TSG-RAN Working Group 3 meeting #2
Nynäshamn, Sweden, 15th - 19th March 1999

TSGW3#2(99)136

Agenda: 12.2

Source: Motorola

Title: Iur Control Plane

3GPP TSGRANWG3 **Tdoc R3-99-136**
March, 15-19, 1999
Nynäshamn,, Sweden

Title: I_{ur} **Control Plane**

Date: **March 15-19th, 1999**

Source: MOTOROLA

Key Issue: I_{ur} **Control Plane**

---

1. Introduction

The Iur signalling bearer document, S3.22, section 5.2 has two alternatives for the signalling bearer. One shows an SS7 based protocol stack, the other shows an IP based stack, with TCP between RNSAP and IP. This document proposes a modification to the IP alternative.

2. IP as Control Plane for Iur

The IP based Control Plane for the Iur interface shall utilize the following protocol stack.

Figure 2.1: Control Plane for IP Domain

Radio Network Subsytem Application Part (RNSAP)
RANAP Adaptation Layer (RAL)
Multi-network Datagram Transmission Protocol (MDTP)
User Datagram Protocol (UDP)
Internet Protocol (IP)
Transport Network Service
Physical Layer

2.1 UDP/IP

The IP layer shall be designed for communication with both IPv4 and IPv6 nodes. The IP Address will be used to identify a node or host. Internet Control Message Protocol (ICMP) shall be implemented for management of host communications. Address Resolution Protocol (ARP) shall be used to maintain routing information for communicating with other hosts. Internet Group Multicast Protocol (IGMP) should be implemented to support IP multicasting, broadcasting and directed broadcasting.

UDP will be used as a connectionless transport protocol. The Port Number will be used to identify an endpoint or process. The Checksum shall be used to provide protection of the UDP header and upper layer payload.

2.2 Multi-network Datagram Transmission Protocol (MDTP)

MDTP shall provide fault tolerant data communications between hosts, in either reliable or unreliable mode. Reliable mode will be used for critical signaling, such as call control. Unreliable mode may be used for non-critical and/or non real-time informational signaling. MDTP shall support and operate transparently over a multi-network configuration, as depicted in the following diagram:

Figure 2.2: Multi-network Configuration



If more than one path exists between two endpoints (e.g. redundant networks), MDTP will take advantage of the multiple networks by automatically switching to the alternate network if the datagram delivery becomes unavailable or inefficient (e.g., too many re-transmissions) on the current network. The network fault management is transparent to the upper layer protocols. The ability to handle multiple networks facilitates the implementation of traffic balancing schemes in upper level protocols.

MDTP provides reliable, in sequence, delivery of datagrams. MDTP handles datagrams which are received out of order, as well as receipt of duplicate datagrams, without intervention from upper layer protocols.

MDTP provides control over timers and configuration which is independent of the operating system. This enables greater flexibility in controlling the timing and operational characteristics to provide time critical, fault tolerant, reliable communications.

The draft MDTP specification can be found on the Internet at http://www.ietf.org/ as document "draft-sigtran-mdtp-01.txt".

2.3 RNSAP Adaptation Layer (RAL)

The purpose of the RAL is to map the RNSAP Application Programming Interface (API) to/from MDTP primitives. The following is an indication of this mapping:

Table 2-1: RANAP Adaptation Layer Mapping

RNSAP API	MDTP Primitive
N-CONNECT Request	**Data.Request**
N-CONNECT Indication	**Data.Indication**
N-CONNECT Response	**Data.Request**
N-CONNECT Confirm	**Data.Indication**
N-DISCONNECT Request	**Data.Request**
N-DISCONNECT Indication	**Data.Indication**
N-DATA Request	**Data.Request**
N-DATA Indication	**Data.Indication**
N-UNITDATA Request	**Data.Request**
N-UNITDATA Indication	**Data.Indication**
N-STATUS Indication	**Error.Indication** **Restore.Indication** **Endpoint Discovery** **Translation Errors**

RAL is also responsible for address translation of RNSAP Connection Identifiers to/from protocol specific IP and Port numbers. RAL can be statically defined with addressing information, or it can dynamically discover and maintain addressing information using DHCP and IGMP. RAL can be characterized by the following set of features:

- Transaction management by Connection Identifier so that a continuous session can span multiple message communications over the same or alternate networks.
- Dynamic scalability, enabling a node or endpoint to be added or removed without interrupting communications.
- Signaling Bearer transparency, whereby the upper layer protocols are abstracted from the details of the lower layer protocols.

3. Proposal

1. In section 5.2, “Signaling Bearer”, of TS S3.22, this contribution proposes the modification of alternative 1 as follows:

> *The alternative signaling bearers for the Radio Network Control Plane are shown in table x below:*

<table>
<tr><td></td><td>Alternative 1</td><td>Alternative 2</td></tr>
<tr><td>Radio Network Layer</td><td colspan="2">RNSAP</td></tr>
<tr><td rowspan="7">Transport Layer

Signalling Bearer</td><td>RAL</td><td rowspan="3">SCCP</td></tr>
<tr><td>MDTP</td></tr>
<tr><td>UDP</td></tr>
<tr><td rowspan="3">IP</td><td>MTP3b</td></tr>
<tr><td>SCCF</td></tr>
<tr><td>SSCOP</td></tr>
<tr><td colspan="2">AAL5</td></tr>
<tr><td></td><td colspan="2">ATM</td></tr>
<tr><td>Physical Layer</td><td colspan="2">PHY</td></tr>
</table>

2. The description in section 2 of this contribution is included as a new subsection of section 5.3 in S3.22, ref [4].

4. References

[1] Postel, J. (editor), “User Datagram Protocol”, RFC 768, USC/Information Sciences Institute, August 1980.

[2] Postel, J. (editor), “Internet Protocol”, RFC 791, USC/Information Sciences Institute, September 1981

[3] Stewart R.R., Xie Q. “Multi-network Datagram Transmission Protocol” , draft-sigtran-mdtp-01.txt, February 15, 1999.

[4] S3.22, Iur Signalling Plane, section 5.2