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# Introduction

This document is for the following offline discussion

* [AT119bis-e][802][R18 SON/MDT] SHR and SPR (Ericsson)

Discussion on the proposals 1-7 in R2-2210798.

 Intended outcome: Report

 Deadline: 04:44 UTC, Friday October 14th

 Deadline for comments: 18:00 UTC Thursday October 13th

Contact person for each participating company:

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Company | Name | Email Address |
| Ericsson | Ali Parichehreh | Ali.Parichehreh@ericsson.com |
| Qualcomm | Rajeev Kumar | rkum@qti.qualcomm.com |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

# Scenarios

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Company | Proposal |
| VIVO [1] | **Proposal 1:** RAN2 to confirm the scenarios for SPCR for NR-DC, including:•SN- and MN-initiated classic PSCell change / CPC•intra-SN classic PSCell change / CPC•classic Addition / CPA•HO with SN change (possibly addressed once the basic solution for SPCR is known)change shall be considered. |
| Samsung [3] | **Proposal 4:** SPCR can be applicable for both CPA/CPC and legacy PSCell addition/PSCell change. |
| Huawei [4] | Proposal 6a: RAN2 to focus on the following cases of SPCR:•Scenario 1(classic PSCell change): 1a. SN/ MN-initiated classic PSCell change; 1b. Intra-SN classic PSCell change;•Scenario 2(conditional PSCell change): 2a. SN/ MN-intimated CPC; 2b. Intra-SN CPC;Proposal 6b: RAN2 for further discuss whether the following scenarios should be considered under the SPCR:•Scenario 3: 3a. Classic addition; 3b. CPA;Proposal 6c: RAN2 to deprioritize the following scenario under the SPCR:•Scenario 4: HO with SN change; |
| ZTE [11] | Proposal 3: RAN2 focus on below scenarios for SPCR in NR-DC:SN- and MN-initiated classic PSCell change / CPCintra-SN classic PSCell change / CPCclassic Addition / CPA |
| Qualcomm [12] | Proposal 3: As indicated by RAN3 in LS R2-2209104, RAN2 should initially focus on basic solutions for SPCR without handover. |

Among the contributions, 4 companies proposed to first discuss and agree on the scenarios. Companies [1][3][11] focus on the scenarios agreed by RAN3 in the sent LS (R2-2209104), while Huawei in [4] proposed to discuss the classic PSCell addition and CPA scenarios. Given the LS provided by RAN3 and the provided proposals, rapporteur proposes the following:

**Proposal 1: RAN2 confirms the scenarios for SPR for NR-DC, including:**

* **SN- and MN-initiated classic PSCell change / CPC**
* **Intra-SN classic PSCell change / CPC**
* **Classic Addition / CPA**
* **HO with SN change (possibly addressed when the basic solution for SPR is known)**
* **Q1: Do you agree to the scenarios captured in proposal 1?**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Company | Yes/No | Comments |
| Qualcomm | Yes |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

Rapporteur´s summary: To be added later

### Abbreviation used for successful PSCell change/addition(?) report

If the above scenarios (including the PSCell Addition and CPA scenarios) are agreeable, rapporteur believes SPCR (that stands for successful PSCell Change Report) is not a correct abbreviation for this feature as it is to cover the classic PSCell Addition and CPA scenarios as well. Hence a successful PSCell Report (SPR) that does not limit the report to the successful PSCell change may be a more appropriate abbreviation for this report. Hence Rapporteur proposes the following:

**Proposal 2: RAN2 agree to the abbreviation of SPR instead of SPCR for the successful PSCell report to cover both “Change” and “Addition” scenarios.**

* **Q2: Do you agree with the above proposal to use SPR as abbreviation for successful PSCell Change/Addition Report (for the sake of having common language and correct naming)?**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Company | Yes/No | Comments |
| Qualcomm | No | We can follow the similar mechanism for abbreviation as CPAC – conditional PSCell addition or change. If we follow a similar mechanism, we can have SPACR – successful PSCell addition or change report. SPR does not provide much context about the report.  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

Rapporteur´s summary: To be added later

### **Priorities DC types for SPR**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Xiaomi [8] | Proposal 3 For successful PScell change report, NR-DC is prioritized. |

Regarding the priority of different DC scenarios (e.g., EN-DC, NE-DC, NR-DC, etc.) to be considered for SPR, it has been proposed in [8] that for the SPR, NR-DC scenario can be prioritized. Although it has been discussed only in one contribution, the agreement on the different RAT types involved in the DC scenario seems to be essential for RAN2. RAN3 LS already points the NR-DC scenario but for the sake of clarity and to have a common understanding rapporteur proposes the following.

