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# Introduction

This email discussion is for R17 NR RedCap WI and the scope covers the following agenda items:

* AI 9.18.3.2 Extended DRX enhancements
* AI 9.18.3.3 RRM measurement relaxations
* AI 9.18.3.4 Others

Based on the latest approved WI in [RP-211574], the objectives of the WI for the above AIs are duplicated as below:



During email discussion companies are encourages to:

* Provide comments on all interested topics/sub-topics at one time
* Ensure that comments are based on the latest version of the document by checking the folder before uploading
* Use “Track changes” to help identify added comments/changes
* Based on meeting guidance from RAN4 chair when changing the file name, adding your company name

The following tdoc in 9.19.3.4 are treated in email thread [103-e][214] NR\_redcap\_RRM\_1

[R4-2213378](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/TSG_RAN/WG4_Radio/TSGR4_104-e/Docs/R4-2213378.zip); R4-2213649

Some proposal of the following documents are handled in this email thread: [R4-2211847](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/TSG_RAN/WG4_Radio/TSGR4_104-e/Docs/R4-2211847.zip); [R4-2212037](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/TSG_RAN/WG4_Radio/TSGR4_104-e/Docs/R4-2212037.zip); [R4-2213064](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/TSG_RAN/WG4_Radio/TSGR4_104-e/Docs/R4-2213064.zip); R4-2213643

;

# Topic #1: Extended DRX enhancements

## Companies’ contributions summary

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| T-doc number | Company | Proposals / Observations |
| R4-2212754 | Ericsson | ***Proposal 1: All the eDRX configurations are valid for eDRX\_IDLE cycles with PTW.******Proposal 2: When eDRX=2.56s, and DRX=0.32s, UE is allowed to only perform intra-frequency, inter-frequency, inter-RAT measurement within PTW in every 2 eDRX cycles.*** |
| R4-2212995 | Huawei, HiSilicon | **Proposal 1: If the UE in RRC\_Inactive has not found any new suitable cell based on searches and measurements during the time T’, the UE shall initiate cell selection procedures.****- T’= MAX (10 s, one DRX\_inactive cycle or one eDRX\_inactive cycle if configured) in FR1, or****- T’= MAX (10 s, N1\* DRX\_inactive cycle or N1\* eDRX\_inactive cycle if configured) in FR2.** |
| R4-2212996 | Huawei, HiSilicon | CR |
| R4-2213647 | MediaTek Inc | **Observation 1: The case eDRX cycles with PTW 20.48s and DRX cycle 0.32s has more wake-up occasions compared to the eDRX cycle 2.56s.****Observation 2: The deep sleep concept applies for all DRX in IDLE/INACTIVE mode including the ones within PTW, hence the UE can go to deep sleep within the PTW.****Observation 3: The case of eDRX cycles with PTW 20.48s and DRX cycle 0.32s has lower power saving compared to eDRX 2.56s, hence it should not be included.****Proposal 1: RAN4 shall capture the following note in the WF and specification:****Note: The number of wake-up occasions for the scenario of eDRX IDLE cycle = 20.48s and DRX cycle = 0.32s are twice that of using eDRX cycle = 2.56s, yet this shall not prevent the NW from configuring this scenario.** |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

## Open issues summary

### Sub-topic 1-1 Remaining issues for eDRX requirements for Redcap

**Issue 1-1-1: FR2 serving cell requirements and cell reselection requirements for Redcap UE with eDRX length = 20.48s**

* + Option 1: Define requirements for all eDRX configurations with PTW for FR2 (Ericsson)
	+ Option 2: When eDRX=2.56s, and DRX=0.32s, UE is allowed to only perform intra-frequency, inter-frequency, inter-RAT measurement within PTW in every 2 eDRX cycles. (Ericsson)
	+ Option 3: RAN4 shall capture the following note in the WF and specification: Note: The number of wake-up occasions for the scenario of eDRX IDLE cycle = 20.48s and DRX cycle = 0.32s are twice that of using eDRX cycle = 2.56s, yet this shall not prevent the NW from configuring this scenario. (MTK)
* Recommended WF

Could company compromise to option 3?

