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# Introduction

This email discussion summary covers BS RF requirements for extending NR operation to 71 GHz. The discussion is split into two major topics, Tx requirements and Rx requirements, within which individual requirements are discussed in various sub-topics. Generally, proposals and requirements having most dependency have been grouped together.

The template has been adapted to include comment section separately for each issue to facilitate discussion.

# Topic #1: Tx requirements

This topic covers Tx requirements.

## Companies’ contributions summary

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **T-doc number** | **Company** | **Proposals / Observations** |
| R4-2111749 | ROHDE & SCHWARZ | **Observation:** EVM measurement interval of 10ms over 320 / 640 slots is considered unnecessary to determine a reliable EVM.**Proposal 1a:** Limit the number of slots over which the EVM has to be averaged to 80 slots. This is the maximum number of slots for BWPs for 120kHz SCS before the introduction of FR2-2. It results in a measurement interval of 2.5ms / 1.25ms for 480kHz / 960kHz subcarrier spacing.**Proposal 1b:** Limit the measurement interval to 1ms explicitly for FR2-2. Proposal 1a and 1b are considered to be alternatives and same approach is proposed for both UE and BS EVM. |
| R4-2111972 | CATT | **Proposal 1:** Re-use FR2 6% breakpoint for fractional bandwidth for 52.6-71GHz.**Proposal 2:** Re-use existing FR2 EIRP accuracy (±3.4dB) and FR2 TRP accuracy (±3dB) for 52.6-71GHz.**Proposal 3:** Add maximum offset ΔfOBUE for 4000 MHz ≤ FDL,high – FDL,low ≤ 5000MHz in 52.6-71GHz for licensed band.**Proposal 4:** If ACLR is reduced by x dB relative to 26dBc for 37 – 52.6 GHz, OBUE limit need to be increased by x dB relative to 37 – 52.6 GHz. |
| R4-2112278 | Nokia, Nokia Shaghai Bell | **Proposal 1:** The current FR2 BS EIRP accuracy should be applicable for NR operation in 52.6 – 71 GHz range.**Proposal 2:** Two rated carrier EIRP may be declared by manufacturer for operating bands in 52.6 – 71 GHz range where the supported fractional bandwidth (FBW) is larger than 6%.**Proposal 3:** The current FR2 BS transient period should be applicable for NR operation in 52.6 – 71 GHz range.**Proposal 4:** The MIMO time alignment error requirement for BS type 1-O and BS type 2-O should be applicable for NR operation in 52.6 – 71 GHz range. Moreover, shorter CA time alignment error requirements (than that for BS type 2-O) may be considered for NR operation in 52.6 – 71 GHz range with larger SCS (than that for BS type 2-O).**Proposal 5:** The EVM requirements for BS type 2-O should be applicable for NR operation in 52.6 – 71 GHz range. Moreover, the EVM window length for NR operation in 52.6 – 71 GHz range should be defined as 50% of the normal CP length.**Proposal 6:** The unwanted emissions for licensed operation can be further discussed when related regulatory requirements become available in the regions.**Proposal 7:** The out-of-band emissions and unwanted emissions in the spurious domain specified in ETSI EN 303 722 and/or ETSI EN 303 753 should be considered for unlicensed NR operation in 52.6 – 71 GHz range at least in Europe.**Proposal 8:** The issue of low emission PSD is handled by specifying an absolute requirement level for each relative emission requirement considering both adjacent channel protection and implementation feasibility of test equipment.**Proposal 9:** The results in TR 38.803 can be reused to decide the required ACLR and ACS values for NR operation in 52.6 – 71 GHz range. |
