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# 1 Introduction

This is the report for the email discussion:

* [AT118-e][051][IoT NTN] Idle Inactive Mode (Ericsson)

 Scope: Treat R2-2204711, R2-2205250, R2-2205331, R2-2205861, R2-2204651

 Ph1 Determine agreeable parts, Ph2, agree/endorse TP(s) if applicable.

 Intended outcome: Report, endorsed TPs/Draft CRs

 Deadline: Schedule 1 (CB online W2 if needed)

In this e-mail discussion we will discuss idle mode issues.

# 2 Discussion

## 2.1 t-Service corrections

Contribution R2-2204711 [1] and R2-2205250 [2] propose to introduce corrections to the text related to how t-service functions.

In [1] it is proposed to change the wording similar to NR NTN and it is also proposed that inter-frequency measurements are included in text that is related to LTE-M.

------------------ TP1 ------------------

#### 5.2.4.2 Measurement rules for cell re-selection

<Removed irrelevant parts>

If *t-Service* is present in *SystemInformationBlockType3* of the serving cell, UE should start to perform intra-frequency, inter-frequency or inter-RAT measurements before the time *t-Service* regardless whether the serving cell fulfils Srxlev> SIntraSearchP and Squal > SIntraSearchQ, or Srxlev > SnonIntraSearchP and Squal > SnonIntraSearchQ, and the exact time to start measurement before *t-Service* is up to UE implementation. UE shall perform measurements of higher priority inter-frequencies or inter-RAT frequencies regardless of the remaining service time of the serving cell.

5.2.4.2a Measurement rules for cell re-selection for NB-IoT

<Removed irrelevant parts>

If *t-Service* is present in *SystemInformationBlockType3-NB* of the serving cell, UE should start to perform intra-frequency or inter-frequency measurements before the time *t-Service* regardless whether the serving cell fulfils Srxlev> SIntraSearchP and Squal > SIntraSearchQ, or Srxlev > SnonIntraSearchP and Squal > SnonIntraSearchQ, and the exact time to start measurement before *t-Service* is up to UE implementation.

------------------ TP1 ------------------

In [2], it is proposed to improve the text by using similar language and also removing the “should”, but simplified:

------------------ TP2 ------------------

#### 5.2.4.2 Measurement rules for cell re-selection

 <Removed irrelevant parts>

Regardless of any procedure limiting measurements, if *t-Service* is present in *SystemInformationBlockType3* of the serving cell, UE may start to perform intra-frequency or inter-frequency measurements, before the time *t-Service*. UE shall perform measurements of higher priority inter-frequencies or inter-RAT frequencies regardless of the remaining service time of the serving cell.

#### 5.2.4.2a Measurement rules for cell re-selection for NB-IoT

 <Removed irrelevant parts>

Regardless of any procedure limiting measurements, if *t-Service* is present in *SystemInformationBlockType3-NB* of the serving cell, UE may start to perform intra-frequency or inter-frequency measurements, before the time *t-Service*.

------------------ TP2 ------------------

It is clear that “should” is not according to 3GPP terminology and rapporteur intends to change this.

The first question is whether *t-Service* actions should include inter-RAT measurements as this has yet to be agreed. Inter-RAT is likely to imply a TN RAT and while a UE should likely prioritize a terrestrial network over non-terrestrial network, it could be potentially be an energy consumption waste to perform inter-RAT due to *t-Service*, thus it is not entirely clear whether inter-RAT measurements should be included in *t-Service* actions.

**Q1: Should *t-Service* actions include performing inter-RAT measurements as R2-2204711 [1]?**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Y/N** | **Comment** |
| Huawei, HiSilicon | Y |  |
| OPPO | Y | The measurements before the stop time of the serving cell should also include inter-RAT frequency besides inter and intra-frequency. Otherwise, when the serving cell stops covering the current area, UE may lose the opportunity of camping in some cells belonging to other TN/NTN RAT.Note that this would be in line with the wording captured in TS 38.304 for NR-NTN. |
| InterDigital | Y |  |
|  |  |  |

<Rapporteur comment>

1. T-service includes/does not include inter-RAT measurements.

Rapporteur thinks that the text in [2] is more clean compared to the current text in 36.304-h00 [7], thus the question is whether to adopt the text in [1] or [2].

**Q2: Adopt the text that:**

1. **uses NR NTN text (R2-2204741) [1]**
2. **uses simplified text (R2-2205250) [2]**
3. **Other**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Company** | **1/2/3** | **Comment or further text** |
| Huawei, HiSilcion | 1) with comments | Fine to align with NR wordingThe text for NB-IoT is not correct as Squal is not used in the measurement rulesIf *t-Service* is present in *SystemInformationBlockType3-NB* of the serving cell, UE should start to perform intra-frequency or inter-frequency measurements before the time *t-Service* regardless of whether the serving cell fulfils Srxlev> SIntraSearchP or Srxlev > SnonIntraSearchP , and the exact time to start measurement before *t-Service* is up to UE implementation.About changing ‘should’ to ‘may’, we are not sure. we think it should be ‘shall’ for a UE supporting t-Service  |
| OPPO | 1 | It would be simpler to capture this by the wording in TS 38.304 for NR-NTN. And agree with Huawei’s comments. |
| InterDigital | 1 with change suggested by Huawei | Agree with Huawei that Squal is not applicable for NB-IoT. |
|  |  |  |

<Rapporteur comment>

1. TP in R2-220xxxx is agreed .

## 2.2 NTN-only UE

In R2-2205331 [3] and R2-2205861 [4] the editor’s note was addressed (this was also addressed in contribution R2-2205594 [5] (Interdigital contribution)):

Editor’s Note: FFS how an NTN-only UE is barred from accessing TN cell.

