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# Introduction

This email discussion is for Rel-18 Dual Transmission/Reception (Tx/Rx) Multi-SIM for NR WI and the scope covers the following agenda items:

* AI 11.17.1 General and work plan
* AI 11.17.2 RRM requirements for Rel-17 MUSIM gaps

Based on the latest approved WI in [RP-220955], the objectives of the WI for the above AIs are duplicated as below:



During email discussion companies are encourages to:

* Provide comments on all interested topics/sub-topics at one time
* Ensure that comments are based on the latest version of the document by checking the folder before uploading
* Use “Track changes” to help identify added comments/changes
* Based on meeting guidance from RAN4 chair when changing the file name, adding your company name

# Topic #1: Work plan

## Companies’ contributions summary

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| T-doc number | Company | Proposals / Observations |
| [R4-2213450](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/TSG_RAN/WG4_Radio/TSGR4_104-e/Docs/R4-2213450.zip) | vivo | Work Plan |
|  |  |  |

## Open issues summary

### Sub-topic 1-1

**Issue 1-1-1: Work Plan**

* + Work plan is provided at [R4-2213450](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/TSG_RAN/WG4_Radio/TSGR4_104-e/Docs/R4-2213450.zip)
* Recommended WF
	+ Suggest to agree the work plan

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Comments** |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |

## Companies views’ collection for 1st round

### Open issues

*One of the two formats, i.e. either example 1 or 2 can be used by moderators.*

### CRs/TPs comments collection

*For close-to-finalize WIs and maintenance work, comments collections can be arranged for TPs and CRs. For ongoing WIs, suggest to focus on open issues discussion on 1st round.*

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| CR/TP number | Comments collection |
|  | Company A |
| Company B |
|  |
|  | Company A |
| Company B |
|  |
|  | Company A |
| Company B |
|  |
| YYY | Company A |
| Company B |
|  |

## Summary for 1st round

### Open issues

*Moderator tries to summarize discussion status for 1st round, list all the identified open issues and tentative agreements or candidate options and suggestion for 2nd round i.e. WF assignment.*

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
|  | Status summary  |
| Sub-topic #1 | *Tentative agreements:**Candidate options:**Recommendations for 2nd round:* |

### CRs/TPs

*Moderator tries to summarize discussion status for 1st round and provides recommendation on CRs/TPs Status update*

*Note: The tdoc decisions shall be provided in Section 3 and this table is optional in case moderators would like to provide additional information.*

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| CR/TP number | CRs/TPs Status update recommendation  |
| XXX | *Based on 1st round of comments collection, moderator can recommend the next steps such as “agreeable”, “to be revised”* |

## Discussion on 2nd round (if applicable)

# Topic #2: RRM requirements for Rel-17 MUSIM gaps

*Main technical topic overview. The structure can be done based on sub-agenda basis.*

## Companies’ contributions summary

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| T-doc number | Company | Proposals / Observations |
| [**R4-2211591**](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/TSG_RAN/WG4_Radio/TSGR4_104-e/Docs/R4-2211591.zip) | Charter Communications, Inc | **Observation 1: No additional impacts to collect L1 and L3 measurements and RLM/BFD for MUSIM gaps as for legacy measurement gaps.****Proposal 1: Apply the framework agreements from concurrent gaps to define priority rules, collision between gaps and the definition of a collision for MUSIM.** **Proposal 2: MUSIM gaps should have high priority in the event of a collision** |
| [**R4-2211912**](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/TSG_RAN/WG4_Radio/TSGR4_104-e/Docs/R4-2211912.zip) | Apple | **Proposal 1: priority-based gap collision handling introduced in concurrent gaps design can be reused for collisions between MUSIM gap and legacy measurement gap.****Proposal 2: RAN4 can further study gap-sharing based collisions handling in R18.****Proposal 3: as baseline solution, UE can only perform gap-less L3 measurement and L1 operation outside MUSIM gap. Other solutions are not precluded to handle collision between MUSIM gap and SMTC/RS for L1 operation.** |
| [**R4-2211939**](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/TSG_RAN/WG4_Radio/TSGR4_104-e/Docs/R4-2211939.zip) | CMCC | ***Proposal 1: it is necessary to discuss whether MUSIM gap patterns can be used for RRM measurement or only used for MUSIM:**** ***MUSIM gap pattern #0 ~#13, more discussion is needed on whether can be used for RRM measurement, since these MUSIM gap patterns are same as legacy gap patterns.***
* ***MUSIM gap pattern #14 ~ #26, not suitable for RRM measurement***
* ***MUSIM gap pattern # 27 and #28, cannot be used for RRM measurement***

