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# 1 Introduction

This paper addresses the following email discussion:

* [AT119bis-e][205][DCCA] BWP handling for deactivated SCG (Ericsson)

      Scope: Discuss the CRs to BWP handling under AI 6.2.2 and provide agreeable CR for endorsement.

 Intended outcome: Report in in [R2-2210818](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/TSG_RAN/WG2_RL2/TSGR2_119bis-e/Docs/R2-2210818.zip) and CR in [R2-2210819](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/TSG_RAN/WG2_RL2/TSGR2_119bis-e/Docs/R2-2210819.zip).

 Deadline: Deadline 2 (report) / Deadline 3 (CRs)

According to the schedule:

A first round with Deadline for comments W1 Friday Oct 14th 1000 UTC to settle scope what is agreeable.

A final round with Final deadline W2 W2 Tuesday Oct 18th 2300 UTC to agree the CR.

# 2 Contact information

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Company | Name | Email address |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

# 3 Discussion

According to the agenda, the following four CRs are to be discussed in this email discussion:

By Email [205] (4)

[R2-2210127](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/TSG_RAN/WG2_RL2/TSGR2_119bis-e/Docs/R2-2210127.zip) BWP handling for deactivated SCG Nokia, Nokia Shanghai Bell CR Rel-17 38.321 17.2.0 1425 - F LTE\_NR\_DC\_enh2-Core

[R2-2210672](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/TSG_RAN/WG2_RL2/TSGR2_119bis-e/Docs/R2-2210672.zip) Correction on BWP handling for deactivated SCG Ericsson CR Rel-17 38.321 17.2.0 1439 - F LTE\_NR\_DC\_enh2-Core

* Revised in [R2-2210819](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/TSG_RAN/WG2_RL2/TSGR2_119bis-e/Docs/R2-2210819.zip)

[R2-2210455](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/TSG_RAN/WG2_RL2/TSGR2_119bis-e/Docs/R2-2210455.zip) Correction on the BWP for PSCell in deactivation SCG and the timing requirement for SCG activation CATT CR Rel-17 38.321 17.2.0 1432 - F LTE\_NR\_DC\_enh2-Core

[R2-2210456](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/TSG_RAN/WG2_RL2/TSGR2_119bis-e/Docs/R2-2210456.zip) Correction on ASN.1 for sCellState and scg-State CATT CR Rel-17 38.331 17.2.0 3546 - F LTE\_NR\_DC\_enh2-Core

These CRs address three different topics, which are discussed separately in the following three subsections.

## 3.1 BWP handling for PSCell of deactivated SCG

This topic was discussed based on discussion papers in the Monday online session and the following was agreed:

* 1 The BWP handling for PSCell of deactivated SCG is corrected in MAC specification 5.15.1. Exact wording discussed in offline [205] (Ericsson) (DL 2).

There were three CRs submitted in AI 6.2.2 proposing different variants of how the correction in 5.15.1 could be performed.

1. **The Nokia CR in** [**R2-2210127**](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/TSG_RAN/WG2_RL2/TSGR2_119bis-e/Docs/R2-2210127.zip) **proposes the following formulation:**

For each activated Serving Cell configured with a BWP, the MAC entity shall:

1> if a BWP is activated and the active DL BWP for the Serving Cell is not the dormant BWP; and

1> if the Serving Cell is PSCell and the SCG is activated (as specified in clause 5.29) or if the Serving Cell is not the PSCell:

2> transmit on UL-SCH on the BWP;

[…]

1. **The Ericsson CR in** **[R2-2210672](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/TSG_RAN/WG2_RL2/TSGR2_119bis-e/Docs/R2-2210672.zip) proposes the following changes:**

For each activated Serving Cell configured with a BWP, the MAC entity shall:

1> if a BWP is activated and the active DL BWP for the Serving Cell is not the dormant BWP and the Serving Cell is not the PSCell of deactivated SCG:

2> transmit on UL-SCH on the BWP;

[…]

1> if a BWP is deactivated or the Serving Cell is PSCell of deactivated SCG:

2> not transmit on UL-SCH on the BWP;

[…]

1. **The CATT CR in** [**R2-2210455**](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/TSG_RAN/WG2_RL2/TSGR2_119bis-e/Docs/R2-2210455.zip) **proposes the following changes:**