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Comments** |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |

**Issue 1-1-2: Update on requirements T when the Redcap UE has not found new suitable cell during T at inactive state**

* + Option 1: If the UE in RRC\_Inactive has not found any new suitable cell based on searches and measurements during the time T’, the UE shall initiate cell selection procedures. (Huawei)
	+ - T’= MAX (10 s, one DRX\_inactive cycle or one eDRX\_inactive cycle if configured) in FR1, or
	+ - T’= MAX (10 s, N1\* DRX\_inactive cycle or N1\* eDRX\_inactive cycle if configured) in FR2.
* Recommended WF

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Comments** |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |

## Companies views’ collection for 1st round

### Open issues

*One of the two formats, i.e. either example 1 or 2 can be used by moderators.*

### CRs/TPs comments collection

*For close-to-finalize WIs and maintenance work, comments collections can be arranged for TPs and CRs. For ongoing WIs, suggest to focus on open issues discussion on 1st round.*

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| CR/TP number | Comments collection |
| R4-2212996 | Company A |
| Company B |
|  |
|  | Company A |
| Company B |
|  |
|  | Company A |
| Company B |
|  |
| YYY | Company A |
| Company B |
|  |

## Summary for 1st round

### Open issues

*Moderator tries to summarize discussion status for 1st round, list all the identified open issues and tentative agreements or candidate options and suggestion for 2nd round i.e. WF assignment.*

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
|  | Status summary  |
| Sub-topic #1 | *Tentative agreements:**Candidate options:**Recommendations for 2nd round:* |

### CRs/TPs

*Moderator tries to summarize discussion status for 1st round and provides recommendation on CRs/TPs Status update*

*Note: The tdoc decisions shall be provided in Section 3 and this table is optional in case moderators would like to provide additional information.*

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| CR/TP number | CRs/TPs Status update recommendation  |
| XXX | *Based on 1st round of comments collection, moderator can recommend the next steps such as “agreeable”, “to be revised”* |

## Discussion on 2nd round (if applicable)

# Topic #2: RRM measurement relaxations

*Main technical topic overview. The structure can be done based on sub-agenda basis.*

