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# Introduction

This document is the email discussion summary for [104-e][211] NR\_MG\_enh\_1 with the following topics covered

* Topic 1: General (AI 9.9.1)
* Topic 2: [Core requirement maintenance] Multiple concurrent and independent MG patterns (AI 9.9.1.2)
* Topic 3: [Performance requirements] Multiple concurrent and independent MG patterns (AI 9.9.2.2)

List of candidate target of email discussion for 1st round and 2nd round

* 1st round: Collect views from companies. Make early decision on issues with clear consensus. Decide on the scope, priority, options and tentative agreement to be discussed in the 2nd round.
* 2nd round:
	+ Conclude the issues identified in the 1st round.
	+ Revise and endorse draft CRs

Contact information

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Name** | **Email address** |
| MediaTek inc | Ato Yu | Ato.yu@mediatek.com |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

Note:

1. Please add your contact information in above table once you make comments on this email thread.
2. If multiple delegates from the same company make comments on single email thread, please add you name as suffix after company name when make comments i.e. Company A (XX, XX)

# Topic #1: General (AI 9.9.1)

*Main technical topic overview. The structure can be done based on sub-agenda basis.*

## Companies’ contributions summary

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **T-doc number** | **Company** | **Proposals / Observations** |
| R4-2212031 | OPPO | CR: CR to enhanced gap configuration for RRM requirements applicability |

## Open issues summary

Moderator: No open issue in this AI.

## Companies views’ collection for 1st round

### Open issues

Moderator: No open issue in this AI.

### CRs/TPs comments collection

*For close-to-finalize WIs and maintenance work, comments collections can be arranged for TPs and CRs. For ongoing WIs, suggest to focus on open issues discussion on 1st round.*

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **CR/TP number** | **Comments collection** |
| R4-2212031OPPO | Moderator: This CR clarifies the limitation in current Rel-17 MG enh WI (e.g., no cross-feature requirements). Companies are encouraged to provide view on whether and how to introduce the limitation in spec. |
| Company A |
| Company B |

## Summary for 1st round

### Open issues

Moderator: No open issue in this AI.

### CRs/TPs

*Moderator tries to summarize discussion status for 1st round and provides recommendation on CRs/TPs Status update*

*Note: The tdoc decisions shall be provided in Section 3 and this table is optional in case moderators would like to provide additional information.*

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **CR/TP number** | **CRs/TPs Status update recommendation**  |
| R4-2212031OPPO | *Based on 1st round of comments collection, moderator can recommend the next steps such as “agreeable”, “to be revised”* |

## Discussion on 2nd round (if applicable)

# Topic #2: Core requirement maintenance (AI 9.9.1.2)

*Main technical topic overview. The structure can be done based on sub-agenda basis.*

## Companies’ contributions summary

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **T-doc number** | **Company** | **Proposals / Observations** |
| R4-2211895 | Apple | Proposal 1: proximity condition for overlapping in FR2-2 is 4ms.Proposal 2: introduce the following concurrent gaps configuration restriction:Option 1: When concurrent MGs are configured, the MGRP for each MG cannot be smaller than 40ms. Option 2: When concurrent MGs are configured, up to one MGP can be configured with MGRP=20ms.Proposal 3: introduce a new capability for concurrent gaps capable UE to indicate whether above concurrent gaps configuration restriction apply. |
| R4-2211942 | CMCC | *Proposal 1: it is not necessary to define an overhead cap for concurrent gaps. In order to exclude the combination of 20ms MGRP+ 20ms MGRP, clarification in the spec can be considred.*Proposal 2: *In order to exclude the combination of 20ms MGRP+ 20ms MGRP, no need to extend the dropping rule, just add clarification that when concurrent MGs are configured, the MGRP for each MG cannot be smaller than 40ms.*  |
| R4-2211955 | Xiaomi | Proposal 1: The minimum distance between two gap instances is 4ms for FR2-2.Proposal 2: Option 3 is adopt to define the overhead capability, e.g. When concurrent MGs are configured, the MGRP for each MG cannot be smaller than 40ms. |
| R4-2212078 | MediaTek inc. | Proposal 1: When network configures concurrent gaps to UE, network can configure at most 1 MG with MGRP=20ms in an FR. |
| R4-2212079 | MediaTek inc. | CR: Maintenance CR on TS38.133 for concurrent gaps core part |
| R4-2212130 | Intel Corporation | *Proposal 1: Prefer to define the additional dropping rules in [2] to avoid the issue of concurrent MG overhead cap.* |
| R4-2212204 | Qualcomm Incorporated | Proposal 1: Reuse X = 4 for the proximity condition for colliding measurement gaps in FR2-2.Proposal 2: Introduce an optional UE capability to signal the maximum overhead for concurrent MGs supported by the UE.* The set of candidate values include {30%, 40%, 50%}.
* The overhead cap applies per FR.
* If the UE does not signal the capability, no overhead cap applies.

