to be corrected to meaningful text.  Note 2 shall not be limited to step 3 and 4, as Wb is used for derivation of all the steps. |
|  |
| YYY |  |
| Company B |
|  |

## Summary for 1st round

### Open issues

*Moderator tries to summarize discussion status for 1st round, list all the identified open issues and tentative agreements or candidate options and suggestion for 2nd round i.e. WF assignment.*

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
|  | **Status summary** |
| **Sub-topic#1** | *Tentative agreements:*  *Candidate options:*  *Recommendations for 2nd round:* |

*Suggestion on WF/LS assignment*

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  | **WF/LS t-doc Title** | **Assigned Company,**  **WF or LS lead** |
| #1 |  |  |

### CRs/TPs

*Moderator tries to summarize discussion status for 1st round and provided recommendation on CRs/TPs Status update suggestion*

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **CR/TP number** | **CRs/TPs Status update recommendation** |
| XXX | *Based on 1st round of comments collection, moderator can recommend the next steps such as “agreeable”, “to be revised”* |

## Discussion on 2nd round (if applicable)

## Summary on 2nd round (if applicable)

*Moderator tries to summarize discussion status for 2nd round and provided recommendation on CRs/TPs/WFs/LSs Status update suggestion*

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **CR/TP/LS/WF number** | **T-doc Status update recommendation** |
| XXX | *Based on 2nd round of comments collection, moderator can recommend the next steps such as “agreeable”, “to be revised”* |

# Topic #4: CEPT/ECC recommendation for receiver parameters

This concerns work ongoing in CEPT/ECC that may have future impact on 3GPP receiver requirements.

## Companies’ contributions summary

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **T-doc number** | **Company** | **Title/ Summary** |
| R4-2010326 | Ericsson | CEPT/ECC work on recommendation for receiver parameters  Summary: The paper summarizes the ongoing work on receiver parameters in CEPT/ECC for European regulation, where presently a new recommendation is being drafted. |

## Companies views’ collection for 1st round

### CRs/TPs comments collection

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Tdoc number** | **Comments collection** |
| XXX | Company A |
| Company B |
|  |
| YYY | Company A |
| Company B |
|  |

## Summary for 1st round

### Open issues

*Moderator tries to summarize discussion status for 1st round, list all the identified open issues and tentative agreements or candidate options and suggestion for 2nd round i.e. WF assignment.*

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
|  | **Status summary** |
| **Sub-topic#1** | *Tentative agreements:*  *Candidate options:*  *Recommendations for 2nd round:* |

*Suggestion on WF/LS assignment*

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  | **WF/LS t-doc Title** | **Assigned Company,**  **WF or LS lead** |
| #1 |  |  |

### CRs/TPs

*Moderator tries to summarize discussion status for 1st round and provided recommendation on CRs/TPs Status update suggestion*

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **CR/TP number** | **CRs/TPs Status update recommendation** |
| XXX | *Based on 1st round of comments collection, moderator can recommend the next steps such as “agreeable”, “to be revised”* |

## Discussion on 2nd round (if applicable)

## Summary on 2nd round (if applicable)

*Moderator tries to summarize discussion status for 2nd round and provided recommendation on CRs/TPs/WFs/LSs Status update suggestion*

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **CR/TP/LS/WF number** | **T-doc Status update recommendation** |
| XXX | *Based on 2nd round of comments collection, moderator can recommend the next steps such as “agreeable”, “to be revised”* |