| R4-2113316 | Ericsson | **Observation 1:** The analysis in TR38.803 considering needed ACLR for 70 GHz proxy frequency very well match the outcome of feasibility analysis during SI.**Observation 2:** Existing NR MIMO TAE = 65 ns requirement has just been copied over into LTE and NR FR1 and NR FR2 without any technical analysis.**Observation 3:** Existing NR MIMO TAE = 65 ns requirement is not relevant, already for legacy LTE case of 20 MHz, if one use wideband precoder. **Observation 4:** To keep the BF accuracy we require very strict control of all delay in the array. The required upper bound of delay will be very small and hard to measure for an external BS tester directly but can be achieved through internal calibration.**Observation 5:** Any TAE between the transceivers in an array will affect the main lobe EIRP.**Proposal 1:** It is proposed to use antenna model parameter sets in Table 2.1-2 if co-existence simulations are considered or if antenna parameters are shared to other groups.**Proposal 2:** Re-use FR2 radiated transmit power (EIRP) accuracy requirement of +/- 3.4 dB for the frequency range 52.6 to 71 GHz.**Proposal 3:** Re-use 6 % as limit to allow two EIRP values to be declared for radiated transmit power requirement.**Proposal 4:** NR in 52.6 to 71 GHz should support modulation orders up to 64QAM.**Proposal 5:** Taking to account both co-existence studies in TR 38.803, existing emission masks and feasibility analysis of power amplifiers, the BS ACLR shall be set to 21 dB.**Proposal 6:** Due to diverse emission mask requirements applicable in different regions and the flexibility in terms of both supported SCS and carrier bandwidths for NR in 52.6 to 71 GHz, further discussions and analysis is needed in RAN4 before defining the OBUE/transmitter emission masks.**Proposal 7:** For licensed operation supporting higher EIRP levels, RAN4 should re-use the FR2 approach and adapt the FR2 OBUE/emission mask or alternatively ETSI BRAN emission mask for c2 for NR in 52.6 to 71 GHz and make adaptations e.g. ΔfOBUE taking to account larger carrier bandwidths.**Proposal 8:** For licensed operation and unlicensed operation, RAN4 should re-use the FR2 approach and use FR2 spurious emission requirements for NR in 52.6 to 71 GHz and make adaptations with respect Fstep,X taking to account larger carrier bandwidths.**Proposal 9:** Remove TAE requirements for MIMO and rely on EIRP BS conformance to verify that TAE is within a working range.**Proposal 10:** Remove TAE requirements for contiguous intra band CA and non-contiguous intra band CA and rely on EIRP BS conformance to verify that TAE is within a working range.**Proposal 11:** Keep TAE = 3 µs for inter band CA for extension to 71 GHz WI. |
| R4-2113857 | NEC | **Proposal 1:** To agree the boundary for the OBUE mask is set at ΔfOBUE = 4,500 MHz for 52.6 – 71 GHz. |
| R4-2113922 | ZTE Corporation | Observation 1: if the output power for 60GHz is on the same level of that for the existing FR2 and same PA manufacturing material (e.g. GaAs, Si), then similar ramping up time and ramping down time could be expected for 60GHz. Observation 2: to reduce the GP overhead for 480kHz and 960kHz of 60GHz, alternatives could be extend the TDD periodicity.**Observation 3**: the acceptable TAE requirement should be around 10-20ns for 960kHz and 10-40ns for 480kHz, **Proposal 1**: to discuss the simulation assumptions to further evaluate acceptable TAE requirements for 60GHz 480kHz and 960kHz SCS. **Proposal 2**: to reuse FR2 EVM requirement (up to 64QAM) for 52.6-71GHz and revisit PT-RS configuration for 52.6-71GHz; **Proposal 3:** to propose to discuss the simulation assumptions for 52.6-71GHz for ACLR/ACS evaluation firstly; **Proposal 4:** to agree OBUE limit in Table 3 for 60GHz. |