## Companies’ contributions summary

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| T-doc number | Company | Proposals / Observations |
| [R4-2211848](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/TSG_RAN/WG4_Radio/TSGR4_104-e/Docs/R4-2211848.zip) | Apple | ***Proposal 1: For inter-frequency measurement relaxation requirement of R17 RedCap, if only Rel-17 stationarity criterion is met and Srxlev > SnonIntraSearchP and Squal > SnonIntraSearchQ, the same relaxation shall be applied as the case when both Rel-17 criteria are satisfied.******Proposal 2: It’s allowed to configure both Rel-16 not-at-cell-edge and Rel-17 stationary criteria to UE, i.e., case 8. If UE can meet both Rel-16 not-at-cell-edge and Rel-17 stationary conditions, the UE is allowed to meet the requirements that are the most relaxed out of Rel-16 not-at-cell-edge and Rel-17 stationary RRM relaxation requirements.******Proposal 3: the SS-RSRP in stationary condition TP from RAN2 LS shall be revised as:******SS-RSRP = current L3 RSRP measurement of the PCell based on an identical SSB (dB)*** |
| [R4-2211972](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/TSG_RAN/WG4_Radio/TSGR4_104-e/Docs/R4-2211972.zip) | Xiaomi | Proposal 1: RAN4 to allow the scenario 8, i.e. Rel-16 not-at-cell-edge & Rel-17 stationary, for RRM relaxation scenarios.Proposal 2: RAN4 to apply the scaling factor only when the relaxed evaluation/measurement time with such scaling factor on one carrier is not greater than single PTW window length.Proposal 3: When only Rel-17 stationarity criterion is met and Srxlev > SnonIntraSearchP and Squal > SnonIntraSearchQ, the relaxation of higher priority inter-frequency measurement could follow Option 2b. |
| [R4-2212281](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/TSG_RAN/WG4_Radio/TSGR4_104-e/Docs/R4-2212281.zip) | CMCC | **Observation 1: Case#8 is allowed as independent criteria from signalling perspective, not combined criteria for UE to fulfil in order to relax RRM measurements.** **Proposal 1: No new RRM requirements are needed to support case#8.****Observation 2: Both SSB based L3 measurement and CSI-RS based L3 measurement can be supported by RedCap UE in connected mode.****Proposal 2: It is proposed to check with RAN2 whether CSI-RSRP can be used to evaluate the relaxed measurement criterion for stationary UE in addition to SS-RSRP** |
| [R4-2212997](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/TSG_RAN/WG4_Radio/TSGR4_104-e/Docs/R4-2212997.zip) | Huawei, HiSilicon | **Proposal 1: RAN4 to specify requirements for case#8 and case#9:****UE is allowed to meet the requirements that are the most relaxed out of Rel-16 and Rel-17 requirements when multiple criteria of Rel-16 and Rel-17 are satisfied.****Proposal 2: From RAN4 perspective, it is reasonable to change the “Srxlev” for stationary criterion to “SS-RSRP” in RRC\_CONNECTED.****Proposal 3: When UE fulfils both stationary and not at cell edge criterion, UE is allowed to relaxed measurement per 4 hours regardless of the eDRX cycle length.****Proposal 4: When only Rel-17 stationarity criterion is satisfied and Srxlev > SnonIntraSearchP and Squal > SnonIntraSearchQ UE performs measurement on high priority layer per 4 hour \*Nlayer.****When both R17 criteria are satisfied,** * **When Srxlev ≤ SnonIntraSearchP or Squal ≤ SnonIntraSearchQ, UE performs the measurement relaxation for lower, equal and higher priority frequency layers are the same, i.e., 4 hours.**
* **When Srxlev > SnonIntraSearchP and Squal > SnonIntraSearchQ, UE performs measurement on high priority layer per 4 hour \*Nlayer.**
 |
| [R4-2212998](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/TSG_RAN/WG4_Radio/TSGR4_104-e/Docs/R4-2212998.zip) | Huawei, HiSilicon | CR |
| [R4-2213405](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/TSG_RAN/WG4_Radio/TSGR4_104-e/Docs/R4-2213405.zip) | Ericsson | * **Proposal 1: The RedCap UE shall not relax measurements on any of the neighbour cells when it has failed to meet the S criterion.**
* **Observation 1: No RAN4 impact due to RAN2 agreement related to coexistence of Rel-16 and Rel-17 relaxation criteria.**
* **Observation 2: No RAN4 impact due to RAN2 decision to change from ‘Srxlev’ to ‘SS-RSRP’ in the stationary relaxation criterion.**
 |
| [R4-2213445](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/TSG_RAN/WG4_Radio/TSGR4_104-e/Docs/R4-2213445.zip) | vivo | **Proposal 1: For RRM relaxation scenarios, case 8 is allowed. For the requirement of scenario 8, UE could follow the requirements when both Rel-17 not-at-cell-edge criteria and Rel-17 stationary criteria are satisfied.****Proposal 2: UE applies the scaling factor (6) on each PTW window providing the relaxed RRM measurement/evaluation period for PHY filtering shall not cross different PTW windows. The condition “provided eDRX cycle is ≤ [163.84]” could be removed.****Proposal 3: Regarding higher priority inter-frequency measurement relaxation when only Rel-17 stationarity criterion is satisfied and Srxlev > SnonIntraSearchP and Squal > SnonIntraSearchQ or both Rel-17 criteria are satisfied, use option 2b.** |
| [R4-2213459](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/TSG_RAN/WG4_Radio/TSGR4_104-e/Docs/R4-2213459.zip) | vivo | CR |
| [R4-2213648](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/TSG_RAN/WG4_Radio/TSGR4_104-e/Docs/R4-2213648.zip) | MediaTek inc. | **Proposal 1: Rel-16 low not-at-cell-edge and Rel-17 stationary (i.e. case 8) are allowed to be configured together.****Observation 1: The existing relaxed DRX cycle = 2.56 s for low mobility and not-at-cell edge criteria can be more relaxed compared to the new eDRX requirements, hence there should be new relaxed eDRX to resolve that issue.****Proposal 2: The new eDRX requirements are up to 10485.76 s (i.e. already very relaxed) hence there is no need for further relax the high values of eDRX with RRM relaxation.****Proposal 3: Support the design of new relaxed eDRX for Rel-16/17 RRM relaxation for low eDRX cycles with PTW.****Proposal 4: The scaling factor applies only when the relaxed evaluation/measurement time with such scaling factor on one carrier is not greater than single PTW window length.****Proposal 5: Different scaling factor can be applied for different eDRX with PTW, where the larger the eDRX with PTW the smaller the scaling factor.****Proposal 6: For inter-frequency measurement relaxation RAN4 shall define the following for both stationary criterion and stationary and not-at-cell edge criterion:**