Proposal 3: Support the UE reporting its preferred maximum overhead for concurrent MG via UE Assistance Information. Request RAN2 to add new signalling for this purpose. |
| R4-2212760 | Ericsson | *Proposal 1: The dropping rule can be extended to handle the overhead cap.**Proposal 2: To move forward, RAN4 to extend the overlapping rule when two MGs configuring with MGRP=20ms.** *the lower priority gap can be cancelled regardless of proximity rule*
* *Data scheduling is resumed on the dropped gap occasions*
 |
| R4-2212871 | Nokia, Nokia Shanghai Bell | 1. RAN4 need to resolve the remaining details related to legacy MG and concurrent MG.
2. Send LS to RAN2 asking RAN2 to introduce priority for legacy gaps.
3. Define the overhead cap: Option 3 (Introduce UE capability)
4. Definition of overhead cap: Option 3 (MGRP for each MG cannot be smaller than 40ms)
 |
| R4-2212872 | Nokia, Nokia Shanghai Bell | CR: CR for concurrent measurement gaps |
| R4-2212873 | Nokia, Nokia Shanghai Bell | LS: LS on priority for legacy gaps |
| R4-2213291 | ZTE Corporation | Not avialable |
| R4-2213508 | Huawei, HiSilicon | Proposal 1: Define X = 4ms for FR2-2.Proposal 2: Update the requirement applicability as follows.* RAN4 requirements do not apply when a gap without assigned priority is configured simultaneously with any other gap(s) that affect serving carriers in the same FR, if the gaps are colliding with each other.

Proposal 3: Introduce the following applicability condition on overhead cap for concurrent MG:* When concurrent MGs are configured, the requirements apply provided that at most one gap in each FR (including per-UE gaps) is configured with MGRP < 40 ms
 |
| R4-2213509 | Huawei, HiSilicon | CR: CR on concurrent MG related requirements |
| R4-2213874 | ZTE Corporation | Proposal 1: The value of X for FR2-2 can be smaller or equals to 4 ms.Proposal 2: RAN4 can wait for the signalling structure finally identified in RAN 2, and then further check the correlation between classic MG and concurrent MG.Proposal 3: To move forward, we can compromise to Option 5, i.e. handling this issue by extending the dropping rule, instead of defining an overhead cap.Proposal 4: Referring to the definition of additional dropping rule, we agree that when two MGs configuring with both MGRP = 20 ms, the lower priority can be canceled regardless of proximity rule. |

## Open issues summary

*Before e-Meeting, moderators shall summarize list of open issues, candidate options and possible WF (if applicable) based on companies’ contributions.*

### Sub-topic 2-1: Overlapping

#### **Issue 2-1: Proximity condition for overlapping in FR2-2**

* Proposals
	+ Option 1: Apple, Xiaomi, Qualcomm, Huawei
		- X = 4ms
* Recommended WF
	+ Agree on Option 1 to close the issue

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Comments** |
| XXX |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |

#### **Issue 2-2: Relation between legacy (classic) MG and concurrent MG**

* Proposals
	+ Option 1: Nokia
		- Send LS to RAN2 asking RAN2 to introduce priority for legacy gaps
	+ Option 2: Huawei
		- Update the requirement applicability as follows
			* RAN4 requirements do not apply when a gap without assigned priority is configured simultaneously with any other gap(s) that affect serving carriers in the same FR, if the gaps are colliding with each other
* Recommended WF
	+ Companies are encouraged to provide views in the 1st round. A draft LS can be arranged in the 2nd round if Option 1

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Comments** |
| XXX |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |

### Sub-topic 2-2: Overhead cap

Moerator: Affter checking all contributions, it seems all comapnies are fine to introduce the cap. But the controversial part is on how to define it (UE capability, NW configuration limitation, extending dropping rules). Therefore, Moderator suggest to directly focus on the detail.

