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# Introduction

*Briefly introduce background, the scope of this email discussion (e.g. list of treated agenda items) and provide some guidelines for email discussion if necessary.*

*List of candidate target of email discussion for 1st round and 2nd round*

* 1st round: High priority should be channelization, CBW related discussion, and CA aspect.
* 2nd round: TBA

# Topic #1: General (9.16.1), Band plan (9.16.2) and Others (9.16.8)

*Main technical topic overview. The structure can be done based on sub-agenda basis.*

## Companies’ contributions summary

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **T-doc number** | **Company** | **Proposals / Observations** |
| [R4-2113652](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/TSG_RAN/WG4_Radio/TSGR4_100-e/Docs/R4-2113652.zip) | Ericsson | FR2 range needs to be updated based on the latest plenary decisionTP included for TS 38.101-1 |
| [R4-2113653](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/TSG_RAN/WG4_Radio/TSGR4_100-e/Docs/R4-2113653.zip) | Ericsson | FR2 range needs to be updated based on the latest plenary decisionTP included for TS 38.101-2 |
| [R4-2113654](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/TSG_RAN/WG4_Radio/TSGR4_100-e/Docs/R4-2113654.zip) | Ericsson | FR2 range needs to be updated based on the latest plenary decisionTP included for TS 38.104 |
| [R4-2114411](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/TSG_RAN/WG4_Radio/TSGR4_100-e/Docs/R4-2114411.zip) | Huawei | Proposal 1: agree on the implementation of the Updated implementation of the new FR2 frequency sub-range designations, as in Table 1. Proposal 2: Consider triggering discussion on the worksplit in the preparation of the initial draft CRs.TP included for TS 38.104 and 101-1 (Moderator’s note: This should be 101-2) |
| [R4-2113686](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/TSG_RAN/WG4_Radio/TSGR4_100-e/Docs/R4-2113686.zip) | Nokia | Proposal 1: Introduce an unlicensed band in the 57 to 71 GHz range as given in the TP.Observation 1: We are not aware of any surely available licensed spectrum nor associated rules for licensed spectrum usage in any country/region in the 66 - 71 GHz range.\* TP included for TS 38.101-2 |
| [R4-2114413](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/TSG_RAN/WG4_Radio/TSGR4_100-e/Docs/R4-2114413.zip) | Huawei | Proposal 1: agree on the approach to address the release-independence aspects of NR FR2 extension up to 71 GHz, by adding a clarification sentence to the scope in TS 38.307. \* TP included for TS 38.307 |

## Open issues summary

*Before e-Meeting, moderators shall summarize list of open issues, candidate options and possible WF (if applicable) based on companies’ contributions.*

### Sub-topic 1-1

*Sub-topic description:*

*Open issues and candidate options before e-meeting:*

**Issue 1-1: Revise FR2 range in RF specs**

* Recommended WF
	+ There are several similar TP proposals. Moderator suggests companies to comment directly in 1.3.2 CRs/TPs comment collection

### Sub-topic 1-2

*Sub-topic description*

*Open issues and candidate options before e-meeting:*

**Issue 1-2: Capture release-independent aspect**

* Recommended WF
	+ Moderator suggests companies to comment directly in 1.3.2 CRs/TPs comment collection

## Companies views’ collection for 1st round

### Open issues

*One of the two formats, i.e. either example 1 or 2 can be used by moderators.*

### CRs/TPs comments collection

*For close-to-finalize WIs and maintenance work, comments collections can be arranged for TPs and CRs. For ongoing WIs, suggest to focus on open issues discussion on 1st round.*

