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# Introduction

This document is to gather input for below email discussion.

* [AT119bis-e][410][POS] Rel-17 positioning RRC CR (Ericsson)

Scope: Check the rapporteur CR in R2-2210312 and update it with decisions of this meeting.

Intended outcome: Agreeable CR

Deadline: Friday 2022-10-14 1000 UTC

The below papers have been submitted for positioning correction which impacts RRC

1. [R2-2210312](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/tsg_ran/WG2_RL2/TSGR2_119bis-e/Docs/R2-2210312.zip) Miscellaneous correction for Positioning Ericsson
2. [R2-2209429](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/tsg_ran/WG2_RL2/TSGR2_119bis-e/Docs/R2-2209429.zip) Correction to RRC spec for RRC\_INACTIVE positioning Huawei, HiSilicon
3. [R2-2210480](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/tsg_ran/WG2_RL2/TSGR2_119bis-e/Docs/R2-2210480.zip) Cancellation of UL MAC CE for MG activation/deactivation Samsung

# Contact Information

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Company | Contact: Name (E-mail) |
| Huawei,HiSilicon | yinghaoguo@huawei.com |
| Samsung | taeseop.lee@samsung.com |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |

# Discussion

## R2-2210312 Miscellaneous correction for Positioning

The CR in R2-2210312 provides correction for below:

### LMI correction

* For LMI, it mentions LMI use also for preconfigured gap.
* Adds the clarification based upon RAN4 input that “UE does not autonomously activate or deactivate the preconfigured measurement gap after sending *LocationMeasurementIndication*”

Please Note that RAN4 has also sent an LS to RAN2

<https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/tsg_ran/WG4_Radio/TSGR4_104-e/Docs/R4-2214335.zip>

Question 1: Do companies agree with the changes

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Company | Yes/No | Comments |
| Huawei, HiSIlicon | No | We don’t need to specify the UE behavior that is not supported. |
| Samsung | Yes | Minor comment: In the proposed correction, ‘**and** in scenario as ~~’ can be revised as ‘**or** in scenario as ~~’. |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

### Preconfigured Measurement Gap Update to consider scheduling request config

* To include the clause that UE can request measurement gap using MAC CE only if dedicated scheduling request for positioning measurement gap activation/deactivation is configured.

However, based upon the discussion online for the same clause addition in MAC spec, it was mentioned that if UE already has configured UL grant available then it would not matter whether for positioning scheduling request for positioning measurement gap activation/deactivation is configured or not.

Based upon above conclusion; the change may not be needed.

Question 2: Do companies agree with below?

UE does not need to be configured with dedicated scheduling request for positioning measurement gap activation/deactivation in order to request for positioning measurement gap activation/deactivation using MAC CE.

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Company | Yes/No | Comments |
| Huawei, HiSilicon | Yes |  |
| Samsung | Yes | From our understanding, the UE should be able to send the measurement gap activation/deactivation request MAC CE using any available UL grant. |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

However, a follow up query is:

Considering it is legacy gNB which only accepts RRC LMI or NRPPa based gap activation/deactivation and do not have support for UL MAC CE handling. Is there no way the UE would understand this? The expectation of the CR was that the configuration of Scheduling SR resource can at least notify UE that gNB supports the handling otherwise there would be failure/error/exception.

Companies are requested to provide further input for the case when gNB does not support handling of MAC CE; how to indicate this to the UE so UE does not trigger SR to send UL MAC CE. Please note that this should be an OPTIONAL feature.

Question 2a: NW should indicate to the UE whether UL MAC CE is allowed to be sent.

Option1: A separate indication from NW in MAC CE Config

Option2: Dedicated SR Scheduling Request

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Company | Yes/No (Preferred Option) | Comments |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

### Editorial Correction

* Editorial correction of name of IE: change from PosGapConfig to PosMeasGapPreConfig
* MeasPosPreConfigGapId-r17 to PosMeasPreConfigGapId-r17

The motivation to change the 1st one is to reflect that it is preconfigured gap. The motivation for second is since we already start with “Pos” suffix for PosGapConfig then it would be good to also start with “Pos” suffix for MeasPosPreConfigGapId-r17

Question 2: Do companies agree with editorial change?

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Company | Yes/No | Comments |
| Huawei, HiSIlicon | Yes | Agree with the editorials |
| Samsung | Yes | It seems not essential, but good to have for readability and consistency of spec. |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

## R2-2209429 Correction to RRC spec for RRC\_INACTIVE positioning

The CR appends the below field descriptions as below.

|  |
| --- |
| ***inactivePosSRS-TimeAlignmentTimer***  TAT value for SRS for positioning transmission during RRC\_INACTIVE State as specified in TS 38.321 [3]. The network always configures this when *SRS-PosRRC-InactiveConfig* is configured. |
| ***inactivePosSRS-RSRP-changeThreshold***  RSRP threshold for the increase/decrease of RSRP for time alignment validation as specified in TS 38.321 [3]. If this field is not configured, then the UE does not perform RSRP based TA validation. |

In MAC specification though below is captured.