***Proposal 2: for collision between different MUSIMs, priority rule can be used as baseline.******Proposal 3: for collision between MUSIM gap and legacy measurement gap, priority rule can be used as baseline.*** |
| [**R4-2211969**](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/TSG_RAN/WG4_Radio/TSGR4_104-e/Docs/R4-2211969.zip) | Xiaomi | **Observation 1:** **MUSIM gaps can only be used for MUSIM operations and cannot be used for measurements configured for Network A.****Proposal 1: Priority based gap collision handling introduced in Rel-17 for concurrent gap could be used as baseline for collision handling between MUSIM gap and legacy measurement gap, and between different MUSIM gaps, i.e. case 1 and case 3.****Proposal 2: The gap proximity condition of concurrent gap collision could be reused for MUSIM gap collision.****Proposal 3: The principle of defining scaling factor Kp and Kgap for multi-concurrent gaps are applied to the calculation of Kp and Kgap in case 1 and case 3.****Proposal 4: The principle of defining P value for L1 measurement and RLM/BFD measurement in Rel-17 is applied to the calculation of P value in case 1 and case 3.****Proposal 5: RAN4 to define MUSIM gap overhead for MUSIM gap(s).****Proposal 6: RAN4 to define the requirements for Network B in RRC idle/inactive.** |
| [**R4-2212061**](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/TSG_RAN/WG4_Radio/TSGR4_104-e/Docs/R4-2212061.zip) | OPPO | **Proposal-1: Define the extended measurement period in NW-A due to the collision with MUSIM gap.****Proposal-2: Define the measurement period in NW-B when MUSIM gap is not dropped, and deprioritize the scenario when MUSIM gap is dropped due to collision.****Proposal-3: The condition “distance between the two occasions is equal to or smaller than 4m” could be used as baseline to define MUSIM gap collision in case 1 and case 3.****Proposal-4: The condition “SMTC is overlapping with MUSIM gap” could be used as baseline for MUSIM gap collision in case 2.****Proposal-5: The condition “L1 measurement resource is overlapping with MUSIM gap” could be used as baseline for MUSIM gap collision in case 4.****Proposal-6: Reuse priority rule to handle gap collision in case 1 and case 3, and inform RAN2 to design signalling for the association between MUSIM gaps and priority information.** **Proposal-7: In case 2 and case 4, MUSIM gap should be prioritized over SMTC/L1 resource by default.****Proposal-8: For defining requirements in NW-A, update the following scaling factor by considering the collision with MUSIM gaps:*** **Type 1: the scaling factor Kp for L3 measurements without gap**
* **Type 2: the scaling factor Kgap for L3 measurements with gap**
* **Type 3: the scaling factor P for L1 measurements**.

**Proposal-9: Discuss whether and how to determine the time window W when aperiodic MUSIM gap with higher priority is involved in collision.** |
| [**R4-2212209**](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/TSG_RAN/WG4_Radio/TSGR4_104-e/Docs/R4-2212209.zip) | Qualcomm Incorporated | **Observation 1: MUSIM gaps do not fulfil any measurement objectives on network A.****Proposal 1: Leverage the priority rule approach developed for Rel-17 concurrent MG enhancement to resolve collisions between MUSIM gaps and measurement gaps.*** **FFS: Discuss the relative priority of MUSIM gaps vs. legacy (pre Rel-17) measurement gaps**
* **FFS: Discuss the relative priority of MUSIM gaps vs. Rel-17 measurement gap enhancements (concurrent MG, pre-configured MG, NCSG)**

**Proposal 1a: Request RAN2 to introduce optional signaling so that the UE can request the priority level of MUSIM gaps (relative to measurement gaps) via UAI.****Proposal 2: RAN4 will discuss separately how to define and resolve collisions between MUSIM gaps.****Proposal 3: No measurement requirements in network B will be defined by RAN4** |
| [**R4-2212343**](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/TSG_RAN/WG4_Radio/TSGR4_104-e/Docs/R4-2212343.zip) | Apple | Proposal 1: Address the MUSIM related RF issue when for the uninterrupted operation a UE should use particular band/carrier combinations for two SIM cards.Proposal 2: Address the MUSIM related RF issue when for the uninterrupted operation a UE should apply power back-off larger than existing MPR/A-MPR limits. |
| [**R4-2212687**](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/TSG_RAN/WG4_Radio/TSGR4_104-e/Docs/R4-2212687.zip) | Nokia, Nokia Shanghai Bell | 1. MUSIM gaps provide enough room for UEs to perform idle/inactive measurements in Network B.
2. RAN4 not to change idle/inactive requirements on Network B for a UE configured with MUSIM gaps.
3. RAN4 to specify that all the requirements outside MUSIM gaps for Network A are not impacted by the MUSIM operation.
4. RAN4 needs to define the conditions in which the UE is considered to be in MUSIM operation mode.