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **CR/TP number** | **Comments collection** |
| [R4-2113652](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/TSG_RAN/WG4_Radio/TSGR4_100-e/Docs/R4-2113652.zip) | Company A |
| Company B |
|  |
| [R4-2113653](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/TSG_RAN/WG4_Radio/TSGR4_100-e/Docs/R4-2113653.zip) | Company A |
| Company B |
|  |
| [R4-2113654](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/TSG_RAN/WG4_Radio/TSGR4_100-e/Docs/R4-2113654.zip) | Company A |
| Company B |
|  |
| [R4-2114411](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/TSG_RAN/WG4_Radio/TSGR4_100-e/Docs/R4-2114411.zip) | Company A |
| Company B |
|  |
| [R4-2113686](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/TSG_RAN/WG4_Radio/TSGR4_100-e/Docs/R4-2113686.zip) | Company A |
| Company B |
|  |
| [R4-2114413](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/TSG_RAN/WG4_Radio/TSGR4_100-e/Docs/R4-2114413.zip) | Company A |
| Company B |
|  |

## Summary for 1st round

### Open issues

*Moderator tries to summarize discussion status for 1st round, list all the identified open issues and tentative agreements or candidate options and suggestion for 2nd round i.e. WF assignment.*

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
|  | **Status summary**  |
| **Sub-topic #1** | *Tentative agreements:**Candidate options:**Recommendations for 2nd round:* |

### CRs/TPs

*Moderator tries to summarize discussion status for 1st round and provides recommendation on CRs/TPs Status update*

*Note: The tdoc decisions shall be provided in Section 3 and this table is optional in case moderators would like to provide additional information.*

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **CR/TP number** | **CRs/TPs Status update recommendation**  |
| XXX | *Based on 1st round of comments collection, moderator can recommend the next steps such as “agreeable”, “to be revised”* |

## Discussion on 2nd round (if applicable)

# Topic #2: System Parameters (9.16.3)

*Main technical topic overview. The structure can be done based on sub-agenda basis.*

## Companies’ contributions summary

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **T-doc number** | **Company** | **Proposals / Observations** |
| [R4-2111913](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/TSG_RAN/WG4_Radio/TSGR4_100-e/Docs/R4-2111913.zip) | CATT | **Channelization**Observation 1: The total number of sync raster entries for SCS based channel raster is up to 355 for 120 kHz SS SCS.Observation 2: The granularity of fixed channel raster for 120kHz SCS is 50 MHz.Observation 3: The total sync raster entries for the three data SCS is 210 when 120 kHz SCS is used for SSB.Observation 4: FR2 GSCN can be reused by 57-71 GHz when fixed channel raster is used.Observation 5: When unlicensed bands channelization needs to consider co-existence with IEEE channels, the adaption of 100 MHz granularity is better than 200 MHz granularity channelization.Proposal 1: Current FR2 NR-ARFCN is reused by 52.6-71 GHz.Proposal 2: For unlicensed bands when co-existence with IEEE channels doesn’t need to be considered, the channelization are designed as the followings* + - * The high level guidelines are
				+ The channelization is designed as fixed channelization.
				+ The granularity of the channelization entries for 120 KHz SCS is 50 MHz. The granularity for 480 kHz SCS and 960 kHz SCS is 100 MHz.
			* The channel raster entries for 120 kHz SCS is defined using the following equation.

2563333 + n\*834 - FLOOR ((n+1)/3)\*2, n=0:278* The channel raster entries for 480 kHz SCS and 960 kHz SCS is defined using the following equation.