|  |
| --- |
| **When Srxlev > SnonIntraSearchP and Squal > SnonIntraSearchQ, the UE shall search for inter-frequency layers of higher priority at least every K4\*Thigher\_priority\_search where Thigher\_priority\_search is described in clause 4.2B.2.7 and K4=240.** |

**Observation 2: The TA validation requirements for SDT for RedCap with RRM relaxation is always equal to 640ms.****Proposal 7: RAN4 can define TA validation requirement as a single value = 640ms for SDT in RedCap with RRM relaxation.** |
| R4-2213000 | Huawei, HiSilicon | CR |
|  |  |  |

## Open issues summary

*Before e-Meeting, moderators shall summarize list of open issues, candidate options and possible WF (if applicable) based on companies’ contributions.*

### Sub-topic 2-1 General aspects for RRM measurment relaxation for Redcap

**Issue 2-1-1: Whether Scenario 8 should be allowed or not**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **No** | **Rel-16 relaxation criterion** | **Rel-17 relaxation criterion** | **Applicability** |
| 8 | Rel-16 not-at-cell-edge  | Rel-17 stationary |  |

* Proposals
	+ Option 1: Case 8 is supported (Apple Xiaomi Huawei vivo MTK)
	+ Option 2: Case 8 is not supported (CMCC Ericsson)
* Recommended WF

Could company compromise to option 1.

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Comments** |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |

**Issue 2-1-1-1: Requirements for scenario 8 if scenario 8 is allowed**

* Proposals
	+ Option 1: If UE can meet both Rel-16 not-at-cell-edge and Rel-17 stationary conditions, the UE is allowed to meet the requirements that are the most relaxed out of Rel-16 not-at-cell-edge and Rel-17 stationary RRM relaxation requirements. (Apple Huawei)
	+ Option 2: UE could follow the requirements when both Rel-17 not-at-cell-edge criteria and Rel-17 stationary criteria are satisfied. (vivo)
* Recommended WF
	+ To moderator understanding when multiple criteria are met, it is more logic for the requirements to be based on similar requirements when multiple criteria are satisfied in Rel-16/Rel-17 instead of basing on the most relaxed requirements when a single criteria is satisfied.

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Comments** |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |

**Issue 2-1-2 Update the “Srxlev” for stationary criterion to “SS-RSRP” in RRC\_CONNECTED (question from RAN2 LS R2-2206418)**

* Proposals
	+ Option 1: the SS-RSRP in stationary condition TP from RAN2 LS shall be revised as: SS-RSRP = current L3 RSRP measurement of the PCell based on an identical SSB (dB) (Apple)
	+ Option 2: It is proposed to check with RAN2 whether CSI-RSRP can be used to evaluate the relaxed measurement criterion for stationary UE in addition to SS-RSRP (CMCC)
	+ Option 3: From RAN4 perspective, it is reasonable to change the “Srxlev” for stationary criterion to “SS-RSRP” in RRC\_CONNECTED (Huawei Ericsson vivo)
* Recommended WF
	+ Could option 3 is used as the baseline for replying LS, whether other options (option 2) included in the reply LS or not is up to further discussion.

