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# Introduction

This document provides a summary for the following email discussion.

* [AT119bis-e][013][NR18] NS Value Extension (Apple)

 Scope: Treat R2-2209344, R2-2209790, R2-2209791, R2-2210395. Determine agreeable parts, Based on agreeable parts, progress TP/Draft CR, LS out if agreeable.

 Intended outcome: Report, Endorsed TP/Draft CR, Approved LS out if applicable.

 Deadline: In time for CB W1 Fri

Deadline (for companies' initial feedback): Wednesday 2022-10-12 2300 UTC

# Discussion

Companies providing input to this email discussion are requested to leave contact information below.

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Company** | **PoC** | **Email** |
| Huawei, HiSilicon | Tong Sha | shatong3@hisilicon.com |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

* 1. Discussion on the RAN4 LS

**Question 1:** Do companies have any comments on the RAN4 LS [1]?

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Any comments/suggestions?** |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |

* 1. Discussion on proposals

Two companies provided views [2] [4] on the extension of the NS values based on the RAN4 LS [1].

Both [2] and [4] suggest using value ‘7’ in legacy IE as an indicator to the extension and that ‘7’ should not point to any actual NS value in the legacy IE.

 **Question 2:** First, do companies agree with using extension IE to handle the additional values?

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Agree**  | **Do not agree (pls provide alternatives in such a case)** | **Any comments/suggestions?** |
| Huawei, HiSilicon | Agree with comments |  | We agree with using extension IE to extend the NS values. However, it should be confirmed with RAN4 whether value’7’ in legacy IE could be used to prevent legacy UE from camping on a cell with extended NS values, since the usage of NS values is defined by RAN4.Besides, in RAN4 LS, it is stated that the NS values are intended for unlicensed operation. We suggest to clarify in the spec that the extended NS values are only for unlicensed bands, to avoid impact on licensed bands, and avoid unnecessary signalling overhead. |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |

[4] suggests using value range 8 to 39 with **5-bit IE**, while [2] proposes that RAN4 be provided with both options : **. 4-bit** IE enabling 8..23 extended NS range or **5-bit IE** enabling 8..39 extended NS range and get their feedback.

**Question 3:** If companies agree with Q2, what is your preference on the bitwidth for extension?

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Company** | value range 8 to 39 with **5-bit IE** | value range 8 to 23 with **4-bit IE** | **Ask RAN4** | **Any other suggestions?** |
| Huawei, HiSilicon | 5-bit IE |  |  | According to RAN4 LS, up to 32 different values should be supported. |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |

In [4] there is a suggestion to limit the extension to only dedicated signalling and not in SIB1?

|  |
| --- |
| The NS value (additionalSpectrumEmission) is conveyed to the UE * In SIB1 (can indicate multiple NS values in a cell)
	+ ServingCellConfigCommonSIB -> FrequencyInfoDL/UL-SIB -> MultiFrequencyBandListNR-SIB -> NR-MultiBandInfo -> NR-NS-PmaxList -> NR-NS-PmaxValue

*NR-NS-PmaxList* information element-- ASN1START-- TAG-NR-NS-PMAXLIST-STARTNR-NS-PmaxList ::= SEQUENCE (SIZE (1..maxNR-NS-Pmax)) OF NR-NS-PmaxValueNR-NS-PmaxValue ::= SEQUENCE { additionalPmax P-Max OPTIONAL, -- Need N additionalSpectrumEmission AdditionalSpectrumEmission}-- TAG-NR-NS-PMAXLIST-STOP-- ASN1STOPWe understand RAN4 do not require possibility to publish more NS values in SIB1, hence NR-NS-PmaxList need not be extended.Since there is less size concern with extensions in dedicated signalling, the additionalSpectrumEmission-v17xy is preferably added in the frequencyInfoUL |

 **Question 4:** Do companies agree to limit the extension to only dedicated signalling and not in SIB1?

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Agree**  | **Do not agree**  | **Any comments/suggestions?** |
| Huawei, HiSiIicon |  |  | We understand in [4] the intention is not to only extend dedicated signaling, instead we understand the extension on NS values should apply to both SIB1 and dedicated signalling through AdditionalSpectrumEmission-v17xy IE.In our view, [4] proposes to keep the size of NR-NS-PmaxList in SIB1, since there is no such requirement from RAN4. We share the same view on this point. |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |

Finally, there is a request from RAN4 on having this extension available from Rel-17.

 **Question 5:** Do companies agree on extension the IE from Rel-17?

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Agree**  | **Do not agree**  | **Any comments/suggestions?** |
| Huawei, HiSilicon |  | Do not agree | We understand in RAN4 discussion this is a Rel-18 WI and therefore not sure whether there is urgency to introduce it in Rel-17. It would be good to check with RAN4 their motivation to consider Rel-17. |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |

* 1. Reply LS

In [3], there is a draft LS reply content. The rapporteur understands that the content would change based on the outcome of the above questions, but would like to check some views on the current text.

 **Question 6:** Do companies agree on a reply LS to RAN4 based on RAN2 progress?

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Agree**  | **Do not agree**  | **Any comments/suggestions?** |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |

 **Question 7:** Assuming ‘yes’ to Q6, can companies provide comments/suggestion to the current draft LS in [3]?

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Any comments/suggestions?** |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |

# Conclusion

To be filled

# References

[1] R2-2209344 LS on extending the maximum range for NS values

[2] R2-2209790 On extending the maximum range of NS values Apple

[3] R2-2209791 [Draft] LS reply on extending the maximum range for NS values Apple

[4] R2-2210395 Increasing NS value range Ericsson

# Annex: Main body of RAN4 LS [1]

To account for local or regional regulatory requirements of some bands, RAN WG4 has a framework with so-called NS values, where a particular NS value associated with a band can signal the corresponding emission requirements. At the moment the network can signal up to 8 different NS values. However, as recently identified by RAN WG4, for some bands intended for unlicensed operation there might be a need to signal more than 8 different values.

Based on that RAN WG4 kindly asks to extend the maximum range so that up to 32 different values can be used at least for bands intended for unlicensed operation.

And, RAN WG4 also asks whether it is possible to introduce the corresponding extension starting from Rel-17.

**2. Actions:**

**To RAN WG2 group.**

**ACTION:** RAN WG4 asks RAN WG2 to extend the maximum range of NS values – if possible, starting from Rel-17 – so that up to 32 different values can be used.