## Open issues summary and comment collection

Please note it is possible and often necessary to select multiple options to create coherent agreements/requirements.

### Sub-topic 1-1 EIRP and TRP output power requirements

**Issue 1-1: EIRP and TRP output power requirements**

* Proposals
	+ Option 1: Re-use 6 % as limit to allow two EIRP values to be declared for radiated transmit power requirement. (Ericsson, Nokia, CATT)
	+ Option 2: Re-use FR2 radiated transmit power (EIRP) accuracy requirement of +/- 3.4 dB for the frequency range 52.6 to 71 GHz. (Ericsson, Nokia, CATT)
	+ Re-use existing FR2 TRP accuracy (±3dB) for 52.6-71GHz (CATT)
* Recommended WF
	+ Re-use both current EIRP and TRP accuracy requirements from FR2-1 to FR2-2 and re-use 6% limit to allow two EIRP values to be declared.

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Comments** |
| X |  |
| CATT | Support recommended WF. |

### Sub-topic 1-2 Transient times

**Issue 1-2: Transient times**

* Proposals
	+ Option 1: The current FR2 BS transient period should be applicable for NR operation in 52.6 – 71 GHz range. (Nokia)
	+ Option 2: TBA
* Recommended WF
	+ TBA

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Comments** |
| X |  |
| CATT | Ok with option 1. |

### Sub-topic 1-3 Signal quality - EVM

**Issue 1-3: Signal quality - EVM**

* Proposals
	+ Option 1: The EVM requirements for BS type 2-O should be applicable for NR operation in 52.6 – 71 GHz range. Moreover, the EVM window length for NR operation in 52.6 – 71 GHz range should be defined as 50% of the normal CP length. (Nokia)
	+ Option 2: NR in 52.6 to 71 GHz should support modulation orders up to 64QAM (Ericsson)
	+ Option 3: to reuse FR2 EVM requirement (up to 64QAM) for 52.6-71GHz and revisit PT-RS configuration for 52.6-71GHz; (ZTE)
* Recommended WF
	+ Re-use current EVM-% requirements up to 64 QAM, confirm whether EVM window length and PT-RS configuration can be re-used

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Comments** |
| X |  |
| CATT | Support the recommended WF. For the window length, the conclusion may need to wait the SU conclusion although we think 50% is very possibly to be reused.  |

### Sub-topic 1-4 Signal quality - EVM measurement period

**Issue 1-4: EVM measurement period**

* Proposals
	+ Option 1: Limit the number of slots over which the EVM has to be averaged to 80 slots. This is the maximum number of slots for BWPs for 120kHz SCS before the introduction of FR2-2. It results in a measurement interval of 2.5ms / 1.25ms for 480kHz / 960kHz subcarrier spacing. (Rohde & Schwarz)
	+ Option 2: Limit the measurement interval to 1ms explicitly for FR2-2. (Rohde & Schwarz)
* Recommended WF
	+ TBA

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Comments** |
| X |  |
| CATT | We’re ok with both options and slightly prefer option 1. |
| Keysight | We also have the same concern. RAN4 should agree to reduce measurement period for FR2-2. For which option to choose, needs more study. |

### Sub-topic 1-5 Signal quality - TAE

**Issue 1-5: Signal quality - TAE**

* Proposals
	+ Option 1: to discuss the simulation assumptions to further evaluate acceptable TAE requirements for 60GHz 480kHz and 960kHz SCS. (ZTE)
	+ Option 2: Remove TAE requirements for MIMO and rely on EIRP BS conformance to verify that TAE is within a working range. (Ericsson)
	+ Option 3: Remove TAE requirements for contiguous intra band CA and non-contiguous intra band CA and rely on EIRP BS conformance to verify that TAE is within a working range. (Ericsson)
	+ Option 4: Keep TAE = 3 µs for inter band CA for extension to 71 GHz WI. (Ericsson)
	+ Option 5: The MIMO time alignment error requirement for BS type 1-O and BS type 2-O should be applicable for NR operation in 52.6 – 71 GHz range. Moreover, shorter CA time alignment error requirements (than that for BS type 2-O) may be considered for NR operation in 52.6 – 71 GHz range with larger SCS (than that for BS type 2-O). (Nokia)
* Recommended WF
	+ TBA

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Comments** |
| X |  |
| CATT | More analysis is needed. |

### Sub-topic 1-6 Emissions – OBUE and ACLR

**Issue 1-6: Emissions – OBUE and ACLR**

* Proposals for OBUE
	+ Option 1: to agree OBUE limit in Table 3 for 60GHz (ZTE)



