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# 1 Background

In RAN4#94-e R4-2002484 giving guidelines how and when IAB TS shall reference UE and BS RF requirement specifications was agreed. The guidelines have been copied below, except emphasis is authors.

* Decision whether to reference will be taken case by case following the rules in this slide
* The examples in this slide are meant to illustrate the meaning, not to agree exact wording to be used in the specification.
* Referencing can be done only if requirement is the same, meaning that requirement values and principles are the same
  + Exact words do not need to be same. As a theoretical example, it can be said that ”BS type 2-O requirements in sub-clause x.x.x [ref X] apply for IAB-DU”
  + Referencing shall not be done if requirements are different, i.e. value or principle differs.
  + If referencing is used all node specific text and defiitions must be clarified (for example: Where ”base station RF bandwidth” is replced by ”IAB-DU RF bandwidth”)
  + Specific references must be made to versioned docuuments
* Referencing is not recommended if it results in a partial requirement
  + As a theoretical example, this means a case where specification would say ” BS type 2-O requirements in sub-clause x.x.x [ref X] apply for IAB-DU. In addition IAB-DU shall meet….”
* Referencing is not recommended if a complete specification sub-clause cannot be referenced
  + No referencing when it results in formulation ”BS type 2-O requirements in clause x.x.x [ref X] except [bad requirement] will apply for IAB-DU”
  + No referencing of individual tables or figures
* Referencing sub-clasues where requiremenst apply for frequencies which are not IAB frequencies is TBD
  + UE and BS specification shave many requirements which are band specific and result in tables containing many bands, its not clear if these sub-clauses should be referenced. Thsi coudl be similar to teh ”partial requirement” bullet above

Especially the highlighted guidelines have been resulted in multiple comments on submitted text proposals, and therefore it was seen useful to clarify these two bullets further.

# 2 Way forward

**Specific vs. non-specific references and specification maintenance**

**Option 1** maintain drafting rules use non-specific references where possible and specific references only when necessary.

* Specific references are updated to latest version numbers when changes to referenced specification are implemented in IAB specifications
* For requirements where we wish to avoid version based references then do not reference the sub-clause / table number. For example in TS 37.104 clause 4.5 we have

“MSR requirements are applicable for band definitions and band numbering as defined in the specifications TS 45.005 [5], TS25.104 [2], TS 25.105 [3], TS 36.104 [4] and TS 38.104 [17]”

These are non specific references as they do not include the subclause, as such they do not require updating and changes are automatically included.

**Option 2 :** Versioned reference for 38.101-1/2 but reference IAB-DU requirements when applicable with no versions reference for 38.104

* Behaviour and requirements of access link of IAB-Node stay automatically aligned with the one of BS
* the exclusion of the NB-IoT requirement in the reference BS spec should be mentioned addtionaly in chapture 4.6 or other new chapture with guidance on how the interpret the referencing.
* Impact on IAB-MT, i.e. backhaul operation, and therefore a separate CR to IAB-TS needs to be checked and updated to latest version at least at each new realease.

Option 3:

* Aim at following drafting rules
* Apply non-versioned references as much as possible, i.e. do not reference specific clause. Non-versioned references are especially important for access link.
  + Behaviour and requirements of access link of IAB-Node stay automatically aligned with the one of BS
* Specific references are updated to latest version numbers when changes to referenced specification are implemented in IAB specifications
  + Impact on IAB-MT, i.e. backhaul operation, and therefore a separate CR to IAB-TS needs to be checked and updated based on the need to latest version at least at each new realease.
* Consider a section for exclusion of the NB-IoT requirement in the referenced BS spec
  + e.g. 4.6 or other new chapture with guidance on how the interpret the referencing

**Detailed wording for references**

For specific wording used in referencing, the following wording is to be used:

Option 1: “The minimum requirements specified for [BS type XX /UE] in TS 38.104[X], clause Y.Y.Y apply for IAB-[MT/DU/Node]”

Option 2: reuse the same wording in MSR 7.2.2: example

[For WA IAB-MT], the [mimimum requirement] is the same specified for [BS type XX /UE] in TS 38.104[X], clause Y.Y.Y.

Option 3: “The requirements in clause Y.Y.Y of [X] apply for IAB-[MT/DU].

**Existing references**

Existing referenced are cleaned-up by rapporteur to be aligned with this WF in RAN4#96-e if this is not possible to achieve within RAN4#95-e