2564165 +n\*1668 - FLOOR((n+1)/3)\*4, n=0:137Proposal 3: For unlicensed bands when co-existence with IEEE channels needs to be considered, the channelization is a subset of the whole channel entries of unlicensed bands.Proposal 4: Channel raster for licensed band can reuse the channel raster entries of the unlicensed bands with the corresponding frequency range.**Sync raster**Proposal 5: Fixed sync raster corresponding to fixed channel raster is used for the unlicensed bands when co-existence with IEEE channels is not needed.Proposal 6: The sync raster entries for channels considering the co-existence with IEEE channels can be a subset of the sync raster entries without IEEE channel co-existence issues.Proposal 7: The sync raster entries of licensed band are a subset of the sync raster entries of unlicensed bands.**CBW**Observation 6: The motivation to support 1200 MHz single carrier CBW needs to be clarified.Proposal 8: Agree the 2GHz maximum CBW for 960kHz SCS for both licensed and unlicensed bands.Proposal 9: 1GHz single carrier CBW is supported for 480kHz and 960kHz SCS to accommodate the current spectrum status.Proposal 9: 1GHz single carrier CBW is supported for 480kHz and 960kHz SCS to accommodate the current spectrum status.**SU**Observation 7: [165] RB which is 1900.8 MHz can be used as a starting point for 2GHz CBW SU analysis.Observation 8: 1900.8 MHz is much larger than the 802.11 ad TBW of 1830.47 MHz which means no problem for LBT. |
| [R4-2112134](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/TSG_RAN/WG4_Radio/TSGR4_100-e/Docs/R4-2112134.zip) | Apple | **CBW**Proposal 1: it is proposed that UE support of the following max. CBW for each SCS is optional:120kHz: 400MHz480kHz: 1600MHz960kHz: 2000MHz**Channelization**Proposal 2: For licensed band, there is no need to align with IEEE 802.11ad/ay channels in order to allow channel placement flexibility. Proposal 3: For unlicensed band, align with IEEE 802.11ad/ay channels and avoid one NR channel overlapping with two IEEE 802.11ad/ay channels. A possible NR channelization shown in Fig. 1 can be used as a starting point for further discussion.Proposal 3: CA is supported for intra-band contiguous within 2/2.16 GHz. N x 400 MHz, n = [2, 3, 4, 5].Proposal 4: CA is supported for larger than 2000MHz. |
| [R4-2112186](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/TSG_RAN/WG4_Radio/TSGR4_100-e/Docs/R4-2112186.zip) | CMCC | Proposal 1: For 960KHz SCS maximum channel bandwidth, 2000MHz for both licensed and unlicensed operationsProposal 2: Considering the available spectrum for 52.6-71GHz is much more than below 52.6GHz, it is proposed that the maximum channel bandwidth for each SCS is mandatory.* + 120kHz: 400MHz
	+ 480kHz: 1600MHz
	+ 960kHz: 2000MHz

Proposal 3: CA is supported for intra-band contiguous within 2/2.16 GHz. N x 400 MHz, n = [2, 3, 4, 5].Proposal 4: CA is supported for larger than 2000MHz. |
| R4-2112606 | Xiaomi | Proposal: It is proposed to have harmonized channelization for both licensed and un-licensed spectrum as:-For Channel raster, still use current FR2 design as:FREF = FREF-Offs + ΔFGlobal (NREF – NREF-Offs)ΔFRaster = *I* ×ΔFGlobal , where *I* ϵ *{2,8,16} with* . ΔFGlobal = 60kHz.-For Sync raster design, modify current FR2 design as double the sync raster with:

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| NR Operating Band | SS Block SCS | Range of GSCN(First – <Step size> – Last) |
| 52.6—71GHz | 120 kHz | 23899 - <2> - 24994 |
| 480 kHz | 23905 - <8> - 24988 |