* + Option 2: Due to diverse emission mask requirements applicable in different regions and the flexibility in terms of both supported SCS and carrier bandwidths for NR in 52.6 to 71 GHz, further discussions and analysis is needed in RAN4 before defining the OBUE/transmitter emission masks. (Ericsson)
	+ Option 3: The out-of-band emissions specified in ETSI EN 303 722 and/or ETSI EN 303 753 should be considered for unlicensed NR operation in 52.6 – 71 GHz range at least in Europe. (Nokia)
	+ Option 4: The issue of low emission PSD is handled by specifying an absolute requirement level for each relative emission requirement considering both adjacent channel protection and implementation feasibility of test equipment. (Nokia)
	+ Option 5: To agree the boundary for the OBUE mask is set at ΔfOBUE = 4,500 MHz for 52.6 – 71 GHz. (NEC)
	+ Option 6:If ACLR is reduced by x dB relative to 26dBc for 37 – 52.6 GHz, OBUE limit need to be increased by x dB relative to 37 – 52.6 GHz. (CATT)
* Proposal for OBUE for licensed operation only
	+ Option 1: The unwanted emissions for licensed operation can be further discussed when related regulatory requirements become available in the regions. (Nokia)
	+ Option 2: For licensed operation supporting higher EIRP levels, RAN4 should re-use the FR2 approach and adapt the FR2 OBUE/emission mask or alternatively ETSI BRAN emission mask for c2 for NR in 52.6 to 71 GHz and make adaptations e.g. ΔfOBUE taking to account larger carrier bandwidths. (Ericsson)
	+ Option 3: Add maximum offset ΔfOBUE for 4000 MHz ≤ FDL,high – FDL,low ≤ 5000MHz in 52.6-71GHz for licensed band. (CATT)
* Proposal for ACLR
	+ Option 1: to propose to discuss the simulation assumptions for 52.6-71GHz for ACLR/ACS evaluation firstly (ZTE)
		- *Moderator comment: This discussion is taking place in parallel in thread 139*
	+ Option 2: Taking to account both co-existence studies in TR 38.803, existing emission masks and feasibility analysis of power amplifiers, the BS ACLR shall be set to 21 dB. (Ericsson)
	+ Option 3:The results in TR 38.803 can be reused to decide the required ACLR and ACS values for NR operation in 52.6 – 71 GHz range. (Nokia)
* Recommended WF
	+ TBA

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Comments** |
| X | **OBUE:****OBUE for licensed operation:****ACLR:** |
| CATT | **OBUE:More analysis is needed to consider the ACLR requirement and the regulations.****OBUE for licensed operation: First of all, we think we can take 66-71 GHz as an example to see if there can be some common consideration for the OBUE requirements.****ACLR: Wait the co-existence simulation.** |

### Sub-topic 1-7 Emissions – spurious emissions

**Issue 1-7: Emissions – spurious emissions**

* Proposals
	+ Option 1: For licensed operation and unlicensed operation, RAN4 should re-use the FR2 approach and use FR2 spurious emission requirements for NR in 52.6 to 71 GHz and make adaptations with respect Fstep,X taking to account larger carrier bandwidths. (Ericsson)
	+ Option 2: The unwanted emissions in the spurious domain specified in ETSI EN 303 722 and/or ETSI EN 303 753 should be considered for unlicensed NR operation in 52.6 – 71 GHz range at least in Europe. (Nokia)
* Recommended WF
	+ TBA

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Comments** |
| X |  |
| CATT | Agree the two directions of both option 1 and option 2. The exact requirement needs more study. |

## Summary for 1st round

### Open issues

*Moderator tries to summarize discussion status for 1st round, list all the identified open issues and tentative agreements or candidate options and suggestion for 2nd round i.e. WF assignment.*

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
|  | **Status summary**  |
| **Sub-topic #1** | *Tentative agreements:**Candidate options:**Recommendations for 2nd round:* |

### CRs/TPs

No CR or TP submitted.

## Discussion on 2nd round (if applicable)

# Topic #2: Rx requirements

*Main technical topic overview. The structure can be done based on sub-agenda basis.*