Single SIM requirements do not consider the case of measurement gaps overlapping SMTCs during interruption times for RRC\_Connected state mobility. MUSIM gaps may overlap with SMTCs during handover and re-establishment.1. RAN4 to discuss how to handle overlap in SMTC and between MUSIM gaps for RRC connected mobility procedures in Network A.
2. Discuss if concurrent MUSIM and other Rel17/18 measurement gap types is in the scope of this WID or NR\_MG\_enh2.
3. RAN4 to start work on simultaneous RRC connected networks once RAN2 have progressed on the topic.
 |
| [**R4-2212765**](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/TSG_RAN/WG4_Radio/TSGR4_104-e/Docs/R4-2212765.zip) | Ericsson | ***Observation 1: Two periodic gaps are used in MUSIM as one for measurement and one for paging monitoring.******Observation 2: There is no MUSIM periodic gap collision if the distance between the SSB for AGC and PO is larger than 5ms.******Proposal 1: Sharing the gap between network A’s mobility measurements and the MUSIM measurements is precluded.******Proposal 2: Concurrent gaps framework can be reused for MUSIM gaps.******Proposal 3: MUSIM gaps can be believed as a gap set with a specific usage and priority within the ConMGs.******Proposal 4: UE has the responsibility to avoid the gap collision between MUSIM gaps with other MGs for NW-A.******Proposal 5: MUSIM gaps can be defined as the lowest priority, and periodic MUSIM gaps will be dropped once the gap dropping rule defined in Con-MGs is met.******Proposal 6: UE can request aperiodic MUSIM gap with a higher priority. In this case, aperiodic MUSIM gap should be prioritized.******Proposal 7: NW-A’s RRM procedure, including DL SMTC and UL CSI-RS, PRACH, should have higher priority than MUSIM gaps. The MUSIM periodic gaps should be dropped once the gap proximity rule is met.******Proposal 8: To avoid the collision within MUSIM gaps, UE should request a single periodic gap instead of two separate periodic gaps provided that the distance between these two gaps is shorter than 5ms.******Proposal 9: Aperiodic gap should have higher priority than periodic gaps once collision happens within MUSIM gaps.******Proposal 10: RAN4 to define measurement requirement for NW-B Idle mode which is helpful for both NW-A and NW-B.*** |
| [**R4-2213451**](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/TSG_RAN/WG4_Radio/TSGR4_104-e/Docs/R4-2213451.zip) | vivo | **Proposal 1: All specification work listed in the 2nd item “Define RRM requirements for Rel-17 MUSIM gaps” are based on existing Rel-17 MUSIM gap patterns defined in Table 9.1.10-1 of [4] and based on corresponding RAN2’s signalling structure defined at Rel-17. All MUSIM gaps cannot be used by any measurements configured for network A and all network A measurements are carried out outside MUSIM gaps.****Proposal 2: Regarding network A measurement with measurement gaps or without measurement gaps, the corresponding measurement requirements on network A should be extended in order to address the impacts of MUSIM gaps due to the collision between MUSIM gaps with other gaps or occasions for measurement.** **Proposal 3: when the MUSIM gap neither collides with any Rel-17 legacy gap nor collide with any SMTC/SSB or any resources for L1 measurement; or only MUSIM gaps are configured and the MUSIM gap does not collide with any SMTC/SSB or any resources for L1 measurement, network A measurement requirements can be reused.****Proposal 4: For the scenario where the MUSIM gap collides only with Rel-17 legacy gap, gap collision issue between MUSIM gap and Rel-17 legacy gaps should be solved firstly. For the gap collision rules, Rel-17 priority based gap handling rules can be considered as one possible solution besides other enhanced solutions.****Proposal 5: when MUSIM gap collides only with SMTC/SSB or any resource for L1 measurement, collision handling rules should be defined before measurement requirements specification.** **Proposal 6: when MUSIM gap collides with both legacy gaps and SMTC/SSB or any resource for L1 measurement, the 1st step is to resolve the collision between gaps. After finishing gap collision handling, principles used for scenario 3 can be reused****Proposal 7: The necessity to define network B requirements should be discussed further. If there is a consensus to specify network B requirement, its priority should be lower compared with the work for network A requirements and could be carried out at the second phase in the WI time frame****Proposal 8: If there is a consensus on defining network B requirements, the following requirements are purposed to be defined for network B idle/inactive state. Requirements are not needed for other “best effort” based functions.** * **UE measurement capability**
* **Measurement and evaluation of serving cell**
* **Measurements of intra-frequency NR cells**
* **Measurements of inter-frequency NR cells**
* **Measurements of inter-RAT E-UTRAN cells**
* **Maximum interruption in paging reception**
* **Measurements for UE configured with relaxed measurement criterion**

