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# 1. Introduction

This document provides the summary for the following email discussion.

* [AT119bis-e][116][NR NTN] UE capabilities (Mediatek)

Initial scope: Discuss proposals in AI 6.10.5

Initial intended outcome: Summary of the offline discussion with e.g.:

* List of proposals for agreement (if any)
* List of proposals that require online discussions
* List of proposals that should not be pursued (if any)

Deadline (for companies' feedback): **Thursday 2022-10-13 18:00 UTC**

Deadline (for rapporteur's summary in R2-2210859): Thursday 2022-10-13 22:00 UTC

Proposals marked "for agreement" in R2-2210859 not challenged until Friday 2022-10-14 10:00 UTC will be declared as agreed via email by the session chair (for the rest the discussion might continue online).

# 2. Reference CRs and Tdocs

The following CRs and Tdocs are discussed here:

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| # | **Tdoc Number** | **Title** | Source Company |
| [1] | [R2-2209540](file:///C:\Data\3GPP\Extracts\38331_CR3493_(Rel-17)_R2-2209540%20IOT%20bit%20for%20inter%20satellite%20measurement_v1.docx) | IOT bit for inter satellite measurement (38.331) | MediaTek |
| [2] | [R2-2209541](file:///C:\Data\3GPP\Extracts\38306_CR0807_(Rel-17)_R2-2209541%20IOT%20bit%20for%20inter%20satellite%20measurement_v1.docx) | IOT bit for inter satellite measurement (38.306) | MediaTek |
| [3] | [R2-2209801](file:///C:\Data\3GPP\Extracts\R2-2209801_Capability%20of%20the%20UE%20coarse%20location%20report_v0.doc) | Capability of the UE coarse location report Apple | Apple |
| [4] | [R2-2209802](file:///C:\Data\3GPP\Extracts\R2-2209802_Clarification%20on%20the%20support%20of%20DCCA%20in%20NTN%20network_v0.doc) | Clarification on the support of DCCA in NTN network | Apple |

# 3. Discussion

**3.1 IOT bit Capability for Inter-satellite Measurement**

In R2-2209540 [1] it is justified that LEO satellite deployment for NR NTN will take few years. During this initial deployment phase, the number of LEO satellites could be less with possible coverage discontinuity between two successive LEO satellites. Thus, it is not expected that the inter-operability testing (IOT) test availability for inter-satellite mobility can be ready over a short time. Hence, it is suggested in R2-2209540 [1] to add an IOT bit, “*interSatMeas-r17*” in MeasAndMobParametersCommon of 38.331, to indicate whether the if inter-satellite measurement is supported. This will avoid any unsuccessful testing of UE due to absence of neighbour satellite.

MeasAndMobParametersCommon ::= SEQUENCE {

…

[[

interSatMeas-r17 ENUMERATED {supported} OPTIONAL

]]

}

Similarly, it is suggested in R2-2209541 [2] to include a mandatory UE capability “*interSatMeas-r17*” in 38.306. This capability will indicate

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| ***Definitions for parameters*** | Per | M | FDD-TDD DIFF | FR1-FR2 DIFF |
| ***interSatMeas-r17***  Indicates whether the UE supports inter-satellite measurement as specified in TS 38.331 [9]. | UE | Yes | No | No |

Based on the above discussion and justifications, the rapporteur would like to ask the following question:

**Question 1: Do companies agree that a capability *interSatMeas-r17* should be included in 38.331 and in 38.306?**

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| # | Company | *interSatMeas-r17* Capability in 38.331 | *interSatMeas-r17* Capability in 38.306 | Comments |
| Agree/Disagree | Agree/Disagree |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |

**3.2 UE Capability for Coarse Location Report**

On the other hand, R2-2209801 [3] suggests that although UE coarse location report is the R17 NTN specific procedure, but the corresponding UE capability is missing. Therefore, the UE AS capability for this feature needs to be added, and the per UE granularity design is sufficient. Based on this, the rapporteur asks the following question:

**Question 2: Do companies agree to introduce the UE specific capability for the UE coarse location report?**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| # | Company | Agree/Disagree | Comments |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |

If the answer to Question 2 is “yes”, the UE should support the report via both 1) UEinformationRequest and Response procedure and 2) UE measurement configuration and report procedure. Based on this, the rapporteur asks the following question:

**Question 3: Do the companies agree that if UE specific capability for the UE coarse location report is introduced, the UE should support the report via both 1) UEinformationRequest and Response procedure and 2) UE measurement configuration and report procedure?**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| # | Company | Agree/Disagree | Comments |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |

**3.3 On DC/CA Support in NR-NTN**

R2-2209802 [4] mentions that according to the RAN2#118 offline-109 discussion, the majority (11/12) agreed with this clarification and agreed to clarify it in stag-2 spec. However, the clarification was not agreed because one company thought it was unnecessary to be captured in the spec. Hence, R2-2209802 [4] suggests capturing, in Chairman notes, that CA and DC are not supported in NTN network.

**Question 4: Do companies agree that RAN2 needs to capture, in Chairman Notes, that CA and DC are not supported in NTN network?**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| # | Company | Agree/Disagree | Comments |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |

# 4. Conclusion

<To be updated after responses from companies>