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# 1 Introduction

This is to discuss the following:

* [AT119bis-e][119][NR NTN Enh] HO enhancements (Nokia)

Scope: Discuss possible CHO-based approach (p6 in [R2-2210353](file:///C%3A%5CData%5C3GPP%5CExtracts%5CR2-2210353%20Further%20view%20on%20Idle-%20and%20Connected-mode%20NTN%20mobility%20in%20Rel-18.docx)) and “same PCI” approach (p5 in [R2-2210405](file:///C%3A%5CData%5C3GPP%5CExtracts%5CR2-2210405%20Discussion%20on%20NTN%20mobility%20enhancements.doc)) for connected mode mobility enhancements in NTN

Initial intended outcome: Summary of the offline discussion with e.g.:

* List of proposals for agreement (if any)
* List of proposals that require online discussions
* List of proposals that should not be pursued (if any)

Initial deadline (for companies' feedback): Tuesday 2022-10-18 1600 UTC

Initial deadline (for rapporteur's summary in R2-2210862): Tuesday 2022-10-18 1800 UTC

In the next section we elaborate on CHO enhancements and on reusing the same PCI in quasi-Earth-fixed scenario.

# 2 Discussion

## 2.1 NTN-specific CHO enhancements

In [1] it is suggested to consider how to reduce the signalling overhead and allow the UE to keep the CHO commands even after HO execution. It is claimed that in NTN the sequence of next serving cells can be predicted with high probability (assuming the UE does not move significantly, compared to the satellite coverage, which should be the case in NTN). We would like to ask the companies if they agree with such statement.

|  |
| --- |
| **Question 1: Do you agree that in NTN the sequence of next serving cells can be predicted?** |
| **Company** | **Answer** | **Comments** |
| Huawei, HiSilicon | No | It requires UE is stationery or in low mobility, and cannot be located at cell edge, which is too restrictive. |
| CATT | No | We agree the next serving cell can be predicted in some way, but we think it is not feasible to predict multiple cell hops due to the UE movement. |
| MediaTek | Yes | We think it can be predicted if the UE’s mobility is negligible comparing to the satellite’s movement, which is often the case. |
| Xiaomi | Yes | We think the network could predict the sequence of next serving cells with the below restriction:* UE is static or in low mobility;
* Network has the UE location since the next serving cell may provide the different coverage from the current serving cell.
 |
| ITRI | Yes | We agree the sequence of next serving cells could be predicted if UE’s movement is negligible compared to the satellite’s.  |
| OPPO | Maybe | If UE is stationary or in low mobility. |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

*Summary for Q1:*

If the approximate sequence of cells can be known in advance and as Conditional Handover (CHO) is a supported solution, [1] suggests to combine these two and allow preparing the UE for multiple cell hops in advance (i.e. enhancement compared to contemporary CHO standard, where the UE can be prepared just for the nearest cell change). UE would be provided in advance with cell-specific resources and configurations for one or more of the next serving cells (i.e. not only for the single cell change operation).

|  |
| --- |
| **Question 2: Do you support allowing the UE to be configured with CHO commands for multiple cell changes in advance and store some of these commands after CHO execution?** |
| **Company** | **Answer** | **Comments** |
| Huawei, HiSilicon | No | The gain is not clear to us. Configuring multi-hop CHO candidates require multi-hop neighbour cells to reserve the resources to the UE in advance.Besides, the UE complexity is increased. |
| CATT | No | We don’t see the benefit bring by configuring CHO command for multiple cell in advance. This mechanism seems has no help on reduce signalling overhead. And if the UE move away, the signalling used for preconfigured CHO command is wasted. |
| MediaTek | No | Agree with Huawei and CATT that this does not help in reducing signalling overhead and increases the UE complexity. |
| Xiaomi | No | We don’t think configuring CHO commands for multiple cell changes in advance at one time will reduce the signalling overhead. Moreover, as Huawei commented, it requires the multiple neighbour cells to reserve the resource and increase the UE complexity.  |
| ITRI | No | The benefit in reducing signalling overhead is unclear. In addition, reconfiguration may be needed according to UE movement.  |
| OPPO | No | Same view as above companies that this does not contribute much to signalling overhead reduction. Meanwhile, we are not sure whether sequential CHO is actually realistic in NTN since most likely the same gNB/cells will serve a specific location and we wonder whether there are really so many different next serving cells for the UE.  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

*Summary for Q2:*

## 2.2 Reusing PCI after satellite changes

Another connected mobility enhancement is considered in [2]. It is proposed to address the quasi-Earth fixed scenario using the same gNB serving a particular area, even after the satellite changes. The authors of [2] claim the clear gain is that the UE will not have to handover and can continue using the same configuration. However, the authors of [2] indicate that the UE will have to anyway perform UL synchronization due to the changed position of the satellites. While the idea seems to be simple and promising in terms of the gains on the UE side, it is not clarified what is the expected impact/additional complexity on the NW side. In addition, the rapporteur wonders how would that co-exist with Rel-17 NTN mobility. Thus, we would like to ask the following:

|  |
| --- |
| **Question 3: Do you support the mobility scheme where PCI remains the same after switching of the satellites? Please provide the details on:**1. **expected impact on the NW side**
2. **co-existence with Rel-17 NTN mobility**
 |
| **Company** | **Answer** | **Comments** |
| Huawei, HiSilicon | Yes | a) expected NW impactsNo impact. The NW only needs to broadcast a t-Service (which is already in R17), to inform the UE of satellite switching time.b) co-existenceNo co-existence problems.For R17 UEs, the NW can simply configure intra-cell HO.For R18 UEs, they can consider the PCI is unchanged, and only perform UL synchronization, so that HO command can be omitted. |
| CATT |  | We have similar view with HW. NW can broadcast some information to indicate UE to perform UL sync but not HO, which is beneficial for signalling overhead reduction.  |
| MediaTek | Yes | Agree with Huawei and CATT. |
| Xiaomi | Yes | The scheme also requires the network should keep the RRC configuration unchanged when the satellite is changed. |
| ITRI | Yes | Agree with Huawei and CATT. |
| OPPO | See comments | From RAN2’s perspective, we agree same PCI could be beneficial. However, it should be confirmed by RAN1 first whether this is feasible or not, e.g. whether same PCI has some interference issue. |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

*Summary for Q3:*

# 3 Conclusion

This paper discussed selected mobility enhancements for Rel-18 NTN. The following proposals are made:

For agreement:

For further discussion:
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