It is argued in [3] and [4] that NTN-only UEs do not need to be specified and the editor’s note can be removed and in [5] it is mentioned that it should be discussed. Thus rapporteur think it can be discussed here as the main impact identified has to do with barring-mechanism.

**Q3: Should there be any specification support for NTN-only UE in IoT NTN Rel-17?**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Y/N** | **Comment** |
| Huawei, HiSilicon | No | Backward compatibility is a basic principle of 3GPP. This means a NTN UE shall support all mandatory features in the specification and this includes support of TN access.  |
| OPPO | No | NTN UE must be capable to access TN since it has the mandatory capabilities for TN. This has just been agreed in NR NTN. |
| InterDigital | No |  |

**Q4: If yes to Q3, should barring from accessing TN for NTN-only UE be introduced?**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Y/N** | **Comment** |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

<Rapporteur comment>

1. Barring for NTN-only is/is not introduced.

## 2.3 Barring mechanism

In R2-2205861 [4] the barring mechanism is discussed. It is explained that the current text in 36.304 reads:

- *cellBarred* (IE type: "barred" or "not barred")
This field indicates if the cell is barred for connectivity to EPC.
This field is ignored by the UEs supporting *crs-IntfMitig* while *crs-IntfMitigEnabled* is included in SIB1.
This field is ignored by the BL UEs or UEs in CE supporting *ce-CRS-IntfMitig* while *crs-IntfMigitNumPRBs* is included in SIB1-BR.
This field is ignored by UEs supporting NTN while *cellBarred-NTN* is included in SIB1-BR or SIB1-NB.
In case of multiple EPC PLMNs indicated in SIB1/SIB1-BR, this field is common for all EPC PLMNs

And that in 36.331 [8], the *cellBarred-NTN* is mandatory present in *cellAccessRelatedInfo-v1700* (*cellAccessRelatedInfoNTN-r17* in latest agreed RILs), but *cellAccessRelatedInfo-v1700* is optional. Thus there a slight discrepancy, where the presence of *cellBarred-NTN* may or may not be mandatory present for NTN, while the idle mode text implies that it does not always need to be present for NTN.

**Q5: Which change to resolve barring discrepancy in RRC/idle mode?**

1. None
2. Change the 36.304 text to “This field is ignored by UEs supporting NTN ~~while~~ *~~cellBarred-NTN~~* ~~is included in SIB1-BR or SIB1-NB~~.” and make *cellAccessRelatedInfo* mandatory present whenever NTN is deployed. This can for instance be achieved in a loss way by renaming *cellAccessRelatedInfo* to something that makes it clear it is for NTN only.
3. Keep 36.304 text and make *cellBarred-NTN* optional in RRC. Then the solution partly relies on the network configuring *cellBarred-NTN* correctly, by including *cellBarred-NTN* in scenarios when TN and NTN is deployed in the same bands and setting *cellBarred* to *barred*.
4. Other

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Company** | **1/2/3/4** | **Comment or further text** |
| Huawei, HiSilicon | 1 | We do not see any issue with the current text. Although we assume that *cellAccessRelatedInfo-NTN* (according to rapporteur CR) will normally be present in a NTN cell, we see no problem with a NW barring all UEs (TN and NTN) by using the legacy bit.Option 2 is not enough because supporting NTN is not a reason to ignore the legacy bit in a TN cell |
| OPPO | 1 | We also do not see any issue with the current text. For NTN cell, since legacy UE and R17 non-NTN capable UE cannot access the NTN cell due to lack of pre-compensation capability, etc. Therefore, we think NTN cell should always set cellBarred as “barred” to prevent the access of legacy UE and R17 non-NTN capable UE.In this case, if cellBarred-NTN is not present in this NTN cell, UE supporting NTN could consider the cell to be barred according to cellBarred. |
| InterDigital | 1 | We see no problem with the current text. NW could bar all UEs using the legacy bit as others have stated. In addition, a TN cell won’t include this, so an NTN UE should ignore cellBarred only if cellBarred-NTN is present. |

<Rapporteur comment>

1. Change … to ….

## 2.4 Other open idle mode issues

**Companies can bring up further idle mode issues or corrections below:**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Issue** |
|  |  |
|  |  |

# 3 Conclusion

Based on the discussion in the previous sections we propose the following:

[Proposal 1 T-service includes/does not include inter-RAT measurements.](#_Toc103114969)

[Proposal 2 TP in R2-220xxxx is agreed .](#_Toc103114970)

[Proposal 3 Barring for TN-only is/is not introduced.](#_Toc103114971)

[Proposal 4 Change … to ….](#_Toc103114972)
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