**Proposal 9: In case 1, gaps to be considered include all gaps defined till Rel-17 including Pre-MG, NCSG, concurrent gap, ePos, gaps for NTN and legacy gaps for measurement.****Proposal 10: For gap collision case 1 and 3, priority based solution can be considered. Enhanced solutions on gap collision beyond priority based solution are also open for discussion.** **Proposal 11: For priority based solution, priorities can be allocated to each gap patterns and when two or more gap collide, only the highest priority gap is kept and all other gaps are dropped.** |
| [**R4-2213562**](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/TSG_RAN/WG4_Radio/TSGR4_104-e/Docs/R4-2213562.zip) | Huawei, HiSilicon | **Proposal 1: For collisions between MUSIM gap and legacy measurement gap (i.e. Rel-15 to Rel-17 measurement gaps), re-use the priority rule as defined for Rel-17 concurrent MGs.****Proposal 2: For collisions between MUSIM gap and legacy measurement gap (i.e. Rel-15 to Rel-17 measurement gaps), RAN4 to discuss the order for applying the priority when number of colliding MGs is larger than 2.****Proposal 3: For collisions between MUSIM gap and measurement outside MG (including both L1 and L3), MUSIM gap should apply, and the L1 or L3 measurement resources colliding with MUSIM gaps are dropped.** **Proposal 4: For collisions between MUSIM gaps, re-use the priority rule as defined for Rel-17 concurrent MGs as baseline. FFS whether and how to address the scenario where MUSIM gaps are of same priority is considered.****Proposal 5: For measurements configured by NW A, re-use the ‘counting’ approach defined for Rel-17 concurrent MGs to define scaling factor for the impacts of MUSIM gaps** **Proposal 6: If requirements for measurements in NW B are to be defined, re-use the existing requirements for IDLE/INACTIVE as baseline with DRX cycle replaced by max(DRX cycle, MGRP)** |
| [**R4-2213748**](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/TSG_RAN/WG4_Radio/TSGR4_104-e/Docs/R4-2213748.zip) | MediaTek inc. | **Observation #1:** NW A can reconfigure the UE with up to 4 MUSIM gaps (3 periodic and 1 aperiodic).**Observation #2:** In Rel-17, when the UE is configured with Concurrent measurement gaps, two measurement gap occasions are considered colliding if at least one of the following conditions is met:* the two occasions are fully or partially overlapping in time domain, or
* the distance between the two occasions is equal to or smaller than [4] ms.

**Observation #3:** MUSIM gaps could collide with a single legacy MG (e.g., Rel-15/16 MG) or multiple legacy MGs (e.g., Rel-17 Concurrent MGs).**Observation #4:** MUSIM gaps periodicity can be larger than SMTC window periodicity, i.e., SMTC occasions can occur more often than MUSIM gaps occasions.Furthermore, the following proposals have been introduced:**Proposal #1:** Introduce new requirements for intra-/inter-frequency and inter-RAT measurements in NW A when the UE is configured with MUSIM gaps.**Proposal #2:** No new requirements to be introduce for NW B measurements in RRC\_IDLE/\_INACTIVE state, however, further study the impact on NW B measurement requirements considering different scenarios.**Proposal #3:** MUSIM gap is considered colliding with the legacy measurement gaps or other MUSIM gaps if at least one of the following conditions is met:* the two occasions are fully or partially overlapping in time domain, or
* the distance between the two occasions is equal to or smaller than [4] ms.

**Proposal #4:** Apply priority rule for handling MUSIM gaps collision with the legacy MGs, where:* UE only performs the measurements associated to a higher priority gap.
* The lower priority gap occasions are considered as dropped.
* Data scheduling is resumed on the dropped gap occasions.

**Proposal #5:** Method 1: First, apply gap-group priority to handle collisions between different gaps groups (i.e., MUSIM gaps group and legacy MGs group). Then, within each gap group, apply different priorities to handle the collision between the gaps within the same group.**Proposal #6:** Method 2: Define individual priorities for all the gaps, regardless of their related gaps-group (i.e., MUSIM gaps group or legacy MGs group). Only a single list of priorities is required.**Proposal #7:** RAN4 to study the issue when the priority is all assigned by NW A, under the current signalling framework, which might lead to missing significant activities in NW B due to MUSIM gap collision handling (e.g., reading the paging in NW B, which are unknown to NW A).**Proposal #8:** MUSIM gaps collision with the SMTC window can be handled by puncturing the collided SMTC occasions with the non-dropped MUSIM gaps. |
|  |  |  |

## Open issues summary

*Before e-Meeting, moderators shall summarize list of open issues, candidate options and possible WF (if applicable) based on companies’ contributions.*

### Sub-topic 2-1 General aspects

**Issue 2-1-1: On MUSIM gap patterns**

* Proposals
	+ Option 1: All specification work listed in the 2nd item of WI “Define RRM requirements for Rel-17 MUSIM gaps” are based on existing Rel-17 MUSIM gap patterns defined in Table 9.1.10-1 of TS38.133 (vivo)
* Recommended WF
	+ Suggest to agree option 1

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Comments** |
| Apple | Fine with option 1. |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |

**Issue 2-1-2: On MUSIM gap pattern purpose**

* Proposals
	+ Option 1: All MUSIM gaps cannot be used by any measurements configured by network A and all network A measurements are carried out outside MUSIM gaps. (xiaomi Ericsson vivo)
		- Option 1a: MUSIM gaps do not fulfil any measurement objectives on network A (Qualcomm)
	+ Option 2: it is necessary to discuss whether MUSIM gap patterns can be used for RRM measurement or only used for MUSIM (CMCC)
* Moderator note: In Note 1 of Table 9.1.10-2 of TS38.133 the purpose of MUSIM gap is only for target network.
* Recommended WF
	+ Suggest to agree option 1.

