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# Introduction

The WID on NR RF Enhancements for FR2 RP-202107 has been approved in RAN#89e meeting. The purpose of this work item is to specify the following FR2 UE features and associated requirements including RF and RRM requirements. This email discussion is to discuss the performance requirements for inter-band UL CA in FR2 corresponding to section 9.4.5 and 9.4.6.1 in the agenda.

The tentative target of email discussion for 1st round and 2nd round is indicated below:

* 1st round: Companies are expected to provide views and/or comments on the open issue and resubmitted CR.
* 2nd round: Conclude on the RRM performance requirements.

It is appreciated that the delegates for this topic put their contact information in the table below.

Contact information

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Name** | **Email address** |
| Nokia | Delia Chen | delia.chen@nokia-sbell.com |
| Qualcomm | CH Park | chparkqc@qti.qualcomm.com |
| apple | Yang Tang | yang.tang@apple.com |

Note:

1. Please add your contact information in above table once you make comments on this email thread.
2. If multiple delegates from the same company make comments on single email thread, please add you name as suffix after company name when make comments i.e. Company A (XX, XX)

# Topic #1: RRM performance requirement

\* Based on RAN #95e agreements in RP-220968 inter-band DL CA requirements for CBM were removed from the WI scope. Rapporteur and companies are encouraged to provide updated Big CR following the updated WI scope

## Companies’ contributions summary

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **T-doc number** | **Company** | **Proposals / Observations** |
| [R4-2213938](file:///C:\DuLei2019\RAN4\RAN4%23104\Docs\R4-2213938.zip) | Ericsson | **CR on UL gaps for BPS** |
| [R4-2212858](file:///C:\DuLei2019\RAN4\RAN4%23104\Docs\R4-2212858.zip) | Nokia, Nokia Shanghai Bell | 1. Test case for FR2 inter-band UL CA SCell activation delay with IBM can reuse TC 1-5 and TC 1-6 for PUCCH SCell activation and deactivation delay requirements of FR2 known and unknown cell with inter-band FR2 PCell in PUCCH SCell activation in FeRRM WI directly. |
| [R4-2212859](file:///C:\DuLei2019\RAN4\RAN4%23104\Docs\R4-2212859.zip) | Nokia, Nokia Shanghai Bell | **Submit formal CR for endorsed draftCR for test case on UE UL carrier RRC reconfiguration Delay and interruption at UL carrier RRC reconfiguration for FR2 in 103-e meeting (R4-2211081)** |

Moderators’s comment: The Ericsson paper [R4-2213938](file:///C:\DuLei2019\RAN4\RAN4%23104\Docs\R4-2213938.zip) is relevant to agenda item 9.4.2, so it will not be discussed in this email thread.

## Open issues summary

**Issue 1-1 Test cases for FR2 inter-band UL CA for IBM**

*At RAN4#103e, it is FFS if additional test case is needed FR2 inter-band UL CA SCell activation.*

* *TC#2: Test case for FR2 inter-band UL CA SCell activation delay with IBM* 
  + *FFS:* 
    - *Option 1: Define a new test case for FR2 inter-band UL CA SCell activation delay with IBM*
    - *Option 2: Reuse TC5 if it is defined in PUCCH SCell activation in FeRRM WI.*
    - *Option 3: No test case is needed.*
* Proposals:
  + Option 1: Test case for FR2 inter-band UL CA SCell activation delay with IBM can reuse TC 1-5 and TC 1-6 for PUCCH SCell activation and deactivation delay requirements of FR2 known and unknown cell with inter-band FR2 PCell in PUCCH SCell activation in FeRRM WI directly (Nokia)

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| TC 1-5 | TC for PUCCH SCell activation and deactivation delay requirements of FR2 known cell with inter-band FR2 PCell | NR SA with one or more cells in FR2 | 7.5.3.x3 | Nokia |
| TC 1-6 | TC for PUCCH SCell activation and deactivation delay requirements of FR2 unknown cell with inter-band FR2 PCell | NR SA with one or more cells in FR2 | 7.5.3.x4 | CATT |

* Recommended WF:
  + Please companies comment if Option 1 is agreeable.

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Comments** |
| Qualcomm | Wouldn’t Option1 be applicable only to those UEs supporting dual PUCCH group feature as well as FR2 inter-band UL CA? |
| Ericsson | May be a clarification question. What is the additional testing requirement we are testing here compared to PUCCH SCell activation test? |
| Apple | Similar comments as Qualcomm and Ericsson. Similar test cases are under discussion in R17 FeRRM WI also. |
| Nokia | We think QC has a valid point. In our view, if one of the SCell in UL CA is configured as PUCCH SCell, the TCs above can also validate the inter-band UL CA, hence no additional TCs are needed for FR2 inter-band UL CA.  But indeed there may be cases where SCell in UL CA uses PUCCH on PCell. That is, the SCell may be configured with both DL and UL, or UL with PUSCH only. We understood there is no activation delay requirement for activating an UL CC. Without core requirements, it is not possible to define the TCs. We’d like to check if companies have the same understanding, and if we need discuss the core requirement for UL CC activation.  Hope it is answered Ericsson’s question. |

## Companies views’ collection for 1st round

### CRs/TPs comments collection

*Major close to finalize WIs and Rel-15 maintenance, comments collections can be arranged for TPs and CRs.*

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **CR/TP number** | **Comments collection** |
| [R4-2212859](file:///C:\DuLei2019\RAN4\RAN4%23103\Docs\R4-2208992.zip) (Nokia) | Moderator: As this is a resubmission of endorsed draftCR R4-2211081, it is suggested to agree on it. |
| Ericsson: OK |
|  |

## Summary for 1st round

# Recommendations for Tdocs

## 1st round

**New tdocs**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **New Tdoc number** | **Title** | **Source** | **Comments** |
|  | WF on … | YYY |  |
|  | LS on … | ZZZ | To: RAN\_X; Cc: RAN\_Y |
|  |  |  |  |

**Existing tdocs**

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Tdoc number** | **Revised to** | **Title** | **Source** | **Recommendation** | **Comments** |
| R4-22xxxxx |  | CR on … | XXX | Agreeable, Revised, Merged, Postponed, Not Pursued |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |

Notes:

1. Please include the summary of recommendations for all tdocs across all sub-topics incl. existing and new tdocs.
2. For the Recommendation column please include one of the following:
   1. CRs/TPs: Agreeable, Revised, Merged, Postponed, Not Pursued
   2. Other documents: Agreeable, Revised, Noted
3. For new LS documents, please include information on To/Cc WGs in the comments column
4. Do not include hyper-links in the documents

## 2nd round

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Tdoc number** | **Revised to** | **Title** | **Source** | **Recommendation** | **Comments** |
| R4-22xxxxx |  | CR on … | XXX | Agreeable, Revised, Merged, Postponed, Not Pursued |  |
| R4-22xxxxx |  | WF on … | YYY | Agreeable, Revised, Noted |  |
| R4-22xxxxx |  | LS on … | ZZZ | Agreeable, Revised, Noted |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |

Notes:

1. Please include the summary of recommendations for all tdocs across all sub-topics.
2. For the Recommendation column please include one of the following:
   1. CRs/TPs: Agreeable, Revised, Merged, Postponed, Not Pursued
   2. Other documents: Agreeable, Revised, Noted
3. Do not include hyper-links in the documents