 |
| [R4-2112865](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/TSG_RAN/WG4_Radio/TSGR4_100-e/Docs/R4-2112865.zip) | Samsung | Proposal 1: it’s suggested to confirm 2GHz as maximum channel bandwidth for 960 kHz SCS. Proposal 2: it's suggested to agree the channel bandwidth for FR2-2 as table 1.  |
| [R4-2112994](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/TSG_RAN/WG4_Radio/TSGR4_100-e/Docs/R4-2112994.zip) | vivo | Proposal 1: To harmonize channelization for both licensed and unlicensed bands in 52.6~71GHz.Proposal 2: No need to align with IEEE a.d./a.y. channels.Observation 1: The number of sync raster entries based on fixed channelization is half of that based on the floating channelization. Observation 2: No matter which way to define sync raster, fixed or floating, the total number of sync raster entries is no larger than 665.Proposal 3: To define the channel raster and sync raster based on the fixed channelization for both licensed and unlicensed bands in B52.6G. |
| [R4-2113159](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/TSG_RAN/WG4_Radio/TSGR4_100-e/Docs/R4-2113159.zip) | Intel Corporation | **Intermediate Channel bandwidths**Observation 1.1-1: Relative bandwidths of 1200 MHz for 480 and 960 kHz SCS are significantly low, i.e., 61 %, compared to other channel bandwidths, i.e., > 81 %.Observation 1.1-2: From UE implementation perspective, it is strongly preferrable to support 1200 MHz CBW as a carrier aggregation rather than a single carrier channel bandwidth.Proposal 1.1-1: Channel bandwidths between minimum and maximum CBWs are integer multiples of each minimum channel bandwidth for each subcarrier spacing, except 1200 MHz for both 480 and 960 kHz SCS. Table 1-2 summarizes the proposal.**Spectrum Utilization** Proposal 1.2-1: RAN4 agrees on a general principle of the same max SU for all supported SCS.**Carrier Aggregation**Proposal 1.3-1: Intra-band contiguous CA is supported with normal CA operation.**Channelization**Fixed Channelization without align with IEEE 802.11ad/ay Observation 1.4-1: Channel raster entries are not on the same FFT grid which prevents a single FFT implementation.Observation 1.4-2: The channelization in [1] provides maximum spectrum utilization.Observation 1.4-3: NR channel boundaries are not aligned with IEEE 802.11ad/ay channels which causes coexistence issues.Channelization for Better Coexistence  Observation 1.4-4: NR channels are aligned with IEEE 802.11ad/ay channels.Observation 1.4-5: Channel raster entries are on the same grid, i.e., 960 kHz, and a single FFT implementation is possible.Compromised Channelization ProposalObservation 1.4-6: The proposed channelization provides flexible choice between spectrum utilization and coexistence.Observation 1.4-7: The proposed channelization provides sub-optimum spectrum utilization compared to the fixed channelization without align with IEEE 802.11ad/ay channels.Observation 1.4-8: Smaller BWs such as 100 MHz and 200 MHz can be added outside the 802.11ad/ay channels that are underutilized but available from regulation perspective, which would further increase the amount of spectrum usage.Observation 1.4-9: Channel raster entries of the proposed channelization are on the same grid, i.e., 960 kHz, and a single FFT operation is possible.Observation 1.4-10: It is important to support a single FFT operation for efficient UE implantation.Proposal 1.4-1: RAN4 agrees on future channelization discussion is based on the 960 kHz grid. |
| [R4-2113528](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/TSG_RAN/WG4_Radio/TSGR4_100-e/Docs/R4-2113528.zip) | LG Electronics Finland | SSB raster for 52.6-71GHz frequency range is discussed and a proposal for SS raster entries as in Table 1 is made. |
| [R4-2113550](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/TSG_RAN/WG4_Radio/TSGR4_100-e/Docs/R4-2113550.zip) | MediaTek (Chengdu) Inc. | Observation 1: For unlicensed band operation, a “fully floating” channel raster has impact on UE energy consumption due to increased GSCN raster instances, as well as testing complexity for certification of 3GPP technology compared to other technologies. It is also unclear how such raster flexibility would be useful for unlicensed band operation, considering that the spectrum is free for use.Observation 2: The NR-U type of synchronization raster (Approach 2) with approx. 100MHz/400MHz granularity enables the best cell search performance in terms of search time and UE energy consumption. A similar approach with 0.85 x minCBW spacing would give slightly worse performance but a bit more flexibility.Observation 3: If a fully-floating channel raster were required for minimum channel bandwidth ARFCNs, quite a large number of GSCN instances are required unless there is increased flexibility in CORESET#0 offset.Observation 4: For licensed bands, a GSCN raster with approx. 100MHz/400MHz spacing could be sufficient to allow (with some CORESET#0 configuration flexibility):* a fully-floating channelization for channel bandwidths ≥200MHz for 120kHz SCS SSB and ≥800MHz for 480kHz SCS SSB
* a partially-floating channelization even for minimum channel bandwidths