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Comments** |
| Apple | Support option 1, considering the scope is limited to R17 functionality. |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |

### Sub-topic 2-2 On network A requirements

**Issue 2-2-1: Principle on network A requirements**

* Proposals
	+ Option 1: Define the extended measurement period in NW-A due to the collision with MUSIM gap (oppo vivo)
	+ Option 2: Introduce new requirements for intra-/inter-frequency and inter-RAT measurements in NW A when the UE is configured with MUSIM gaps (MTK)
* Recommended WF
	+ Topic is covered by following items, no need to discuss here.

**Issue 2-2-2: Scenario where network A requirement can be directly reused**

* Proposals
	+ Option 1: when the MUSIM gap neither collides with any Rel-17 legacy gap nor collide with any SMTC/SSB or any resources for L1 measurement; or only MUSIM gaps are configured and the MUSIM gap does not collide with any SMTC/SSB or any resources for L1 measurement, network A measurement requirements can be reused. (vivo)
	+ Option 2: RAN4 to specify that all the requirements outside MUSIM gaps for Network A are not impacted by the MUSIM operation. (Nokia)
* Moderator: Option 1 and 2 may not be exclusive each other. Proponent could check whether they are identical or not.
* Recommended WF

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Comments** |
| Apple | To our understanding option 1 and 2 are similar. They are both for non-overlapping scenario. We are fine with both. |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |

**Issue 2-2-3: Principle on layer 3 measurement requirements after gap collision handling**

* Proposals
	+ Option 1: The principle of defining scaling factor Kp and Kgap for multi-concurrent gaps are applied to the calculation of Kp and Kgap for layer 3 measurement (xiaomi oppo)
		- Option 1a: re-use the ‘counting’ approach defined for Rel-17 concurrent MGs to define scaling factor for the impacts of MUSIM gaps (Huawei)
	+ Option 2: Define requirements after solving gap collision issue (vivo)
* Recommended WF

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Comments** |
| Apple | Fine with option 1/1a. |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |

**Issue 2-2-4: Principle on L1 measurement requirements after gap collision handling**

* Proposals
	+ Option 1: The principle of defining P value for L1 measurement and RLM/BFD measurement in Rel-17 cam be reused (xiaomi oppo)
		- Option 1a: re-use the ‘counting’ approach defined for Rel-17 concurrent MGs to define scaling factor for the impacts of MUSIM gaps (Huawei)
	+ Option 2: Define requirements after solving gap collision issue (vivo)
* Recommended WF

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Comments** |
| Apple | Fine with option 1/1a. |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |

### Sub-topic 2-3 Gap collision handling

**Issue 2-3-1: General principles on gap collision handling**

* Proposals:
	+ - Option 1: For priority based solution, priorities can be allocated to each existing gap patterns and when two or more gap collide, only the highest priority gap is kept and all other gaps are dropped (vivo MTK)
		- Option 2: Apply gap-group priority to handle collisions between different gaps groups (i.e., MUSIM gaps group and legacy MGs group). Then, within each gap group, apply different priorities to handle the collision between the gaps within the same group (MTK, Ericsson)
		- Option 2a: MUSIM gaps can be believed as a gap set with a specific usage and priority within the ConMGs (Ericsson)
* Recommended WF

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Comments** |
| Apple | Support option 1 for simplicity.  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |

**Issue 2-3-1-1: On network A priority assignment scheme**

* Proposals:
	+ - Option 1: RAN4 to study the issue when the priority is all assigned by NW A, under the current signalling framework, which might lead to missing significant activities in NW B due to MUSIM gap collision handling (e.g., reading the paging in NW B, which are unknown to NW A) (MTK).
* Recommended WF

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Comments** |
| Apple | We are open for further study. According to current design UE can provide MUSIM gap preference. Eventually it is still under control of NW A.  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |

**Issue 2-3-2: Collisions between MUSIM gap and legacy measurement gap (i.e., Rel-15 to Rel-17 measurement gaps)**

**Issue 2-3-2-1: Clarification on the scope of Rel-17 legacy gap**

* Proposals:
	+ - Option 1: Discuss if concurrent MUSIM and other Rel17/18 measurement gap types is in the scope of this WID or NR\_MG\_enh2 (Nokia)
		- Option 2: In case 1, gaps to be considered include all gaps defined till Rel-17 including Pre-MG, NCSG and legacy gaps for measurement and other purposes (vivo)
* Recommended WF

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Comments** |
| Apple | Concurrent MUSIM and other R17 gaps are in the scope. However, potential new R18 gap is not in current scope. We shall focus on R17 gaps first. If time allows we can further consider new R18 gaps if any. |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |

**Issue 2-3-2-2: Collisions handling rules between MUSIM gap and legacy measurement gap**

* Proposals:
	+ - Option 1: Priority-based gap collision handling introduced in concurrent gaps design can be used as a base for collisions between MUSIM gap and legacy measurement gap (Charter communications Apple CMCC Xiaomi oppo Qualcomm vivo Huawei MTK Ericsson)
		- Option 1a: Request RAN2 to introduce optional signaling so that the UE can request the priority level of MUSIM gaps (relative to measurement gaps) via UAI (Qualcomm)
		- Option 2: Other enhanced gap collision solutions are open for study. (Apple vivo)
		- Option 3: UE has the responsibility to avoid the gap collision between MUSIM gaps with other MGs for NW-A. (Ericsson)
* Moderator: Option 1 and option 2 are not exclusive each other
* Recommended WF