**Proposal 3: RAN2 confirm to prioritise NR-DC scenario for SPR.**

* **Q3: Do you confirm to prioritise NR-DC scenario for SPR?**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Company | Yes/No | Comments |
| Qualcomm | Yes |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

Rapporteur´s summary: To be added later

### **SHR as baseline for SPR solution**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| CATT [2] | Proposal 1:RAN2 to take the solution of R17 PCell SHR as the baseline to start the R18 successful PSCell change report discussion. |
| Ericsson [9] | Proposal 1: Successful Handover Report (SHR) is the baseline for the successful PSCell report (SPR) in terms of configuration and reporting. |

The solution architecture/direction in terms of the configuration and reporting has been discussed in various papers and two companies mentioned to take Rel 17 SHR as baseline for the configuration and reporting solution for SPR.

**Proposal 4: SHR solution is taken as baseline for the SPR in terms of configuration and reporting.**

* **Q4: Do you agree with the above proposal to take SHR as baseline for SPR in terms of configuration and reporting?**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Company | Yes/No | Comments |
| Qualcomm | May be | For a few aspects, like trigger conditions, etc., we can consider SHR as the baseline.  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

Rapporteur´s summary: To be added later

### **SHR configuration and triggering conditions**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| VIVO [1]  | Proposal 2:The triggering conditions for generating SPCR should at least include:T310 elapsed time for the source PSCell exceeds a threshold;T312 elapsed time for the source PSCell exceeds a threshold;T304 elapsed time for the target PSCell exceeds a threshold. |
| CATT [2] | Proposal 2:The following three trigger conditions can be considered for successful PSCell addition/change report and RAN2 to discuss which node i.e. MN or SN should configure these trigger conditions to the UE.T304 trigger conditionT310 trigger conditionT312 trigger condition |
| Samsung [3] | Proposal 5:SPCR may be reported based on configured conditions. |
| Huawei [4] | Proposal 7: Introduce T304, T310 and T312 related triggering conditions of SCG for SPCR. |
| Lenovo [6] | Proposal 1: Configuration for generating successful PSCell addition/change report is configured to the UE by the network. |
| NEC [7] | Proposal 2: same as SHR, network configures triggering conditions for SPCR, and UE only stores SPCR information when triggering condition is fulfilled.Proposal 3: the following triggering conditions can be supported for SPCR:the elapsed time of the timer T304 is greater than a thresholdthe elapsed time of the timer T310 is greater than a thresholdthe elapsed time of the timer T312 is greater than a threshold |
| Xiaomi [8] | Proposal 4: Network can configure the following trigger condition for successul PScell change report through otherConfig: T304 threshold, T310 threshold, T312 threshold. |
| Ericsson [9] | Proposal 2: SPR is triggered based on the following triggering thresholds: - T304 timer threshold - T310 timer threshold - T312 timer threshold - time between CPAC events threshold - time between receiving CPAC configuration to the execution of the CPAC - Experiencing LBT issues during CPAC execution |
| ZTE [11] | Proposal 4: The triggering events and measurements of SHR in MN can be seen as baseline for SPCR except for DAPS related triggering event and measurements. |
| Qualcomm [12] | Proposal 4: Define SCG T310, T312, and T304 thresholds for generating the SPCR. |
| SHARP [13] | Proposal 3: SPCR configuration includes triggering conditions configuration that is used to SPCR determination. |

Taking SHR as baseline for the SPR, companies [1][2][3][4][6][7][8][9][11][12][13] provided their view on the SPR configuration and in particular triggering conditions for SPR. Among them most of the companies [1, 2, 4, 7, 8, 9, 12] proposed the required triggering thresholds to be defined at least based on the SHR triggering thresholds including T310, T312, and T304 timer thresholds. Therefore, based on the convergence of the proposals, rapporteur proposes the following:

**Proposal 5: Network configures SPR configuration IE for the UE, with at least the following SPR triggering thresholds (Other triggering thresholds are FFS)**

* **T310 timer threshold**
* **T312 timer threshold**
* **T304 timer threshold**
* **Q5: do you agree to consider at least T310 and T312 and T304 timers thresholds as SPR triggering configuration while other triggering thresholds are FFS?**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Company | Yes/No | Comments |
| Qualcomm | Yes |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

Rapporteur´s summary: To be added later

### **Logging and storing SPR**

Again, taking SHR as baseline for SPR, some companies discussed the SPR logging IE and storing at the UE and reporting to the network. Below is the list of relevant proposals.

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| VIVO [1] | Proposal 5:SPCR should be transferred with a separate signaling procedure from that of SHR if the SPCR shall be reported upon the random access towards PSCell is completed. |
| CATT [2] | Proposal 3:RAN2 to discuss the report information and following two signaling designs for successful PSCell addition/change report:successful PSCell addition/change report embedded in PCell SHRPCell SHR and successful PSCell addition/change report is separate |
| Xiaomi [8] | Proposal 4: Network can configure the following trigger condition for successul PScell change report through otherConfig:Proposal 6: UE indicates the availability of a Successful PScell change Report in complete message. gNB can fetch the successful PScell change report via UE Information Request/Response mechanism. |
| Qualcomm [12] | Proposal 7: Introduce a new report for SPCR for reporting lower layer issues during the successful classical/conditional PSCell change or addition.Proposal 9: Use UEInformationResponse for reporting of SPCR. |
| SHARP [13] | 1. Proposal 1: introduce a new UE variable for SPCR information.
 |
| NTT DOCOMO [14] | Proposal 4: Introduce a new UE variable for successful PSCell change report.Proposal 5: RAN2 to discuss which message is used for successful PSCell change reporting |

Based on the above proposals, rapporteur proposes to discuss the following.

**Proposal 6: RAN2 discuss and agree to the following:**

* **SPR configuration is configured by network through otherConfig**
* **SPR is logged in a new information element**
* **SPR is stored in a new UE variable**
* **SPR is fetched via UE Information Request/Response procedure**
* **Q6: Is the above proposal acceptable?**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Company | Yes/No | Comments |
| Qualcomm | Yes – A, DFFS – B, C | In our understanding, for the SPR a few of the configuration parameters can be optimized by MN and a few of the configuration parameters can be optimized by SN. Therefore, we believe that UE may need to store the SPR until UE is connected to current PCell. Upon PCell change, SPR can discard the SPR. However, we also believe that there is no immediate requirement for reporting. Therefore, we believe that while we can reuse the UE Information Request/Response procedures for fetching the report. Whether UE need to allocate a UE variable for storing it still needs to be evaluated.  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

Rapporteur´s summary: To be added later

**Content of SPR**

In various papers it has been discussed to include the measurements and information in the SPR when at least one of the SPR triggering conditions is fulfilled. In the following, the information and measurements that are commonly proposed by the companies are provided as baseline in the SPR IE.

**Proposal 7: UE logs at least the following information and measurements in the SPR IE (other information and measurements are FFS).**

1. **Source PSCell info (cell ID, measurement result)**
2. **Target PScell info (cell ID, measurement result)**
3. **Candidate PSCell info (cell ID, measurement result)**
4. **Neighbour Cells info (cell ID, measurement result)**
5. **Success PSCell change cause (e.g., t304, t310, t312 cause, etc.)**
6. **Random access related information**
7. **The time elapsed between the CPAC execution towards the target cell and the corresponding latest CPAC configuration received for the selected target cell**
8. **Location Information**
* **Q7: Are the above information and measurements acceptable to be included in the SPR? If not, please comment which one you disagree**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Company | Yes/No | Comments |
| Qualcomm | Okay – E, G, HNo – C, FModified – A, B, D | Network should be aware of the candidate cell list. UE does not need to report. Furthermore, for candidate cell list optimization, network can use failure scenarios. For measurements of source, target, and neighbouring cells, we can reuse existing IEs (measResultFreqList and measResultSCG-Failure) from SCGFailureInformation.  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

Rapporteur´s summary: To be added later

# Conclusion

To be added later.
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