More flexibility could be introduced in future as needed.Observation 5: We don’t believe that defining a full ARFCN flexibility (120kHz, 480kHz ARFCN granularity) for 100MHz/400MHz bandwidths (worst case scenario) is really justified across the full 57-71GHz range at this stage, considering that this flexibility seems to be more relevant (if at all) for licensed spectrum once we have more regional insights on frequency ranges.Proposal 1: For the 57-71GHz unlicensed band, agree on a fixed channelization raster and set the GSCN raster accordingly (similar method as used for bands n46 and n96) with approx. 100MHz and 400MHz spacing for 120kHz and 480kHz SCS SSB respectively. Proposal 2: For any licensed band defined in the future, consider reuse of the same GCSN raster (as in Proposal 1) as a starting point with e.g. a more flexible channel raster around each GSCN. Additional GSCN locations could be enabled at that stage if more flexibility is deemed required. |
| [R4-2113680](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/TSG_RAN/WG4_Radio/TSGR4_100-e/Docs/R4-2113680.zip) | Nokia, Nokia Shanghai Bell | **CBW**Observation 1: Current ETSI (303 753, 303 722) and FCC (FCC 47 CFR § 15.255) rules do not mandate usage of specific channel bandwidths or channel rasters, therefore there is no issue in using 2000 MHz maximum channel bandwidth together with floating channel raster which is not tied to IEEE channel positions.Proposal 1: 2000 MHz maximum channel bandwidth is defined for 960 kHz SCS and can be used for both licensed and unlicensed operation.Proposal 2: Channel raster for unlicensed operation is defined as a floating raster not limited to IEEE channel positions, however attention needs to be put on number of raster points.Proposal 3: Support 200 MHz, 400 MHz, 800 MHz and 1600 MHz intermediate channel bandwidths**CA**Proposal 4: Support CA within a 2 GHz channel, and between 2 GHz channels.Proposal 5: Consider n x 400 MHz, n= [2, 3, 4, 5] as the supported channel BW options for​ CA operation within a 2 GHz channel.Observation 2: From performance point of view wider channel bandwidths are more favorable compared to CA configurations of many CCs.Proposal 6: Enable m x 100 MHz CA operation, with a reasonable limit on m. |
| [R4-2113921](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/TSG_RAN/WG4_Radio/TSGR4_100-e/Docs/R4-2113921.zip) | ZTE Corporation | **CBW**Observation 1: It is not necessary to align NR channelization with IEEE 802.11ad channelization from coexistence perspective;Proposal 1: for 960kHz SCS, propose maximum CBW supported as 2000MHz;Proposal 2: for intermediate CBWs between min and max CBW:120kHz: 200 MHz480kHz: 800, 1200 MHz960kHz: 800, 1200, 1600 MHz**Channel raster**Proposal 3: 120kHz channel raster should be applied for licensed operation of 52.6-71GHz.**SU**Proposal 4: postpone the discussion of spectral utilization for 60GHz until there are clear agreement on emission mask and in-band emission requirements;**Sync raster**Proposal 5: to define new sync raster step size for 52.6-71GHz instead reusing the existing FR2 17.28MHz step size.Proposal 6: to postpone the sync raster discussion until mini BW, SU and SSB SCS has been agreed; |
| [R4-2113953](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/TSG_RAN/WG4_Radio/TSGR4_100-e/Docs/R4-2113953.zip) | Ericsson | **Channelization**Observation 1: UE SSB search complexity using “floating” raster is practical option given 120 kHz and 480 kHz SCS is supported for initial access.Proposal 1: RAN4 to adopt “floating” channelization design, as in Rel-15. **SU**Observation 2: Given the minimum required spectral utilization for RAN1 design needed is 85%, and UE output power should be constrained within 70% of the declared nominal channel bandwidth; together with BS/UE RF design considerations initial spectral utilization should be considered as a range between 85-95%. Proposal 2: Spectral utilization initial consideration of a range between 85-95%. Example of Floating ChannelizationObservation 3: With the exemplary floating channelization design, the UE SSB search complexity is less (505 GSCN points) than the search complexity for a Rel-15 UE supporting Band n257 and Band n259 (599 GSCN points).Observation 4: none of the draft European standards for range c1-c3 specify a nominal channel raster, the nominal channel bandwidth used for RF requirements is declared. Hence raster alignment is not essential for coexistence.Observation 5: 3GPP can specify a channel raster that allows flexible use of the 57-71 GHz in different geographical regions.Observation 6: Adopting a floating channelization scheme as in Rel-15 FR2 results in flexible and forward compatible design that can be used for any band that is introduced in Rel-17 and later release. Such a design allows for configuration of any channel center frequency (with granularity equal to the SCS). This is beneficial to support both licensed and unlicensed band definitions and naturally supports alignment with channels of other technologies if coexistence is deemed to be important for a given deployment.  |
| [R4-2114479](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/TSG_RAN/WG4_Radio/TSGR4_100-e/Docs/R4-2114479.zip) | Qualcomm Incorporated | Proposal 1: Specify intraband contiguous CA in 120 kHz SCS using 100, 200, and 400 MHz CCBWs.Proposal 2: Re-use the CA bandwidth class concept from FR2-1.Proposal 3: Further discuss channel bandwidths for intraband contiguous CA in 480 and 960 SCS.Proposal 4: RAN4 to prioritize CA specifications for CA bandwidths less than or equal to 2000/2160 MHz. |
| [R4-2112993](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/TSG_RAN/WG4_Radio/TSGR4_100-e/Docs/R4-2112993.zip) | vivo | **Max CBW for 960 kHz**Observation 1: From the perspective of regulation, the option defining 200MHz for both licensed and unlicensed bands is allowed.Observation 2: The channels defined in IEEE 802.11 ad/ay are not aligned and overlapping with each other.Proposal 1: Channel bandwidth of 2000MHz should be defined for both licensed and unlicensed bands.**Intermediate CBWs**Proposal 2: The intermediate channel bandwidths can be chosen based on the principle multiple times of minimum channel bandwidth.**Optionality of max CBW**Proposal 3: The optionality of UE channel bandwidth should be discussed independent of SCS. |
| [R4-2113954](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/TSG_RAN/WG4_Radio/TSGR4_100-e/Docs/R4-2113954.zip) | Ericsson | Proposal: For the maximum bandwidth for 960 kHz SCS, support Option 1 considering “floating” channelization can naturally support alignment with channels of other technologies if coexistence is deemed necessary for a given deployment |

