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# Introduction

According to the email discussion summary in [1], this document is to capture the WF on general and NTN UE demodulation requirements.

# WF on general aspects

**Issue 1-1-1: Power control model**

* Agreement:
  + Only consider fixed SNR at the UE or BS side to facilitate testing even if the SNR may be changed in the real network

**Issue 1-1-2: UE speed**

* Agreement:
  + Do not consider explicit model UE speed into channel model for NTN demodulation requirements.
  + Companies are encouraged to check the impact of different UE speed on the simulation results.

# WF NTN UE demodulation and CSI reporting requirements

## 3.1 WF on general assumptions

**Issue 3-1-1: Channel model**

* Agreement:
  + Select NTN-TDL-A and NTN-TDL-C for NTN UE demodulation requirements

**Issue 3-1-2a: Doppler shift model-UE pre-compensation**

* Proposals
  + Option 1: Consider the UE pre-compensation for DL demodulation, i.e., the maximum doppler shift is residual frequency offset with a small value, e.g., 0.1ppm
  + Option 2: Do not consider the UE pre-compensation for DL demodulation, i.e., the maximum doppler shift is total frequency offset (without Doppler compensation at the satellite), e.g., 24ppm
* Agreement:
  + FFS whether to consider UE pre-compensation for DL demodulation, e.g., how to assume the frequency offset.

**Issue 3-1-2b: Doppler shift model- Frequency drift**

* Proposals
  + Option 1: Consider the frequency drift for DL demodulation
  + Option 2: Do not consider the frequency drift for DL demodulation
* Agreement:
  + FFS on whether to consider the frequency drift for DL demodulation.

**Issue 3-1-3a: Delay spread model-maximum delay spread**

* Proposals
  + Option 1: Single delay spread
    - Option 1a: 100ns
    - Option 1b: 250ns
  + Option 2: Different delay spread
    - Option 2a: 10ns/50ns/150ns
    - Option 2b: 10ns/50ns/250ns.
* Agreement:
  + FFS on maximum delay spread

**Issue 3-1-3b: Delay spread model-Sampling frequency offset**

* Proposals
  + Option 1: Consider sampling frequency offset for DL demodulation
  + Option 2: Not consider sampling frequency offset for DL demodulation
* Agreement:
  + FFS on sampling frequency offset

**Issue 3-1-4: Antenna configuration**

* Proposals
  + Option 1: Only consider SAN 2Tx – UE 2Rx
  + Option 2: In addition to SAN 2Tx – UE 2Rx, further consider SAN 1Tx – UE 2Rx and SAN 1Tx – UE 4Rx
* Agreement:
  + Consider SAN 2Tx-UE 2Rx as the starting point.
  + FFS on whether to consider SAN 1Tx – UE 2Rx and SAN 1Tx – UE 4Rx.

## 3.2 WF on PDSCH requirements

**Issue 3-2-1: How to define the PDSCH requirements for GEO and LEO**

* Proposals
  + Option 1: Only define requirements for LEO
  + Option 2: Define requirements for GEO and LEO separately
  + Option 3: Define one set requirements which are applicable for LEO and GEO (Moderator’s note: please explain how to define one requirement to apply for LEO and GEO if select this option)
* Agreement:
  + FFS on how to define the PDSCH requirements for GEO and LEO

**Issue 3-2-2:** **Enhancement on time relationship**

* Proposals
  + Option 1: Provide the input for K\_offset values for GEO and LEO

Moderator’s note: It depends on issue 3-2-1.

* Agreement:
  + Select the K\_offset value equal to or a little greater than the satellite-UE one-way delay. The detailed value should be selected after the channel model has been selected.
  + FFS on the K\_offset values for GEO and LEO

**Issue 3-2-3: Enhancement on HARQ**

* Proposals: Do you agree to just verify the functionality with disabled HARQ, e.g., schedule a low code rate
  + Option 1: Yes
  + Option 2: No (please specify the reasons if any)
* Agreement:
  + Disable HARQ with number of re-Tx set to 1 to avoid defining a special test as the start point

**Issue 3-2-4: SCS/CBW set for PDSCH requirements**

* Proposals
  + Option 1: Only consider 15kHz SCS/10MHz
  + Option 2: In addition to 15kHz SCS/10MHz, need to further consider 30kHz SCS: 20MHz

Moderator’s note: Do we need to align the SCS/CBW set for UL and DL?

* Agreement:
  + Select 15kHz SCS/10MHz, further discuss whether to consider 30kHz SCS/ 20MHz

**Issue 3-2-5: Modulation order for PDSCH requirements**

* Proposals
  + Option 1: Only consider QPSK and 16QAM
  + Option 2: In addition to QPSK and 16QAM, need to further 64QAM
* Agreement:
  + Consider QPSK and 16QAM, further discuss whether to consider 64QAM.

## 3.3 WF on PDCCH/PBCH assumptions

**Issue 3-3-1: Whether to define the PBCH requirements**

* Agreement:
  + Do not define PBCH requirements

**Issue 3-3-2: Whether to define the PDCCH requirements**

* Agreement:
  + Do not define PDCCH requirements

## 3.3 WF on CSI reporting assumptions

**Issue 3-4-1: CSI reporting requirements**

* Agreement:
  + Do not define CSI reporting requirements
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