The MAC entity shall consider the TA to be valid when the following condition is fulfilled:

1> compared to the stored downlink pathloss reference RSRP value, the current RSRP value of the downlink pathloss reference has not increased/decreased by more than *inactivePosSRS-RSRP-ChangeThreshold*, if configured; and

Further, in the MAC spec below procedure text is used.

2> if *inactivePosSRS-TimeAlignmentTimer* is configured and there is ongoing Positioning SRS Transmission in RRC\_INACTIVE as in clause 5.26:

3> start or restart the *inactivePosSRS-TimeAlignmentTimer* associated with the indicated TAG.

The above can be written as:

2> if there is ongoing Positioning SRS Transmission in RRC\_INACTIVE as in clause 5.26:

3> start or restart the *inactivePosSRS-TimeAlignmentTimer* associated with the indicated TAG.

This above change should reflect that *inactivePosSRS-TimeAlignmentTimer* would be always present when there is ongoing Positioning SRS Transmission in RRC\_INACTIVE

Companies are invited to provide comment if the updates should be reflected in the MAC procedural description or should be captured in RRC.

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Company | MAC/RRC For Timer | MAC/RRC For RSRP | Comments |
| Huawei, HiSlicon | RRC | MAC | We can indicate in the RRC that the timer is always configured. Then, the condition in the MAC spec is redundant.  For RSRP, it is already in the MAC spec, no spec change is needed |
| Samsung | RRC & MAC | Not needed | Regarding the timer configuration, since it is related to the condition of the SRS-*PosRRC-InactiveConfig* configuration in RRC message, the proposed correction in RRC seems needed. We also think the correction proposed by the rapporteur in MAC spec is valid as well.  Regarding the RSRP configuration, the proposed correction is related to UE operation at MAC layer and the current MAC spec. seems enough/clear to us. Thus, there is no need of any correction for this. |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |

## R2-2210480 Cancellation of UL MAC CE for MG activation/deactivation

The CR proposes to add below missing agreement in the RRC spec whereas the other agreements have been captured in MAC.

|  |
| --- |
| Proposal 4.5: the following options to cancel a triggered UL MAC CE for MG activation and deactivation should be captured in the spec; other options can be discussed in the running CR discussion.  • When the MAC CE is transmitted  **•** When a request from upper layers to transmit a new request to gNB for a new/modified gap configuration is received  **• When an indication from upper layers that the gaps are not needed any more or a gap with a new id needs to be activated is received**  • On MAC reset |

The related changes are shown below.

1> if and only if upper layers indicate to stop performing location measurements towards E-UTRA or NR or stop subframe and slot timing detection towards E-UTRA:

2> if there is no activated preconfigured measurement gap for positioning:

3> if there is previously triggered UL MAC CE transmission for the measurement gap activation for positioning:

4> indicate lower layers to cancel the triggered UL MAC CE transmission for the measurement gap activation as specified in TS 38.321 [6].

3> else:

4> initiate the procedure to indicate stop as specified in 5.5.6.3.

2> else if there is activated preconfigured measurement gap for positioning:

3> trigger the lower layers to deactivate all the activated measurement gap(s) for positioning as specified in TS 38.321 [6].

Question 2: Do companies agree with the change?

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Company | Yes/No | Comments |
| Huawei,HiSIlicon | Yes | RRC triggers the MAC layer to cancel/activate/deactivate the MG. |
| Samsung | Yes (Proponent) | Let’s assume that the UE previously triggered UL MAC CE for pre-MG activation, but the gap is not activated yet. At this moment, if the upper layer (i.e., LPP) indicates to stop performing measurement, the RRC layer triggers the transmission of *LocationMeasurementIndication* message indicating the measurement stop as per the current RRC spec without the MAC CE cancellation operation. In this case, if the MAC CE for pre-MG activation arrives at gNB after the *LocationMeasurementIndication* message, the gNB can activate the pre-MG even though it is not needed anymore. We already agreed that UL MAC CE should be cancelled **when an indication from upper layers that the gaps are not needed any more** and this agreement is for addressing the above scenario.  Also, with the current specification, the MAC layer cancels the pending UL MAC CE for pre-MG (de)activation request only when there is another new pre-MG (de)activation request or an indication for cancellation from the upper layer (i.e., RRC). Therefore, in the case above, the MAC layer can not cancel the UL MAC CE by itself and we should specify how the RRC indicates the lower layer (i.e., MAC) to cancel the invalid UL MAC CE.  Based on the above, we believe that the proposed correction is essential to capture the previous agreement in a right way and also to prevent the improper pre-MG activation. |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

# Conclusion

Based on the discussion in section 2 we propose the following:
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