## Companies’ contributions summary

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **T-doc number** | **Company** | **Proposals / Observations** |
| R4-2111973 | CATT | **Proposal 1:** Re-use FR2 EISREFSENS\_50M for reference sensitivity declaration for 52.6-71GHz.**Proposal 2:** Re-use the FR2 EISREFSENS\_50M declared range for 52.6-71GHz.**Proposal 3:** Define G-FR2-A1-6 and G-FR2-A1-7 for sensitivity for 400MHz/480kHz and 400MHz/960kHz respectively.**Proposal 4:** Define G-FR2-A1-8 and G-FR2-A1-9 for ICS for 400MHz/480kHz and 400MHz/960kHz respectively.**Proposal 5:** The Simulation assumptions in R4-1801031[2] for FR2 FRC simulation can be as starting point for 52.6-71GHz. |
| R4-2112279 | Nokia, Nokia Shanghai Bell | **Proposal 1:** The BS assumptions and parameters in the approved WF on 60 GHz coexistence, ACLR, and ACS can be used as a starting point for further discussion on the suitable set of operation parameters to decide the specified ranges of reference sensitivity level for NR operation in 52.6 – 71 GHz range.**Proposal 2:** Some FR2 parameters (like modulation and coding rate) can be reused for NR operation in 52.6 – 71 GHz range, while other parameters (like allocated resource blocks) and thus they should be finalized when the parameters they depend on (like maximum SU for each SCS and channel bandwidth combination) are finalized.**Proposal 3:** The results in TR 38.803 can be reused to decide the required ACLR and ACS values for NR operation in 52.6 – 71 GHz range.**Proposal 4:** Use 100MHz channel bandwidth with 120kHz SCS for the ACS and in-band blocking interferer signal for NR operation in 52.6 – 71 GHz range, and reuse DFT-s-OFDM to ease test equipment implementation.**Proposal 5:** ΔfOOB can be specified depending on the bandwidth of the operating band in 52.6 – 71 GHz range.**Proposal 6:** The receiver unwanted emissions in the spurious domain specified in ETSI EN 303 722 and/or ETSI EN 303 753 should be considered for unlicensed NR operation in 52.6 – 71 GHz range at least in Europe.**Proposal 7:** The interferer levels for general receiver intermodulation for NR operation in 52.6 – 71 GHz range can be derived by applying an offset below the in-band blocking levels.**Proposal 8:** Specify the BS ICS requirement as 10dB for NR operation in 52.6 – 71 GHz range. |
| R4-2113317 | Ericsson | **Observation 1:** The antenna gain difference between antenna geometries considered relevant for 52.6 to 71GHz and FR2 is similar**Proposal 1:** Re-use the BS type 2-O concept (including FR2 base station class declared EIS ranges) for OTA reference sensitivity requirement using 50 MHz carrier bandwidth as reference for the frequency range 52.6 to 71 GHz.**Proposal 2:** For OTA reference sensitivity add new FRC for 480 kHz SCS and 400 MHz carrier bandwidth.**Proposal 3:** For OTA reference sensitivity add new FRC for 960 kHz SCS and 400 MHz carrier bandwidth.**Proposal 4:** For OTA reference sensitivity add new FRC for 960 kHz SCS and [2000] MHz (maximum supported) carrier bandwidth.**Proposal 5:** For ACS and in-band blocking re-use interferer signal level from FR2.**Proposal 6:** For ACS and in-band blocking define interferer signal type based on minimum supported carrier bandwidth and sub-carrier spacing.**Proposal 7:** For receiver blocking further consider fOBUE and decide if fOOB needs to be aligned or not.**Proposal 8:** Re-use FR2 receiver spurious emission requirement concept with adaptations for the frequency range 52.6 to 71 GHz. |
| R4-2113923 | ZTE Corporation | **Observation 1**:the existing range specified for EISREFSENS\_50M or EISREFSENS\_100M are both feasible for 52.6-71GHz REFSENS definition. **Proposal 1**: the existing PT-RS configuration in FR2 FRC could also been applied for 52.6-71GHz.**Proposal 2**: for BW with 120kHz SCS, the existing G-FR2-A1-3 could be reused; for BW with 480kHz and 960kHz, new FRCs for minimum BW 400MHz with 480kHz and 400MHz with 960kHz should be defined. **Proposal 3:** to propose to discuss the simulation assumptions for 52.6-71GHz for ACLR/ACS evaluation firstly; **Proposal 4**: 33dB offset from the reference sensitivity for interfering signal of IBB needed to be checked again based on the agreeable simulation assumption for 52.6-71GHz**Proposal 5:** to propose to discuss the simulation assumptions for 52.6-71GHz for ICS IoT level evaluation firstly;  |

## Open issues summary

Please note it is possible and often necessary to select multiple options to create coherent agreements/requirements.