## Open issues summary

*Before e-Meeting, moderators shall summarize list of open issues, candidate options and possible WF (if applicable) based on companies’ contributions.*

### Sub-topic 2-1

*Sub-topic description:*

*Open issues and candidate options before e-meeting: Whether maximum CBW with 960 kHz SCS is 2 GHz or 2.16 GHz.*

**Issue 2-1: Max CBW with 960 kHz SCS**

* Proposals
	+ Option 1: 2 GHz
* Recommended WF
	+ Confirm 2 GHz as the maximum CBW with 960 kHz SCS

### Sub-topic 2-2

*Sub-topic description*

*Open issues and candidate options before e-meeting:*

**Issue 2-2: Intermediate CBWs between min and max CBW**

* Proposals
	+ Option 1: Integer multiples of the min CBW for each SCS
		- 120 kHz: 100 MHz (min), **200 MHz**, 400 MHz (max)
		- 480 kHz: 400 MHz (min), **800 MHz, 1200 MHz**, 1600 MHz (max)
		- 960 kHz: 400 MHz (min), **800 MHz, 1200 MHz, 1600 MHz**, 2000 MHz (max)
	+ Option 2: Remove 1200 MHz from the Option 1
		- 120 kHz: 100 MHz (min), **200 MHz**, 400 MHz (max)
		- 480 kHz: 400 MHz (min), **800 MHz**, 1600 MHz (max)
		- 960 kHz: 400 MHz (min), **800 MHz**, **1600 MHz**, 2000 MHz (max)
	+ Option 3: Replace 1200 MHz with 1000 MHz from the Option 1
		- 120 kHz: 100 MHz (min), **200 MHz**, 400 MHz (max)
		- 480 kHz: 400 MHz (min), **800 MHz**, **1000 MHz**, 1600 MHz (max)
		- 960 kHz: 400 MHz (min), **800 MHz, 1000 MHz, 1600 MHz**, 2000 MHz (max)
* Recommended WF
	+ TBA