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Comments** |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |

**Issue 2-1-3 Clarification on RRM relaxation applying conditions**

* Proposals
	+ Option 1: The RedCap UE shall not relax measurements on any of the neighbour cells when it has failed to meet the S criterion (Ericsson)
* Recommended WF
	+ TBA

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Comments** |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |

### Sub-topic 2-2 RRM measurment relaxation for Redcap at Idle/Inactive state

**Issue 2-2-1: On scaling factor based RRM relaxation under eDRX with PTW**

* Proposals
	+ Option 1: The scaling factor applies only when the relaxed evaluation/measurement time with such scaling factor on one carrier is not greater than single PTW window length (Xiaomi MTK)
		- Option 1a: UE applies the scaling factor (6) on each PTW window providing the relaxed RRM measurement/evaluation period for PHY filtering shall not cross different PTW windows.(vivo)
	+ Option 2: The condition “provided eDRX cycle is ≤ [163.84]” could be removed. (vivo)
	+ Option 3: (MTK)
		- The new eDRX requirements are up to 10485.76 s (i.e. already very relaxed) hence there is no need for further relax the high values of eDRX with RRM relaxation.
		- Support the design of new relaxed eDRX for Rel-16/17 RRM relaxation for low eDRX cycles with PTW.
		- Different scaling factor can be applied for different eDRX with PTW, where the larger the eDRX with PTW the smaller the scaling factor.
* Moderator Note: Option 1 and other options are not exclusive.
* Recommended WF
	+ Suggest to agree option 1. Encourage providing views on option 2 and option 3

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Comments** |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |

**Issue 2-2-2: Clarification on the “4 hours” applying conditions of RRM relaxation under eDRX**

* Proposals
	+ Option 1: When UE fulfils both stationary and not at cell edge criterion, UE is allowed to relaxed measurement per 4 hours regardless of the eDRX cycle length. (Huawei)
* Moderator Note: To moderator’s understanding option 1 is a clarification of previous agreement.
* Recommended WF
	+ Suggest to agree option 1.

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Comments** |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |

**Issue 2-2-3: Higher priority inter-frequency measurement Relaxation**

* Proposals:
	+ - Option 1: When only Rel-17 stationarity criterion is satisfied and Srxlev > SnonIntraSearchP and Squal > SnonIntraSearchQ or both Rel-17 criteria are satisfied, RRM relaxation for higher priority frequency could be based on the same methodology used by Rel-16 UE power saving, i.e., based on K4\*Thigher\_priority\_search where K4 = 4\*K2 = 240 (xiaomi vivo)
		- Option 2: (Huawei)
		- When only Rel-17 stationarity criterion is satisfied and Srxlev > SnonIntraSearchP and Squal > SnonIntraSearchQ, UE performs measurement on high priority layer per 4 hour \*Nlayer.
		- When both R17 criteria are satisfied,
			* When Srxlev ≤ SnonIntraSearchP or Squal ≤ SnonIntraSearchQ, UE performs the measurement relaxation for lower, equal and higher priority frequency layers are the same, i.e., 4 hours.
			* When Srxlev > SnonIntraSearchP and Squal > SnonIntraSearchQ, UE performs measurement on high priority layer per 4 hour \*Nlayer.
		- Option 3: When Srxlev > SnonIntraSearchP and Squal > SnonIntraSearchQ, the UE shall search for inter-frequency layers of higher priority at least every K4\*Thigher\_priority\_search where Thigher\_priority\_search is described in clause 4.2B.2.7 and K4=240.(MTK)
* Recommended WF
	+ Could the followings are agreeable?
		- When only Rel-17 stationarity criterion is satisfied and Srxlev > SnonIntraSearchP and Squal > SnonIntraSearchQ, UE performs measurement on high priority layer per 4 hour \*Nlayer.
		- When both R17 criteria are satisfied,
			* When Srxlev > SnonIntraSearchP and Squal > SnonIntraSearchQ, UE performs measurement on high priority layer per 4 hour \*Nlayer.
	+ Then further discuss the scenario when both R17 criteria are satisfied and when Srxlev ≤ SnonIntraSearchP or Squal ≤ SnonIntraSearchQ, whether UE performs the measurement relaxation for lower, equal and higher priority frequency layers are the same, i.e., 4 hours.