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Comments** |
| Apple | Option 1 and 2 are not mutual exclusive. We support starting from option 1 and further consideration option 2. |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |

**Issue 2-3-2-3: Priority of MUSIM against other legacy gaps**

* Proposals:
	+ - Option 1: MUSIM gaps should have high priority in the event of a collision (Charter communications)
		- Option 2: MUSIM gaps can be defined as the lowest priority, and periodic MUSIM gaps will be dropped once the gap dropping rule defined in Con-MGs is met (Ericsson)
* Recommended WF

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Comments** |
| Apple | For the sake of flexibility, we can leave it to network control. After receiving MUSIM gap preference, it is up to NW A how to configure the priority level. Option 1 may result in NW A degradation. To avoid that, NW A may choose to configure non-overlapped MUSIM gap which may not be the same as preferred by the UE. Furthermore, NW A may even choose not to configure MUSIM gap just to avoid degradation. |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |

**Issue 2-3-2-4: Order for applying the priority when number of colliding MGs is larger than 2**

* Proposals:
	+ - Option 1: For collisions between MUSIM gap and legacy measurement gap (i.e. Rel-15 to Rel-17 measurement gaps), RAN4 to discuss the order for applying the priority when number of colliding MGs is larger than 2. (Huawei)
* Recommended WF

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Comments** |
| Apple | The simplest solution could be to drop all gaps except the one with highest priority. We are open for further study. |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |

**Issue 2-3-3: Collisions between MUSIM gap and SMTC and other L3/L1 measurement resources**

**Issue 2-3-3-1: Definiton of collisions between MUSIM gap and SMTC and other L3/L1 measurement resources**

* Proposals:
	+ - Option 1: Condition “SMTC is overlapping with MUSIM gap” and “L1 measurement resource is overlapping with MUSIM gap” could be used as baseline for MUSIM gap collision with SMTC an L1 measurement resources (oppo)
* Recommended WF

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Comments** |
| Apple | Fine with option 1. |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |

**Issue 2-3-3-2: Priority of MUSIM against SMTC and other L3/ L1 measurement resources**

* Proposals:
	+ - Option 1: MUSIM gaps should have high priority against SMTC and L1 measurement resources (oppo Huaewi MTK)
		- Option 2: NW-A’s RRM procedure, including DL SMTC and UL CSI-RS, PRACH, should have higher priority than MUSIM gaps. The MUSIM periodic gaps should be dropped once the gap proximity rule is met. (Ericsson)
		- Option 3: As baseline solution, UE can only perform gap-less L3 measurement and L1 operation outside MUSIM gap. Other solutions are not precluded to handle collision between MUSIM gap and SMTC/RS for L1 operation. (Apple)
* Recommended WF

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Comments** |
| Apple  | Support option 3. Option 1 is identical to the first sentence of option 3. We are open to further discussion on possible optimization.  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |

**Issue 2-3-4: Collisions between different MUSIM gaps**

* Proposals:
	+ - Option 1: priority rule can be used as baseline (Charter CMCC Xiaomi oppo vivo Huawei)
		- Option 2: RAN4 will discuss separately how to define and resolve collisions between MUSIM gaps (Qualcomm)
		- Option 3: To avoid the collision within MUSIM gaps, UE should request a single periodic gap instead of two separate periodic gaps provided that the distance between these two gaps is shorter than 5ms (Ericsson)
		- Option 4: Aperiodic gap should have higher priority than periodic gaps once collision happens within MUSIM gaps. (Ericsson)
* Recommended WF

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Comments** |
| Apple | Support option 1. Meanwhile, so far we don’t see necessity to handle this collision differently on top of collision between MUSIM gaps and legacy gaps. |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |

**Issue 2-3-4-1: On MUSIM gap collision definition**

* Proposals:
	+ - Option 1: The gap proximity condition of concurrent gap collision could be reused for MUSIM gap collision (Xiaomi oppo MTK Ericsson)
* Recommended WF

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Comments** |
| Apple | Support option 1. |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |

**Issue 2-3-5: On aperiodic gap**

* Proposals:
	+ - Option 1: Discuss whether and how to determine the time window W when aperiodic MUSIM gap with higher priority is involved in collision (oppo)
		- Option 2: UE can request aperiodic MUSIM gap with a higher priority. In this case, aperiodic MUSIM gap should be prioritized. ~~And aperiodic gap should have higher priority than periodic gaps~~ (Ericsson)
* Moderator Note: Option 1 and 2 are not exclusive.
* Recommended WF

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Comments** |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |

### Sub-topic 2-4 Network B requirements

**Issue 2-4-1: Whether to define network B requirements**

* Proposals:
	+ - Option 1: Define the requirements for Network B in RRC idle/inactive (xiaomi Ericsson)
		- Option 2: No measurement requirements in network B will be defined by RAN4 (Qualcomm)
		- Option 3: No impact on Network B requirements provided that the gaps are configured in Network A. and RAN4 not to change idle/inactive requirements on Network B (Nokia)
		- Option 4: If there is a consensus to specify network B requirement, its priority should be lower compared with the work for network A requirements and could be carried out at the second phase in the WI time frame (vivo)
		- Option 5: If requirements for measurements in NW B are to be defined, re-use the existing requirements for IDLE/INACTIVE as baseline with DRX cycle replaced by max(DRX cycle, MGRP) (Huawei)
		- Option 6: No new requirements to be introduce for NW B measurements in RRC\_IDLE/\_INACTIVE state, however, further study the impact on NW B measurement requirements considering different scenarios. (MTK)
* Recommended WF

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Comments** |
| Apple | We consider requirements for NW B as low priority, i.e. option 4.  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |

**Issue 2-4-2: Scope of network B requirements**

* Proposals:
	+ - Option 1: If there is a consensus on defining network B requirements, the following requirements are purposed to be defined for network B idle/inactive state. Requirements are not needed for other “best effort” based functions. (vivo)
		- UE measurement capability
		- Measurement and evaluation of serving cell
		- Measurements of intra-frequency NR cells
		- Measurements of inter-frequency NR cells
		- Measurements of inter-RAT E-UTRAN cells
		- Maximum interruption in paging reception
		- Measurements for UE configured with relaxed measurement criterion
* Recommended WF

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Comments** |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |

**Issue 2-4-3:Principles on network B requirements**

* Proposals:
	+ - Option 1: Define the measurement period in NW-B when MUSIM gap is not dropped, and deprioritize the scenario when MUSIM gap is dropped due to collision (oppo)
* Recommended WF

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Comments** |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |

### Sub-topic 2-5 Others

**Issue 2-5-1: MUSIM overhead**

* Proposals:
	+ - Option 1: RAN4 to define MUSIM gap overhead for MUSIM gap(s) (Xiaomi)
* Recommended WF

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Comments** |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |

**Issue 2-5-2: Conditions in which the UE is allowed to request MUSIM gaps**

* Proposals:
	+ - Option 1: RAN4 needs to define the conditions in which the UE is considered to be in MUSIM operation mode (Nokia)
* Recommended WF

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Comments** |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |

**Issue 2-5-3: Conflicting bands and band combinations for MUSIM**

* Proposals:
	+ - Option 1: Address the MUSIM related RF issue when for the uninterrupted operation a UE should use particular band/carrier combinations for two SIM cards. (Apple)
* Moderator Note: The option is out of the scope however it is ok to collect comments here this meeting. And no official decisions on this issue will be made in this meeting.
* Recommended WF

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Comments** |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |

**Issue 2-5-4: Power back-off for MUSIM**

* Proposals:
	+ - Option 1: Address the MUSIM related RF issue when for the uninterrupted operation a UE should apply power back-off larger than existing MPR/A-MPR limits (Apple)
* Moderator Note: The option is out of the scope however it is ok to collect comments here this meeting. And no official decisions on this issue will be made in this meeting.
* Recommended WF

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Comments** |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |

**Issue 2-5-5: On the impact of item 1 of WI (simultaneously RRC connected operation)**

* Proposals:
	+ - Option 1: RAN4 to start work on simultaneous RRC connected networks once RAN2 have progressed on the topic (Nokia)
* Moderator Note: In [RP-220955] it mentions “The work item shall identify whether the WI (Enhancements for MUSIM procedures to operate in RRC\_CONNECTED state simultaneously in NW A and NW B) will have RAN3 or RAN4 impacts by RAN#99”
* Recommended WF
	+ Depending on conclusion of RAN#99 and not necessary to have further discussion

## Companies views’ collection for 1st round

### Open issues

### CRs/TPs comments collection

*Major close to finalize Wis and Rel-15 maintenance, comments collections can be arranged for TPs and CRs. For Rel-16 on-going Wis, suggest to focus on open issues discussion on 1st round.*

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| CR/TP number | Comments collection |
|  | Company A |
| Company B |
|  |
|  | Company A |
| Company B |
|  |
|  | Company A |
| Company B |
|  |
|  |  |

## Summary for 1st round

### Open issues

*Moderator tries to summarize discussion status for 1st round, list all the identified open issues and tentative agreements or candidate options and suggestion for 2nd round i.e. WF assignment.*

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
|  | Status summary  |
| Sub-topic#1 | *Tentative agreements:**Candidate options:**Recommendations for 2nd round:* |

### CRs/TPs

*Moderator tries to summarize discussion status for 1st round and provided recommendation on CRs/TPs Status update suggestion*

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| CR/TP number | CRs/TPs Status update recommendation  |
| XXX | *Based on 1st round of comments collection, moderator can recommend the next steps such as “agreeable”, “to be revised”* |

