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# 1 Introduction

This is the summary of below offline discussion:

[R2-2300708](file:///C%3A%5CUsers%5Cjohan%5COneDrive%5CDokument%5C3GPP%5Ctsg_ran%5CWG2_RL2%5CRAN2%5CDocs%5CR2-2300708.zip) Open issues on AI/ML model delivery and data collection in post-meeting email discussion Apple discussion Rel-18 FS\_NR\_AIML\_air

- QC think we need the requirement.

* The table in this doc is endorsed as starting point
* Offline 025 (Apple) progress the table of methods and characteristics. Aim to endorse.

# 2 Discussion

The table 2 of R2-2300708 was endorsed as starting point of discussion. So, the table is copied below:

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | Terminated entity | Allowed payload size  | Report latency | Supported report type | Security and Privacy |
| Logged MDT | Between UE and TCE/OAM | <=64kbyte (MDT buffer size limit) | Long (Reported after entering CONNECTED) | Upon gNB request after entering CONNECTED | Security via RRC message,Privacy via user consent  |
| Immediate MDT | Between UE and TCE/OAM | <=9kbyte or 144kbyte(with 16 segments) | Medium(~20ms RRC signaling latency) | Event triggered report,Periodic reporting | Security via RRC message,Privacy via user consent |
| L3 measurements | Between UE and gNB | <=9kbyte or 144kbyte(with 16 segments) | Medium(~20ms RRC signaling latency) | Event triggered report,Periodic reporting | Security via RRC message |
| L1 measurement (CSI reporting) | Between UE and gNB | Small(<1706bit in PUCCH, <3840bit in PUSCH) | Short(can be symbol or slot level) | Aperiodic report,Semi-persistent report,Periodic report | No security |
| UAI | Between UE and gNB | <=9kbyte or 144kbyte(with 16 segments) | Medium(~20ms RRC signaling latency) | Up to UE implementation when to report | Security via RRC message |
| Early measurements | Between UE and gNB | <=9kbyte or 144kbyte(with 16 segments) | Long (Reported after entering CONNECTED) | Upon gNB request after entering CONNECTED | Security via RRC message |
| LPP | Between UE and LMF | <=64K payload (NAS payload container limit) | Longer than L3 measurement (Extra forward latency between LMF and gNB) | UE-triggered or NW-triggered | Security via RRC message |

To make progress, Rapporteur would like to collect companies' view on below 2 questions. The table will be updated based on companies' input.

First, Rapporteur would like to collect companies' comments on reviewing the existing contents of the table.

**Q1: Companies are invited to share their comments on the existing contents of the table (Please do not insert comments or make trackable edits in above table, which will be hard for Rapporteur to track and respond your comments)**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Comments on existing contents of the table** | **Rapporteur response** |
| Intel | We think report latency may misleading it is the delay of the whole data collection framework. We prefer to change it into “signaling delay” to reflect it is the signaling processing delay, rather than collection, etc. More latency could also be considered, measurement duration, report interval, etc, as we raised in next response.  |  |
| Interdigital | We agree with Intel’s comment above. |  |
| OPPO | 1. Allowed payload size column is misleading as the differentiation principle is not consistent, for any data collection framework using RRC signaling, the data limitation is the same, i.e. 9kbyte per RRC message, this is also applied to logged MDT and LPP data collection procedure, in theory, UE can report endless data via multiple RRC message if Periodic reporting or network sustaining request is applied;

As for L1 measurements, Allowed payload size is 1706bit per PUCCH message or 3840bit per PUSCH message; As for the UE data buffer capability and message segmentation aspects, they are totally different topics, which can be decoupled at this stage, we can add more columns for them if needed;So we suggest to change the column name from ‘Allowed payload size’ to ‘Allowed payload size per message’The same issue is found for Report latency column, the differentiation principle is not consistent, for any data collection framework using RRC signaling, the Report latency is almost the same, i.e. ~20ms for RRC message, this is also applied to logged MDT and LPP data collection procedure, maybe consider end to end delay/(near)real-time or non-real time aspect makes more sense, we can clarify this. |  |

Then, during online discussion, some companies suggested to add more columns for the table (i.e. other performance metrics of data collection framework). To make progress,Rapporteur would like to collect companies' view. Meanwhile please note that EVEX framework was agreed that it can be discussed next meeting because companies need more time to understand this framework.

* R2 may consider including the existing EVEX framework for this SI, FFS exactly what this means, can discuss next meeting.

Thus, **we will not discuss EVEX framework in this offline discussion**.

**Q2: Companies are invited to share their view whether / what other performance metrics can be added as new column(s) of the table.**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Please provide other performance metric(s) you think necessary. If any, please also provide your analysis of the new metric(s) for these data collection framework.** | **Rapporteur response** |
| Intel | we think it would be good to also consider other aspects to compare: 1) suitable data type, 2) measurement duration, 3) report interval, as those may reflect the potential latency of different data collection framework. Hence, we propose to merge below information in R2-2300418 with above table:

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | Suitable data type | Measurement duration | Report interval |
| Logged MDT | Non-urgent data, including location info | Min: 10minMax: 120min | Min: 320msMax: infinity |
| Immediate MDT | Min: 120msMax: 30min | Min: 120msMax: 30min |
| RRM measurement reports | Real-time radio performance |
| UE assistance information | Assistance information to show UE preference  | Sec-levelVaries from 0s to 600s depends on the reported data | Configuration or upon change of problem information |
| LPP Provide location information | Location information | immediate | Per request |
| CSI reporting framework | CSI reporting  | Slot-levelMin: 4 slotMax: 320 slot | Slot-levelMin: 4 slotMax: 320 slot |

 |  |
| Interdigital | Apart from the aspects indicated above by Intel, it would be good to elaborate more on the content of the data that is currently collected/reported in these frameworks. For example, RRM measurements contain detailed cell level, beam level information that can be based on SSB or CSI-RS measurements, while logged measurements contain only cell level measurements.Also, we can also include information about RRC states when the measurements/data are taken/logged. This is important because performing/logging the measurements in IDLE/INACTIVE will have limitation as the reason for putting in IDLE/INACTIVE is for power saving purposes. On the other hand, performing/logging measurements in CONNECTED may have a downside in terms of other requirements such as buffering size when compared to IDLE/INACTIVE.  |  |
| OPPO | * As mentioned in Q1, whether UE data buffer is allowed or not can be considered as another domain, as you know, dataset can be large, data buffer may or may not be needed for UE dataset reporting;
* UE applied RRC state during data collection can be considered also as some data collection framework only collect data from RRC idle and inactive, while others from RRC connected;
* Data type, some framework collects measurement, others collects UE assistant info;
* For message segmentation aspect, we can drop it now, as mentioned in Q1, in theory, UE can report endless data via multiple RRC message if Periodic reporting or network sustaining request is applied, we can consider this later;
* Add a note to this table to say all the above data collection framework is using control plane signaling;
 |  |

# 3 Conclusion

We will update table based on companies' input.
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