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Comments** |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |

**Issue 2-2-4: Inter-frequency measurement relaxation requirement of R17 RedCap**

* Proposals:
	+ - Option 1: For inter-frequency measurement relaxation requirement of R17 RedCap, if only Rel-17 stationarity criterion is met and Srxlev > SnonIntraSearchP and Squal > SnonIntraSearchQ, the same relaxation shall be applied as the case when both Rel-17 criteria are satisfied. (Apple)
* Recommended WF
	+ Note: To moderator understanding the condition Srxlev > SnonIntraSearchP and Squal > SnonIntraSearchQ is used only on higher priority frequency relaxation in Rel-16 when Rel-16 low mobility criterion is satisfied and Rel-16 not-at-cell-edge is automatically satisfied. Moderator understands the logic of this proposal however further optimization may not necessary
	+ Suggest keep current requirements on inter-frequency measurement relaxation on R17 Redcap unchanged.

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Comments** |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |

### Sub-topic 2-3 RRM measurment relaxation for Redcap at CONNECTED state

**Issue 2-3-1: RRM measurement relaxation in SDT**

* Proposals:
	+ - Option 1: RAN4 can define TA validation requirement as a single value = 640ms for SDT in RedCap with RRM relaxation. (MTK)
* Recommended WF

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Comments** |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |

## Companies views’ collection for 1st round

### Open issues

### CRs/TPs comments collection

*Major close to finalize WIs and Rel-15 maintenance, comments collections can be arranged for TPs and CRs. For Rel-16 on-going WIs, suggest to focus on open issues discussion on 1st round.*

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| CR/TP number | Comments collection |
| R4-2212998 | Company A |
| Company B |
|  |
| [R4-2213459](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/TSG_RAN/WG4_Radio/TSGR4_104-e/Docs/R4-2213459.zip) | Company A |
| Company B |
|  |
| R4-2213000 | Company A |
| Company B |
|  |
|  |  |

## Summary for 1st round

### Open issues

*Moderator tries to summarize discussion status for 1st round, list all the identified open issues and tentative agreements or candidate options and suggestion for 2nd round i.e. WF assignment.*

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
|  | Status summary  |
| Sub-topic#1 | *Tentative agreements:**Candidate options:**Recommendations for 2nd round:* |

### CRs/TPs

*Moderator tries to summarize discussion status for 1st round and provided recommendation on CRs/TPs Status update suggestion*

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| CR/TP number | CRs/TPs Status update recommendation  |
| XXX | *Based on 1st round of comments collection, moderator can recommend the next steps such as “agreeable”, “to be revised”* |

## Discussion on 2nd round (if applicable)

*Moderator can provide summary of 2nd round here. Note that recommended decisions on tdocs should be provided in the section titled ”Recommendations for Tdocs”.*