### Sub-topic 2-1 EIS

**Issue 2-1: EIS**

* Proposals
	+ Option 1: Re-use FR2 EISREFSENS\_50M for reference sensitivity declaration for 52.6-71GHz. (CATT, Ericsson, ZTE)
	+ Option 2: Re-use the FR2 EISREFSENS\_50M declared range for 52.6-71GHz. (CATT, Ericsson, ZTE)
	+ Option 3: The BS assumptions and parameters in the approved WF on 60 GHz coexistence, ACLR, and ACS can be used as a starting point for further discussion on the suitable set of operation parameters to decide the specified ranges of reference sensitivity level for NR operation in 52.6 – 71 GHz range. (Nokia)
* Recommended WF
	+ TBA

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Comments** |
| X |  |
| CATT | Support option 1-2 and also can see the alignment with co-existence study. |

### Sub-topic 2-2 FRC

**Issue 2-2: FRC**

* Proposals
	+ Option 1: Define [G-FR2-A1-6] and [G-FR2-A1-7] for sensitivity for 400MHz/480kHz and 400MHz/960kHz respectively. (CATT, Ericsson, ZTE)
	+ Option 2: Define G-FR2-A1-8 and G-FR2-A1-9 for ICS for 400MHz/480kHz and 400MHz/960kHz respectively. (CATT)
	+ Option 3: The Simulation assumptions in R4-1801031[2] for FR2 FRC simulation can be as starting point for 52.6-71GHz. (CATT)
	+ Option 4: Some FR2 parameters (like modulation and coding rate) can be reused for NR operation in 52.6 – 71 GHz range, while other parameters (like allocated resource blocks) and thus they should be finalized when the parameters they depend on (like maximum SU for each SCS and channel bandwidth combination) are finalized. (Nokia)
	+ Option 5: Existing G-FR2-A1-3 can be re-used for 120 kHz SCS (ZTE)
	+ Option 6: For OTA reference sensitivity add new FRC for 960 kHz SCS and [2000] MHz (maximum supported) carrier bandwidth. (Ericsson)
	+ Option 7: the existing PT-RS configuration in FR2 FRC could also been applied for 52.6-71GHz. (ZTE)
* Recommended WF
	+ TBA

Due to large number of options comments can concentrate on which FRC are needed and are simulations needed. 2nd round can then concentrate more in details like simulation assumptions and PT-RS configs after the bigger picture is clear.

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Comments** |
| X |  |
| CATT | We think option 1-7 are reasonable. |

### Sub-topic 2-3 ACS and in-band blocking

**Issue 2-3: ACS and in-band blocking**

* Proposals
	+ Option 1: The results in TR 38.803 can be reused to decide the required ACLR and ACS values for NR operation in 52.6 – 71 GHz range. (Nokia)
	+ Option 2: Use 100MHz channel bandwidth with 120kHz SCS for the ACS and in-band blocking interferer signal for NR operation in 52.6 – 71 GHz range, and reuse DFT-s-OFDM to ease test equipment implementation. (Nokia, Ericsson)
	+ Option 3: ΔfOOB can be specified depending on the bandwidth of the operating band in 52.6 – 71 GHz range. (Nokia)
	+ Option 4: For receiver blocking further consider ΔfOBUE and decide if ΔfOOB needs to be aligned or not. (Ericsson)
	+ Option 5: to propose to discuss the simulation assumptions for 52.6-71GHz for ACLR/ACS evaluation firstly (ZTE)
		- *Moderator comment: The discussion on necessity on simulation and the assumptions is takin place in parallel in thread 139*
	+ Option 6: 33dB offset from the reference sensitivity for interfering signal of IBB needed to be checked again based on the agreeable simulation assumption for 52.6-71GHz (ZTE)
		- *Moderator comment: The discussion on necessity on simulation and the assumptions is takin place in parallel in thread 139*
* Recommended WF

Use 100MHz channel bandwidth with 120kHz SCS for the ACS and in-band blocking interferer signal for NR operation in 52.6 – 71 GHz range, and reuse DFT-s-OFDM to ease test equipment implementation

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Comments** |
| X |  |
| CATT | Wait the co-existence simulation discussion. |

