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# Introduction

This document provides a summary for the following email discussion.

* [AT119bis-e][011][NR17] Misc (Qualcomm)

Scope: Treat R2-2209620, R2-2209798, Determine agreeable parts, Based on agreeable parts, progress CRs,

Intended outcome: Report, Agreed-in-principle CRs, Approved LS out if applicable.

Deadline: In time for CB W2 Mon (if CB is needed)

NOTE: Two CRs are discussed in this email discussion. Rapporteur does not provide explanations for the content of the CRs as he found the cover page of those CRs well describes the reason for proposed change.

# Discussion

Companies providing input to this email discussion are requested to leave contact information below.

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Company** | **PoC** | **Email** |
| Qualcomm Incorporated | Masato Kitazoe | mkitazoe@qti.qualcomm.com |
| Ericsson | Lian Araujo | lian.araujo@ericsson.com |
|  |  |  |

* 1. CRS-IM default network configuration assumptions for MBSFN configuration in non-DSS scenario

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| [R2-2209620](http://www.3gpp.org/ftp/tsg_ran/WG2_RL2/TSGR2_119bis-e/Docs/R2-2209620.zip) | CRS-IM default network configuration assumptions for MBSFN configuration in non-DSS scenario | Qualcomm Incorporated |

**Question 1:** Companies are requested indicate whether they agree to the CR. If not, please leave the reason in the comment section.

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Yes/No** | **Comment** |
| Ericsson | Yes |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

* 1. Clarification on the NR HST configuration

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| [R2-2209798](http://www.3gpp.org/ftp/tsg_ran/WG2_RL2/TSGR2_119bis-e/Docs/R2-2209798.zip) | Clarification on the NR HST configuration | Apple |

**Question 2:** Companies are requested indicate whether they agree to the CR. If not, please leave the reason in the comment section.

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Yes/No** | **Comment** |
| Ericsson | Yes | If this gets in-principle agreed and hence will be re-submitted to the Nov-meeting for final agreement, then an impact analysis should be added to the cover page. |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

# Conclusion