# Topic #3: Others

## Companies’ contributions summary

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| T-doc number | Company | Proposals / Observations |
| [R4-2212999](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/TSG_RAN/WG4_Radio/TSGR4_104-e/Docs/R4-2212999.zip) | Huawei, HiSilicon | Proposal 1: Add additional offset values, i.e., 20ms, 40ms, 60ms, to transmit CD-SSB and NCD-SSB. |
| [R4-2213447](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/TSG_RAN/WG4_Radio/TSGR4_104-e/Docs/R4-2213447.zip) | vivo | RAN4 concludes that RAN2’s understanding on “it is up to UE implementation to perform new RSRP measurement in a DL BWP associated with CD-SSB before Msg1/A retransmission” is right and it is up to RAN2 to determine how to progress this work. |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

## Open issues summary

### Sub-topic 3-1 On offset to transmit CD-SSB and NCD-SSB at different times

**Issue 3-1-1: On offset values to transmit CD-SSB and NCD-SSB at different time**

* Proposals
	+ Option 1: Add additional offset values, i.e., 20ms, 40ms, 60ms, to transmit CD-SSB and NCD-SSB.(Huawei)
* Recommended WF
	+ TBA

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Comments** |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |

### Sub-topic 3-2 Reply LS for R2- 2201760

**Issue 3-2-1: On draft reply LS to R2- 2201760**

* Proposals
	+ Option 1: RAN4 concludes that RAN2’s understanding on “it is up to UE implementation to perform new RSRP measurement in a DL BWP associated with CD-SSB before Msg1/A retransmission” is right and it is up to RAN2 to determine how to progress this work (vivo)
* Recommended WF
	+ To moderator’s understanding it is good to have this LS replied from procedure point of view even there is no RAN4 impact.

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Comments** |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |

Moderator Note: draft reply LS are provided at R4-2213447

## Companies views’ collection for 1st round

### Open issues

### CRs/TPs comments collection

*Major close to finalize WIs and Rel-15 maintenance, comments collections can be arranged for TPs and CRs. For Rel-16 on-going WIs, suggest to focus on open issues discussion on 1st round.*

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| CR/TP number | Comments collection |
|  | Company A |
| Company B |
|  |
|  | Company A |
| Company B |
|  |

## Summary for 1st round

### Open issues

*Moderator tries to summarize discussion status for 1st round, list all the identified open issues and tentative agreements or candidate options and suggestion for 2nd round i.e. WF assignment.*

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
|  | Status summary  |
| Sub-topic#1 | *Tentative agreements:**Candidate options:**Recommendations for 2nd round:* |

### CRs/TPs

*Moderator tries to summarize discussion status for 1st round and provided recommendation on CRs/TPs Status update suggestion*

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| CR/TP number | CRs/TPs Status update recommendation  |
| XXX | *Based on 1st round of comments collection, moderator can recommend the next steps such as “agreeable”, “to be revised”* |

## Discussion on 2nd round (if applicable)

# Recommendations for Tdocs

## 1st round

**New tdocs**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Title | Source | Comments |
| WF on … | YYY |  |
| LS on … | ZZZ | To: RAN\_X; Cc: RAN\_Y |
|  |  |  |

**Existing tdocs**

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Tdoc number | Title | Source | Recommendation  | Comments |
| [**R4-2212754**](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/TSG_RAN/WG4_Radio/TSGR4_104-e/Docs/R4-2212754.zip) | Discussions on RedCap eDRX | Ericsson |  |  |
| [**R4-2212995**](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/TSG_RAN/WG4_Radio/TSGR4_104-e/Docs/R4-2212995.zip) | Discussion on Extended DRX enhancements for RedCap UE | Huawei, HiSilicon |  |  |
| [**R4-2212996**](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/TSG_RAN/WG4_Radio/TSGR4_104-e/Docs/R4-2212996.zip) | Correction on measurement with eDRX for RedCap UE | Huawei, HiSilicon |  |  |
| [**R4-2213647**](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/TSG_RAN/WG4_Radio/TSGR4_104-e/Docs/R4-2213647.zip) | Extended DRX in IDLE mode and INACTIVE mode | MediaTek inc. |  |  |