## Discussion on 2nd round (if applicable)

*Moderator can provide summary of 2nd round here. Note that recommended decisions on tdocs should be provided in the section titled ”Recommendations for Tdocs”.*

# Recommendations for Tdocs

## 1st round

**New tdocs**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Title | Source | Comments |
| WF on … | YYY |  |
| LS on … | ZZZ | To: RAN\_X; Cc: RAN\_Y |
|  |  |  |

**Existing tdocs**

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Tdoc number | Title | Source | Recommendation  | Comments |
| [**R4-2211591**](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/TSG_RAN/WG4_Radio/TSGR4_104-e/Docs/R4-2211591.zip) | Discussion on RRM requirements for Rel-17 MUSIM gaps | Charter Communications, Inc |  |  |
| [**R4-2211912**](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/TSG_RAN/WG4_Radio/TSGR4_104-e/Docs/R4-2211912.zip) | RRM requirements for Rel-17 MUSIM gaps | Apple |  |  |
| [**R4-2211939**](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/TSG_RAN/WG4_Radio/TSGR4_104-e/Docs/R4-2211939.zip) | Discussion on RRM requirements for Rel-17 MUSIM gaps | CMCC |  |  |
| [**R4-2211969**](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/TSG_RAN/WG4_Radio/TSGR4_104-e/Docs/R4-2211969.zip) | Discussion on RRM requirements for Rel-17 MUSIM gaps | Xiaomi |  |  |
| [**R4-2212061**](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/TSG_RAN/WG4_Radio/TSGR4_104-e/Docs/R4-2212061.zip) | Discussion on RRM requirements for Rel-17 MUSIM gaps | OPPO |  |  |
| [**R4-2212209**](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/TSG_RAN/WG4_Radio/TSGR4_104-e/Docs/R4-2212209.zip) | On requirements for Rel-17 MUSIM gaps | Qualcomm Incorporated |  |  |
| [**R4-2212343**](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/TSG_RAN/WG4_Radio/TSGR4_104-e/Docs/R4-2212343.zip) | Potential RF related issues for the MUSIM enhancements | Apple |  |  |
| [**R4-2212687**](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/TSG_RAN/WG4_Radio/TSGR4_104-e/Docs/R4-2212687.zip) | Discussion on Rel 18 RRM requirements for MUSIM | Nokia, Nokia Shanghai Bell |  |  |
| [**R4-2212765**](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/TSG_RAN/WG4_Radio/TSGR4_104-e/Docs/R4-2212765.zip) | Discussion on MUSIM gaps | Ericsson |  |  |
| [**R4-2213450**](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/TSG_RAN/WG4_Radio/TSGR4_104-e/Docs/R4-2213450.zip) | Work plan for Dual Transmission Reception (Tx Rx) Multi-SIM for NR WI. | Vivo |  |  |
| [**R4-2213451**](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/TSG_RAN/WG4_Radio/TSGR4_104-e/Docs/R4-2213451.zip) | Initial consierations on RRM requirements for Rel-17 MUSIM gaps | vivo |  |  |
| [**R4-2213562**](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/TSG_RAN/WG4_Radio/TSGR4_104-e/Docs/R4-2213562.zip) | Discussion on RRM requirements for MUSIM gaps | Huawei, HiSilicon |  |  |
| [**R4-2213748**](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/TSG_RAN/WG4_Radio/TSGR4_104-e/Docs/R4-2213748.zip) | Discussion on RRM requirements for MUSIM gaps | MediaTek inc. |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |

Notes:

1. Please include the summary of recommendations for all tdocs across all sub-topics incl. existing and new tdocs.
2. For the Recommendation column please include one of the following:
	1. CRs/TPs: Agreeable, Revised, Merged, Postponed, Not Pursued
	2. Other documents: Agreeable, Revised, Noted
3. For new LS documents, please include information on To/Cc WGs in the comments column
4. Do not include hyper-links in the documents

## 2nd round

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Tdoc number | Title | Source | Recommendation  | Comments |
| R4-210xxxx | CR on … | XXX | Agreeable, Revised, Merged, Postponed, Not Pursued |  |
| R4-210xxxx | WF on … | YYY | Agreeable, Revised, Noted |  |
| R4-210xxxx | LS on … | ZZZ | Agreeable, Revised, Noted |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |

Notes:

1. Please include the summary of recommendations for all tdocs across all sub-topics.
2. For the Recommendation column please include one of the following:
	1. CRs/TPs: Agreeable, Revised, Merged, Postponed, Not Pursued
	2. Other documents: Agreeable, Revised, Noted
3. Do not include hyper-links in the documents

# Annex

Contact information

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Company | Name | Email address |
| vivo | Xusheng wei | Xusheng.wei@vivo.com |
| Apple | Qiming Li | Li\_qiming@apple.com |

Note:

1. Please add your contact information in above table once you make comments on this email thread.
2. If multiple delegates from the same company make comments on single email thread, please add you name as suffix after company name when make comments i.e. Company A (XX, XX)