### Sub-topic 2-3

*Sub-topic description*

*Open issues and candidate options before e-meeting:*

**Issue 2-3: Optionality of the max CBWs**

* Proposals: Optional support for the max CBWs. The following channels are optional:
	+ 120 kHz: 400 MHz
	+ 480 kHz: 1600 MHz
	+ 960 kHz: 2000 MHz
* Recommended WF
	+ TBA

### Sub-topic 2-4

*Sub-topic description*

*Open issues and candidate options before e-meeting: Since FR2 NR-ARFCN covers up to 100 GHz, NR-ARFCN for 52.6 – 71 GHz should be a part of this.*

**Issue 2-4: Channelization**

* Proposals:
	+ Option 1: Harmonize channelisation between licensed and unlicensed bands
		- Option 1A: Align with IEEE 802.11ad/ay with fixed channelization
		- Option 1B: No IEEE 802.11ad/ay alignment with fixed channelization (vivo, MTK)
		- Option 1C: No IEEE 802.11ad/ay alignment and floating channelization (Nokia, Ericsson, ZTE, Xiaomi)
		- Option 1D: Hybrid between IEEE and no IEEE alignment with fixed channelization depending on max spectrum utilization and better coexistence (Intel)
		- Option 1E: Fixed channelization with proper channel raster granularity to consider the co-existence with IEEE 802.11ad/ay alignment if needed. (CATT)
	+ Option 2: Separate channelization
		- For Licensed:
			* Option 2A: No IEEE 802.11ad/ay alignment (Apple)
		- For Unlicensed:
			* Option 2B: Align with IEEE 802.11ad/ay (Apple)
* Recommended WF
	+ TBA

### Sub-topic 2-5

*Sub-topic description*

*Open issues and candidate options before e-meeting:*

**Issue 2-5: Channel raster grid**

* Proposals:
	+ Option 1: 120 kHz
	+ Option 2: 960 kHz
* Recommended WF
	+ TBA

### Sub-topic 2-6

*Sub-topic description*

*Open issues and candidate options before e-meeting:*

**Issue 2-6: Reply LS on channelization**

* Recommended WF
	+ This can be further discussed once the issues 2-4 and 2-5 are concluded.

### Sub-topic 2-7

*Sub-topic description*

*Open issues and candidate options before e-meeting:*

**Issue 2-7: Spectrum Utilization**

* Proposals:
	+ Option 1: Separate max SU target
		- For 120 kHz SCS: Keep the same max SU from FR2, i.e., 95%
		- For 480/960 kHz SCS: Consider relaxed max SU, i.e., [85 – 95]%
	+ Option 2: The same max SU target for all SCS, i.e., [85 – 95]%
* Recommended WF
	+ TBA

### Sub-topic 2-8

*Sub-topic description*

*Open issues and candidate options before e-meeting:*

**Issue 2-8: Intra-band Contiguous Carrier Aggregation within 2 GHz**

* Proposals:
	+ Option 1: Fixed combination
		- n x 400 MHz, n = [2, 3, 4, 5]
		- m x 100 MHz for 120 kHz SCS, m is FFS
	+ Option 2: Normal CA operation
* Recommended WF
	+ TBA

### Sub-topic 2-9

*Sub-topic description*

*Open issues and candidate options before e-meeting:*

**Issue 2-9: Intra-band Contiguous Carrier Aggregation beyond 2 GHz**

* Proposals:
	+ Option 1: Enable CA > 2 GHz
	+ Option 2: Deprioritize CA > 2 GHz
* Recommended WF
	+ TBA

