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# Background

* R4-2203090, “WF on general and CSI requirement for Rel-17 FeMIMO”, Samsung. RAN4#101-bis-e meeting
* R4-2203091, “WF on demodulation requirement for Enhancement on HST-SFN deployment”, Samsung, RAN4#101-bis-e meeting
* R4-2203092, “WF on demodulation requirement for Enhancement on Multi-TRP”, Huawei, HiSilicon, RAN4#101-bis-e meeting

# CSI reporting requirement for multi-TRP

**Issue 3-1-1: Test cases for CSI reporting enhancement for m-TRP transmission**

Tentative agreements:

* Define PMI reporting requirement for single-DCI based Multi-TRP scheme with full overlapped resource allocation (SDM) only in FR1

Candidate Options

* FFS on additional CSI reporting requirement for single/multi-DCI based Multi-TRP scheme
	+ Option 1
		- Option 1a(Samsung, Nokia): Define new CSI reporting requirement for CQI reporting for Multi-DCI based Multi TRP scheme
		- Option 1b (Nokia, Intel): Define RI, CQI reporting requirement for single-DCI based Multi-TRP, and define CQI reporting requirement for multi-DCI
	+ Option 2(Apple, Huawei, Qualcomm, Ericsson, MTK): Not define RI, CQI reporting requirement for single-DCI, Not define CQI reporting requirement for multi-DCI

Recommended WF

* Comments encourage if any

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Comments** |
| XXX |  |

**Issue 3-2-1: Common simulation assumption**

Tentative agreements:

* Channel and correlation models: TDLA30-10 with XP High with statistically independent for each TRP
* Pc setting: Same Pc ratios for each TRP in defining requirement
* SNR setting: The SNRs for TRP #1 and TRP #2 are assumed to be balanced with a scaling factor of 1/sqrt(2) for the transmitted signal from each TRP

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Comments** |
| XXX |  |

**Issue 3-2-2: General test set-up for CSI reporting**

Tentative agreements:

* 2 TPs configured with fully overlapping resource allocation
* One CSI-RS resource with Ks = 2
	+ TP1 associated with NZP-CSI-RS resource 1
	+ TP2 associated with NZP CSI-RS resource 2
* CSI reporting: One CSI associated with multi-TRP measurement hypothesis and X=0 CSI associated with single-TRP measurement hypothesis (CSI reporting mode 1 with X=0)
	+ CMR group 1 {CMR a} corresponding to NZP CSI-RS resource 1, K1=1
	+ CMR group 2 {CMR b} corresponding to NZP CSI-RS resource 2, K2=1
	+ CMR pair (N=1) : CMR {a,b} for M-TRP measurement hypothesis
* No time/frequency offset between two TPs
* WB PMI reporting for mode 1 with X=0

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Comments** |
| XXX |  |

**Issue 3-2-3: CSI resource configuration**

Tentative agreements:

* Configure two resources in a resource pair in the same slot for CSI reporting requirements for mTRP.

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Comments** |
| XXX |  |

**Issue 3-2-4: Number of CSI-RS Ports**

Candidate options:

* Option 1 (Qualcomm):
	+ 8 for each TRP
* Option 2 (Samsung, Apple, Huawei):
	+ 4 for each TRP

Recommended WF

* Encourage companies to check whether option 2 is acceptable based on Majority view?

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Comments** |
| XXX |  |

**Issue 3-2-5: Number of layers**

Tentative agreements:

* Number of layers: 2 (1MIMO layer per TRP)

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Comments** |
| XXX |  |

**Issue 3-2-6: Test metric for PMI reporting**

Tentative agreements:

* Apply test metric of TP ratio follow PMI and random PMI with m-TRP reporting. The layer for random PMI per TRP should be orthogonal

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Comments** |
| XXX |  |

**Issue 3-2-7: Performance evaluation**

Candidate options:

* Option 1 (Apple): Evaluate performance of PMI reporting with enhanced CSI reporting against single PMI reporting for multi-TRP transmission.
* Option 2 (Huawei, Samsung): there is no necessary to do evaluations to find the gain for the enhanced CSI reporting comparing to the single-TRP hypothesis for multi-TRP scenario.

Recommended WF

* Interested companies can provide the performance evaluation of PMI reporting with enhanced CSI reporting against single PMI reporting for multi-TRP transmission. No impact on the PMI reporting requirement definition for single-DCI based Multi-TRP.

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Comments** |
| XXX |  |

**Issue 3-2-8: Codebook Structure**

Candidate options:

* Option 1: Reusing the existing Rel-15 PMI requirement setup: i.e, type I single panel

Recommended WF

* Option 1

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Comments** |
| XXX |  |

# PMI reporting requirement for Rel-17 enhanced ype II PS codebook

**Issue 4-1-1: Whether to define PMI requirement for Rel-17 FeTye II PS codebook**

Candidate options:

* Option 1 (Samsung, Nokia, Huawei, Intel): Yes
	+ Option 1a(Huawei): Define PMI reporting requirement for Rel-17 FeTypeII port selection codebook based on evaluation on the performance gain over eTypeII codebook.
	+ Option 1b(Ericsson): Consider defining PMI requirement for Rel-17 eType II port selection only if RAN4 can reach an agreement on a simplified way of testing with SU-MIMO test set-up, otherwise not to define requirement**.**
* Option 2 (Apple, Qualcomm): No

Recommended WF

* Option 1?

