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# Sub-topic 1: Rel-18 Beam Correspondence Test Issues

**Way forward/Agreement:**

* BC test for RRC\_INACTIVE and IA is at UE maximum output power
* BEAM\_LOCK is not available in RRC\_INACTIVE and IA mode

**Way forward/FFS:**

* FFS: discuss if and how UE Tx beam should/would change during IA and what are the impact on the test method.
* FFS: Feasibility of testing UEs in Inactive mode
* FFS: Feasibility of triggering SDT in test mode
* FFS: Without beam lock function, achieving UE max output power may be done by holding RAR message to enable power ramping until maximum output power and implication on UE implementation of beam/panel choice during IA.
* FFS: Number of tests, test time implications and proposed test time reductions
* FFS: polarization aspects in testing

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Company | Agree/Disagree, include justification |
| Samsung | For 4th bullet of FFS list, we wonder why beam lock function is involved, and could proponents clarify about the necessity of “implication on UE implementation of beam/panel choice during IA.”?For the last bullet of FFS list, it may be helpful to add the context of “without beam lock function” in the polarization aspects. |
| HW | Regarding 1st Agreement bulletWhether BC requirements need to be specified in RRC\_INACTIVE mode is still FFS. Propose to update as following:* “BC test for RRC\_INACTIVE (if applicable) and IA is at UE maximum output power”
 |
|  |  |
|  |  |