## Companies views’ collection for 1st round

### Open issues

**Issue 2-1: Max CBW with 960 kHz SCS**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Comments** |
| XXX |  |

**Issue 2-2: Intermediate CBWs between min and max CBW**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Comments** |
| XXX |  |

**Issue 2-3: Optionality of the max CBWs**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Comments** |
| XXX |  |

**Issue 2-4: Channelization**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Comments** |
| XXX |  |

**Issue 2-5: Channel raster grid**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Comments** |
| XXX |  |

**Issue 2-6: Reply LS on channelization**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Comments** |
| XXX |  |

**Issue 2-7: Spectrum Utilization**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Comments** |
| XXX |  |

**Issue 2-8: Intra-band Contiguous Carrier Aggregation within 2 GHz**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Comments** |
| XXX |  |

**Issue 2-9: Intra-band Contiguous Carrier Aggregation beyond 2 GHz**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Comments** |
| XXX |  |

### CRs/TPs comments collection

*Major close to finalize WIs and Rel-15 maintenance, comments collections can be arranged for TPs and CRs. For Rel-16 on-going WIs, suggest to focus on open issues discussion on 1st round.*

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **CR/TP number** | **Comments collection** |
| XXX | Company A |
| Company B |
|  |
| YYY | Company A |
| Company B |
|  |

## Summary for 1st round

### Open issues

*Moderator tries to summarize discussion status for 1st round, list all the identified open issues and tentative agreements or candidate options and suggestion for 2nd round i.e. WF assignment.*

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
|  | **Status summary**  |
| **Sub-topic#1** | *Tentative agreements:**Candidate options:**Recommendations for 2nd round:* |

### CRs/TPs

*Moderator tries to summarize discussion status for 1st round and provided recommendation on CRs/TPs Status update suggestion*

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **CR/TP number** | **CRs/TPs Status update recommendation**  |
| XXX | *Based on 1st round of comments collection, moderator can recommend the next steps such as “agreeable”, “to be revised”* |

## Discussion on 2nd round (if applicable)

*Moderator can provide summary of 2nd round here. Note that recommended decisions on tdocs should be provided in the section titled ”Recommendations for Tdocs”.*

# Recommendations for Tdocs

## 1st round

**New tdocs**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Title** | **Source** | **Comments** |
| WF on … | YYY |  |
| LS on … | ZZZ | To: RAN\_X; Cc: RAN\_Y |
|  |  |  |

**Existing tdocs**

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Tdoc number** | **Title** | **Source** | **Recommendation**  | **Comments** |
| R4-210xxxx | CR on … | XXX | Agreeable, Revised, Merged, Postponed, Not Pursued |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |

Notes:

1. Please include the summary of recommendations for all tdocs across all sub-topics incl. existing and new tdocs.
2. For the Recommendation column please include one of the following:
	1. CRs/TPs: Agreeable, Revised, Merged, Postponed, Not Pursued
	2. Other documents: Agreeable, Revised, Noted
3. For new LS documents, please include information on To/Cc WGs in the comments column
4. Do not include hyper-links in the documents

## 2nd round

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Tdoc number** | **Title** | **Source** | **Recommendation**  | **Comments** |
| R4-210xxxx | CR on … | XXX | Agreeable, Revised, Merged, Postponed, Not Pursued |  |
| R4-210xxxx | WF on … | YYY | Agreeable, Revised, Noted |  |
| R4-210xxxx | LS on … | ZZZ | Agreeable, Revised, Noted |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |

Notes:

1. Please include the summary of recommendations for all tdocs across all sub-topics.
2. For the Recommendation column please include one of the following:
	1. CRs/TPs: Agreeable, Revised, Merged, Postponed, Not Pursued
	2. Other documents: Agreeable, Revised, Noted
3. Do not include hyper-links in the documents

# Annex

Contact information

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Name** | **Email address** |
|  |  |  |

Note:

1. Please add your contact information in above table once you make comments on this email thread.
2. If multiple delegates from the same company make comments on single email thread, please add you name as suffix after company name when make comments i.e. Company A (XX, XX)