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Comments** |
| XXX |  |

**Issue 4-2-1: General Test seup of PMI reporting requirement**

Candidate options:

* Option 1 (Nokia): Both SU-MIMO and MU-MIMO
* Option 2 (Samsung, Huawei, Ericsson): SU-MIMO

Recommended WF

* SU-MIMO

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Comments** |
| XXX |  |

**Issue 4-2-2: Modelling BF CSI-RS Port**

Candidate options:

* Option 1 (Samsung)
	+ Option 1a: MIMO fading channel as Rel-13 LTE Class B K=1 PMI test cases
	+ Option 1b: Power scaling method similar as Rel-13 LTE Class B K>1 CRI test case
* Option 2(Huawei)
	+ Further discuss the modeling method if PMI reporting requirement for FeTypeII port selection is introduced.
* Option 3(Nokia)
	+ Include feType II PS performance requirements utilizing CSI-RS transmission with a predetermined beam selection used in the transmission

Recommended WF

* Apply option 1 as starting point for initial evaluation

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Comments** |
| XXX |  |

# Other

**Issue 5-1-1: whether to define PMI reporting requirement for inter-cell interference scenario in Rel-17 FeMIMO**

Candidate Options

* Option 1(Huawei, Samsung, Qualcomm ): No
	+ Option 1a (Huawei): Firstly focus on the RAN1 feature for FeMIMO demodulation requirements definition considering the limitation TU for RAN4 FeMIMO performance part.
	+ Option 1b (Samsung): NO discussion/handling of the topic for PMI reporting under inter-cell interference in Rel-17 FeMIMO WI
		- This issue can be handled under either TEI-17 or Rel-18 specific WI pending on the consensus in RAN4
* Option 2(Ericsson, Verizon, AT&T): RAN4 to first evaluate the impact brought by false PMI reporting solution, then discuss a proper model to reveal this issue, and consider introducing the corresponding PMI reporting requirement to resolve this issue
* Option 3 (MTK, Ericsson, Verizon, AT&T ): RAN4 defines PMI reporting requirement for inter-cell interference scenario
* Option 4(Apple):
	+ RAN4 further evaluates PMI reporting in ICI before deciding to introduce requirements.
	+ The scope of PMI reporting in ICI is approved in FeMIMO WID or part TEI-17 for further discussion in RAN4.

Recommended WF

* Based on WID of Rel-17 FeMIMO WI, PMI reporting with inter-cell interference is out of FeMIMO WI scope. Following WID, moderator suggest to not define PMI reporting requirement with inter-cell interference in Rel-17 FeMIMO WI. Encourage companies to check whether it is acceptable?
* FFS on discussion and handling of PMI reporting requirement with inter-cell interference under either TEI-17 or Rel-18 specific WI pending on the consensus of RAN4 group
	+ Option 1 (Apple, Samsung, MTK): Rel-17 TEI
	+ Option 2 (Qualcomm, Huawei, Samsung): Rel-18 timeframe

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Comments** |
| XXX |  |

**Issue 5-1-2: PMI reporting with inter-cell interference evaluation assumption**

Candidate Options

* Option 1(Apple, Ericsson, Verizon, AT&T): For further evaluation of PMI reporting in ICI use the following simulation assumptions:
	+ Antenna config: 8x2 XP High
	+ Prop. channel model: TDLA30-5; ensure that channel from target and interference cell are statistically independent and have different beam direction (to ensure PMI are different)
	+ NZP CSI-RS for interference:
		- Overlapping with serving cell
		- Non-overlapping with serving cell
	+ CSI-IM for interference: non overlapping with CSI-IM for serving cell
	+ Loading for interference cell: PDSCH transmission is enabled in all slots for interference cell
	+ Evaluate performance based on TP ratio with and with ICI for (1) overlapping NZP CSI-RS (2) non-overlapping NZP CSI-RS

Recommended WF

* Pending on issue 5-1-1

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Comments** |
| XXX |  |

**Issue 5-1-3: Test metric of PMI reporting with inter-cell interference**

Candidate options:

* Option 1(MTK, Ericsson, Verizon, AT&T)
	+ TP ratio with following PMI with inter-cell interference and follow PMI without interference

Recommended WF

* Pending on issue 5-1-1

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Comments** |
| XXX |  |

# Reference

* R4-2207160, Email discussion summary fo [102-e][320] NR\_HST\_FR2\_Demod, Samsung, RAN4#102-e meeting