#### **Issue 2-3: How to define the overhead cap when concurrent MGs are configured**

* Proposals
	+ Option 1: Introduce UE capability to indicate whether configuration restriction apply
		- Option 1a: Apple, Xiaomi, Nokia
			* The MGRP for each MG cannot be smaller than 40ms
		- Option 1b: Apple
			* Up to one MGP can be configured with MGRP=20ms
		- Option 1c: Qualcomm
			* A set of candidate values of per FR maximum overhead includes {30%, 40%, 50%}
	+ Option 2: Directly add NW configuration limitation in spec
		- Option 2a: CMCC
			* The MGRP for each MG cannot be smaller than 40ms
		- Option 2b: MTK, Huawei
			* Up to one MGP can be configured with MGRP=20ms
	+ Option 3: Extending dropping rules
		- Option 3a: Intel, E///
			* when two MGs configuring with MGRP=20ms, the lower priority gap can be cancelled regardless of proximity rule and data scheduling is resumed on the dropped gap occasions
* Recommended WF
	+ Companies are encouraged to provide views in the 1st round.

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Comments** |
| XXX |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |

## Companies views’ collection for 1st round

### Open issues

Moderator: Company views are collected in previous section

### CRs/TPs comments collection

*Major close to finalize WIs and Rel-15 maintenance, comments collections can be arranged for TPs and CRs. For Rel-16 on-going WIs, suggest to focus on open issues discussion on 1st round.*

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **CR/TP number** | **Comments collection** |
| R4-2212079MTK | Company A |
| Company B |
|  |
| R4-2212872Nokia | Company A |
| Company B |
|  |
| R4-2213509Huawei | Company A |
| Company B |
|  |

## Summary for 1st round

### Open issues

*Moderator tries to summarize discussion status for 1st round, list all the identified open issues and tentative agreements or candidate options and suggestion for 2nd round i.e. WF assignment.*

|  |
| --- |
| **Status summary**  |
| **Issue 2-1: Proximity condition for overlapping in FR2-2***Status*:*Tentative agreements*:*Candidate options*:*Recommendations for 2nd round*: |
| **Issue 2-2: Relation between legacy (classic) MG and concurrent MG** *Status*:*Tentative agreements*:*Candidate options*:*Recommendations for 2nd round*: |
| **Issue 2-3: How to define the overhead cap when concurrent MGs are configured** *Status*:*Tentative agreements*:*Candidate options*:*Recommendations for 2nd round*: |

### CRs/TPs

*Moderator tries to summarize discussion status for 1st round and provided recommendation on CRs/TPs Status update suggestion*

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **CR/TP number** | **CRs/TPs Status update recommendation**  |
| R4-2212079MTK | *Based on 1st round of comments collection, moderator can recommend the next steps such as “agreeable”, “to be revised”* |
| R4-2212872Nokia |  |
| R4-2213509Huawei |  |

## Discussion on 2nd round (if applicable)

*Moderator can provide summary of 2nd round here. Note that recommended decisions on tdocs should be provided in the section titled ”Recommendations for Tdocs”.*

# Topic #3: Performance requirement (AI 9.9.2.2)

*Main technical topic overview. The structure can be done based on sub-agenda basis.*

## Companies’ contributions summary

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **T-doc number** | **Company** | **Proposals / Observations** |
| R4-2211896 | Apple | CR: draftCR on concurrent gaps test case for FR2 SA with SSB and PRS |
| R4-2212083 | MediaTek inc. | CR:CR on TS38.133 for concurrent MG test case No 2 |
| R4-2212134 | Intel Corporation | CR: [draftCR] CR for concurrent MG test case No 4 |
| R4-2212761 | Ericsson | CR:Test case for Con-MGs TC1 |
| R4-2212875 | Nokia, Nokia Shanghai Bell | CR:DraftCR TC#3 on Concurrent Measurement Gaps |
| R4-2213298 | ZTE Corporation | Not available |
| R4-2213514 | Huawei, HiSilicon | Proposal 1: Define TC #3, 4 and 7 in [1] for verifying performance of PRS and LTE measurement with concurrent MGs.Proposal 2: Pick 1 TC (e.g. TC#1) for SBI reporting.Proposal 3: Use simultaneous per-UE gap and per-FR gap in TC #4 and 7**.** |
| R4-2213515 | Huawei, HiSilicon | CR:CR to introduce TC#5 for concurrent MGs |
| R4-2213881 | ZTE Corporation | CR:Draft CR on test case for Concurrent MG for FR2 PPO in TS38.133 A.7.6.2.x |

## Open issues summary

*Before e-Meeting, moderators shall summarize list of open issues, candidate options and possible WF (if applicable) based on companies’ contributions.*

### Sub-topic 3-1 High-level principles

#### **Issue 3-1: Whether to introduce test cases for PRS measurement**

* Proposals
	+ Option 1: Huawei
		- Yes
* Recommended WF
	+ Collect views in 1st round

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Comments** |
| XXX |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |

#### **Issue 3-2: Whether to introduce test cases for EUTRAN measurement**

* Proposals
	+ Option 1: Huawei
		- Yes
* Recommended WF
	+ Collect views in 1st round

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Comments** |
| XXX |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |

#### **Issue 3-3: Which test case to add SBI reporting**

* Background: Agreement in last meeting
	+ **< Agreement>** Define test case without SBI reporting. FFS whether and how to pick 1 test case for SBI reporting
* Proposals
	+ Option 1: Huawei
		- TC#1
* Recommended WF
	+ Collect views in 1st round

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Comments** |
| XXX |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |

## Companies views’ collection for 1st round

### Open issues

Moderator: Company views are collected in previous section

### CRs/TPs comments collection

*Major close to finalize WIs and Rel-15 maintenance, comments collections can be arranged for TPs and CRs. For Rel-16 on-going WIs, suggest to focus on open issues discussion on 1st round.*

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **CR/TP number** | **Comments collection** |
| R4-2211896Apple | Company A |
| Company B |
|  |
| R4-2212083MTK | Company A |
| Company B |
|  |
| R4-2212134Intel | Company A |
| Company B |
|  |
| R4-2212761Ericsson | Company A |
| Company B |
|  |
| R4-2212875Nokia | Company A |
| Company B |
|  |
| R4-2213515Huawei | Company A |
| Company B |
|  |
| R4-2213881ZTE | Company A |
| Company B |
|  |

## Summary for 1st round

### Open issues

*Moderator tries to summarize discussion status for 1st round, list all the identified open issues and tentative agreements or candidate options and suggestion for 2nd round i.e. WF assignment.*

|  |
| --- |
| **Status summary**  |
| **Issue 3-1: Whether to introduce test cases for PRS measurement***Status*:*Tentative agreements*:*Candidate options*:*Recommendations for 2nd round*: |
| **Issue 3-2: Whether to introduce test cases for EUTRAN measurement***Status*:*Tentative agreements*:*Candidate options*:*Recommendations for 2nd round*: |
| **Issue 3-3: Which test case to add SBI reporting***Status*:*Tentative agreements*:*Candidate options*:*Recommendations for 2nd round*: |

### CRs/TPs

*Moderator tries to summarize discussion status for 1st round and provided recommendation on CRs/TPs Status update suggestion*

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **CR/TP number** | **CRs/TPs Status update recommendation**  |
| R4-2211896Apple | *Based on 1st round of comments collection, moderator can recommend the next steps such as “agreeable”, “to be revised”* |
| R4-2212083MTK |  |
| R4-2212134Intel |  |
| R4-2212761Ericsson |  |
| R4-2212875Nokia |  |
| R4-2213515Huawei |  |
| R4-2213881ZTE |  |

## Discussion on 2nd round (if applicable)

*Moderator can provide summary of 2nd round here. Note that recommended decisions on tdocs should be provided in the section titled ”Recommendations for Tdocs”.*

# Recommendations for Tdocs

## 1st round

**New tdocs**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **New Tdoc number** | **Title** | **Source** | **Comments** |
|  | WF on … | YYY |  |
|  | LS on … | ZZZ | To: RAN\_X; Cc: RAN\_Y |
|  |  |  |  |

**Existing tdocs**

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Tdoc number** | **Revised to** | **Title** | **Source** | **Recommendation**  | **Comments** |
| R4-22xxxxx |  | CR on … | XXX | Agreeable, Revised, Merged, Postponed, Not Pursued |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |

Notes:

1. Please include the summary of recommendations for all tdocs across all sub-topics incl. existing and new tdocs.
2. For the Recommendation column please include one of the following:
	1. CRs/TPs: Agreeable, Revised, Merged, Postponed, Not Pursued
	2. Other documents: Agreeable, Revised, Noted
3. For new LS documents, please include information on To/Cc WGs in the comments column
4. Do not include hyper-links in the documents

## 2nd round

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Tdoc number** | **Revised to** | **Title** | **Source** | **Recommendation**  | **Comments** |
| R4-22xxxxx |  | CR on … | XXX | Agreeable, Revised, Merged, Postponed, Not Pursued |  |
| R4-22xxxxx |  | WF on … | YYY | Agreeable, Revised, Noted |  |
| R4-22xxxxx |  | LS on … | ZZZ | Agreeable, Revised, Noted |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |

Notes:

1. Please include the summary of recommendations for all tdocs across all sub-topics.
2. For the Recommendation column please include one of the following:
	1. CRs/TPs: Agreeable, Revised, Merged, Postponed, Not Pursued
	2. Other documents: Agreeable, Revised, Noted
3. Do not include hyper-links in the documents