### Sub-topic 2-4 Spurious emissions

**Issue 2-4: Spurious emissions**

* Proposals
	+ Option 1: The receiver unwanted emissions in the spurious domain specified in ETSI EN 303 722 and/or ETSI EN 303 753 should be considered for unlicensed NR operation in 52.6 – 71 GHz range at least in Europe. (Nokia)
	+ Option 2: Re-use FR2 receiver spurious emission requirement concept with adaptations for the frequency range 52.6 to 71 GHz. (Ericsson)
* Recommended WF

TBA

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Comments** |
| X |  |
| CATT | Option 1 and 2 are reasonable, the detail requirements needs more study. |

### Sub-topic 2-4 Others

**Issue 2-4: Others**

* Proposals
	+ Option 1: The interferer levels for general receiver intermodulation for NR operation in 52.6 – 71 GHz range can be derived by applying an offset below the in-band blocking levels. (Nokia)
	+ Option 2: Specify the BS ICS requirement as 10dB for NR operation in 52.6 – 71 GHz range. (Nokia)
	+ Option 3: discuss the simulation assumptions for 52.6-71GHz for ICS IoT level evaluation firstly (ZTE)
* Recommended WF

TBA

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Comments** |
| X |  |
| CATT | More analysis is needed. |

### CRs/TPs comments collection

No CR or TP submitted.

## Summary for 1st round

### Open issues

*Moderator tries to summarize discussion status for 1st round, list all the identified open issues and tentative agreements or candidate options and suggestion for 2nd round i.e. WF assignment.*

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
|  | **Status summary**  |
| **Sub-topic#1** | *Tentative agreements:**Candidate options:**Recommendations for 2nd round:* |

### CRs/TPs

*Moderator tries to summarize discussion status for 1st round and provided recommendation on CRs/TPs Status update suggestion*

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **CR/TP number** | **CRs/TPs Status update recommendation**  |
| XXX | *Based on 1st round of comments collection, moderator can recommend the next steps such as “agreeable”, “to be revised”* |

## Discussion on 2nd round (if applicable)

*Moderator can provide summary of 2nd round here. Note that recommended decisions on tdocs should be provided in the section titled ”Recommendations for Tdocs”.*

# Recommendations for Tdocs

## 1st round

**New tdocs**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Title** | **Source** | **Comments** |
| WF on … | YYY |  |
| LS on … | ZZZ | To: RAN\_X; Cc: RAN\_Y |
|  |  |  |

**Existing tdocs**

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Tdoc number** | **Title** | **Source** | **Recommendation**  | **Comments** |
| R4-210xxxx | CR on … | XXX | Agreeable, Revised, Merged, Postponed, Not Pursued |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |

Notes:

1. Please include the summary of recommendations for all tdocs across all sub-topics incl. existing and new tdocs.
2. For the Recommendation column please include one of the following:
	1. CRs/TPs: Agreeable, Revised, Merged, Postponed, Not Pursued
	2. Other documents: Agreeable, Revised, Noted
3. For new LS documents, please include information on To/Cc WGs in the comments column
4. Do not include hyper-links in the documents

## 2nd round

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Tdoc number** | **Title** | **Source** | **Recommendation**  | **Comments** |
| R4-210xxxx | CR on … | XXX | Agreeable, Revised, Merged, Postponed, Not Pursued |  |
| R4-210xxxx | WF on … | YYY | Agreeable, Revised, Noted |  |
| R4-210xxxx | LS on … | ZZZ | Agreeable, Revised, Noted |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |

Notes:

1. Please include the summary of recommendations for all tdocs across all sub-topics.
2. For the Recommendation column please include one of the following:
	1. CRs/TPs: Agreeable, Revised, Merged, Postponed, Not Pursued
	2. Other documents: Agreeable, Revised, Noted
3. Do not include hyper-links in the documents

# Annex

Contact information

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Name** | **Email address** |
| CATT | Huiping Shan | shanhuiping@catt.cn |
| Keysight | Takao Miyake | takao\_miyake@keysight.com |

Note:

1. Please add your contact information in above table once you make comments on this email thread.
2. If multiple delegates from the same company make comments on single email thread, please add you name as suffix after company name when make comments i.e. Company A (XX, XX)