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Tdoc number | Title | Source | Recommendation  | Comments |
| [**R4-2211848**](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/TSG_RAN/WG4_Radio/TSGR4_104-e/Docs/R4-2211848.zip) | On RRM measurement relaxations for RedCap UE | Apple |  |  |
| [**R4-2211972**](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/TSG_RAN/WG4_Radio/TSGR4_104-e/Docs/R4-2211972.zip) | Discussion on remaining issues for RedCap RRM measurement relaxations | Xiaomi |  |  |
| [**R4-2212281**](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/TSG_RAN/WG4_Radio/TSGR4_104-e/Docs/R4-2212281.zip) | Reply LS to RAN2 on RRM measurement relaxation | CMCC |  |  |
| [**R4-2212997**](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/TSG_RAN/WG4_Radio/TSGR4_104-e/Docs/R4-2212997.zip) | Discussion on RRM measurement relaxations for RedCap UE | Huawei, HiSilicon |  |  |
| [**R4-2212998**](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/TSG_RAN/WG4_Radio/TSGR4_104-e/Docs/R4-2212998.zip) | CR on higher priority inter-frequency measurement relaxation for RedCap | Huawei, HiSilicon |  |  |
| [**R4-2213405**](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/TSG_RAN/WG4_Radio/TSGR4_104-e/Docs/R4-2213405.zip) | Discussions on RRM measurement relaxations | Ericsson |  |  |
| [**R4-2213445**](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/TSG_RAN/WG4_Radio/TSGR4_104-e/Docs/R4-2213445.zip) | Further considerations on remaining issues for Redcap RRM relaxation | vivo |  |  |
| [**R4-2213648**](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/TSG_RAN/WG4_Radio/TSGR4_104-e/Docs/R4-2213648.zip) | RRM measurements relaxation for stationary criterion | MediaTek inc. |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Tdoc number | Title | Source | Recommendation  | Comments |
| [**R4-2212999**](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/TSG_RAN/WG4_Radio/TSGR4_104-e/Docs/R4-2212999.zip) | Discussion on time offset between NCD-SSB and CD-SSB | Huawei, HiSilicon |  |  |
| [**R4-2213000**](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/TSG_RAN/WG4_Radio/TSGR4_104-e/Docs/R4-2213000.zip) | Corrections on measurement relaxations mixed with eDRX for Redcap UE | Huawei, HiSilicon |  |  |
| [**R4-2213447**](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/TSG_RAN/WG4_Radio/TSGR4_104-e/Docs/R4-2213447.zip) | Reply LS on RSRP measurement before Msg1 or MsgA retransmission | vivo |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |

Notes:

1. Please include the summary of recommendations for all tdocs across all sub-topics incl. existing and new tdocs.
2. For the Recommendation column please include one of the following:
	1. CRs/TPs: Agreeable, Revised, Merged, Postponed, Not Pursued
	2. Other documents: Agreeable, Revised, Noted
3. For new LS documents, please include information on To/Cc WGs in the comments column
4. Do not include hyper-links in the documents

## 2nd round

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Tdoc number | Title | Source | Recommendation  | Comments |
| R4-210xxxx | CR on … | XXX | Agreeable, Revised, Merged, Postponed, Not Pursued |  |
| R4-210xxxx | WF on … | YYY | Agreeable, Revised, Noted |  |
| R4-210xxxx | LS on … | ZZZ | Agreeable, Revised, Noted |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |

Notes:

1. Please include the summary of recommendations for all tdocs across all sub-topics.
2. For the Recommendation column please include one of the following:
	1. CRs/TPs: Agreeable, Revised, Merged, Postponed, Not Pursued
	2. Other documents: Agreeable, Revised, Noted
3. Do not include hyper-links in the documents

# Annex

Contact information

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Company | Name | Email address |
| vivo | Xusheng wei | Xusheng.wei@vivo.com |

Note:

1. Please add your contact information in above table once you make comments on this email thread.
2. If multiple delegates from the same company make comments on single email thread, please add you name as suffix after company name when make comments i.e. Company A (XX, XX)