For each activated Serving Cell which is not a Serving Cell configured in deactivation SCG configured with a BWP, the MAC entity shall:

1> if a BWP is activated and the active DL BWP for the Serving Cell is not the dormant BWP:

2> transmit on UL-SCH on the BWP;

[…]

As can be seen, the CRs represent three different ways of solving the ambiguity between 5.15.1 and 5.29. Some observations:

* 1 and 3 only exclude the case of deactivated SCG from the BWP actions, but do not specify the BWP actions when Serving Cell is the PSCell of deactivated SCG. This is only covered in 2, thus 1 and 3 can be considered incomplete.
* There is no need to cover SCells of deactivated SCG, since these are explicitly deactivated upon SCG deactivation in 5.29. Therefore it is already clear that the BWP actions in 5.15.1 do not apply, and the only case to cover is when the Serving Cell is the PSCell of deactivated SCG.
* The formulation in 1 is somewhat complex, since the ‘and’ statement forces it to cover also cases where Serving Cell is not the PSCell.
* There is a typo in 3; it should say “deactivated SCG” instead of “deactivation SCG”.

Considering these observations, 2 seems a good basis for the CR to correct 5.15.1 of TS 38.321, which also seemed to be the consensus in the Monday online discussion, but we would like to first confirm this view with other companies.

**Q1: Do companies agree that the CR in R2-2210672 is a good basis to correct the BWP handling for PSCell of deactivated SCG in 5.15.1 of TS 38.321?**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Company | Yes / No | Detailed comments |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

The second question is to collect comments and wording suggestions on the CR. For this purpose, I have uploaded a draft version of the CR (R2-2210819) to be agreed in the discussion folder ([link](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/tsg_ran/WG2_RL2/TSGR2_119bis-e/Inbox/Drafts/%5BOffline-205%5D%5BDCCA%5D%20BWP%20handling%20for%20deactivated%20SCG%20%28Ericsson%29)). I used the CR in [**R2-2210672**](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/TSG_RAN/WG2_RL2/TSGR2_119bis-e/Docs/R2-2210672.zip) as basis, with some minor updates on the cover page. The CR is now based to the latest 17.2.0 version of 38.321. Please add your comments and wording suggestions in the fields below. I can then update the draft, depending on how the discussion goes.

**Q2: Any comments or wording suggestions on the draft CR R2-2210819 to correct the BWP handling for PSCell of deactivated SCG in 5.15.1 of TS 38.321?**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Company | Detailed comments |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |

## 3.2 SCG activation timing

The CR in [R2-2210455](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/TSG_RAN/WG2_RL2/TSGR2_119bis-e/Docs/R2-2210455.zip) also included a second change to the direct SCG activation timing in 5.29. It is proposed to refer to 38.133 for SCG activation regardless of whether SCG activation involves random access or not.

**Q3: Do companies agree the second change in CR R2-2210455, affecting section 5.29 of TS 38.321?**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Company | Yes / No | Detailed comments |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

## 3.3 Correction on ASN.1 for *sCellState* and *scg-State*

The CR in [R2-221045](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/TSG_RAN/WG2_RL2/TSGR2_119bis-e/Docs/R2-2210456.zip)6 includes two changes for TS 38.331. The first change is to clarify in 5.3.5.5.9 that direct SCG SCell activation is supported also when *reconfigurationWithSync* is not included for the SCG, if the SCG SCell was configured before. This is based on agreement from RAN2#118e:

* **Support direct SCG SCell activation (i.e. including sCellState), even if reconfigurationWithSync is not included for the SCG and the SCG SCell was configured before SCG activation**

There is also an update to the field condition of *sCellState* in secondaryCellGroup in 6.3.2.

**Q4: Do companies agree the first change in CR R2-2210456, affecting sections 5.3.5.5.9 and 6.3.2 of TS 38.331?**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Company | Yes / No | Detailed comments |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

The second change is in 6.2.2 to change the need code for *scg-State* field in *RRCReconfiguration* and *RRCResume* messages from Need N to Need S.

**Q5: Do companies agree the second change in CR R2-2210456, affecting section 6.2.2 of TS 38.331?**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Company | Yes / No | Detailed comments |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

# 4 Conclusion

Based on the discussion in the previous sections we propose the following:

[Proposal 1 To be updated.](#_Toc509923397)