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# 1 Introduction

This is a report from the following discussion:

* [AT118-e][047][NR17] MINT (Ericsson)

Scope: Treat [R2-2204510](http://www.3gpp.org/ftp//tsg_ran/WG2_RL2/TSGR2_118-e/Docs//R2-2204510.zip), [R2-2204527](http://www.3gpp.org/ftp//tsg_ran/WG2_RL2/TSGR2_118-e/Docs//R2-2204527.zip), [R2-2204529](http://www.3gpp.org/ftp//tsg_ran/WG2_RL2/TSGR2_118-e/Docs//R2-2204529.zip), [R2-2205869](http://www.3gpp.org/ftp//tsg_ran/WG2_RL2/TSGR2_118-e/Docs//R2-2205869.zip), [R2-2205520](http://www.3gpp.org/ftp//tsg_ran/WG2_RL2/TSGR2_118-e/Docs//R2-2205520.zip), [R2-2205618](http://www.3gpp.org/ftp//tsg_ran/WG2_RL2/TSGR2_118-e/Docs//R2-2205618.zip), [R2-2205867](http://www.3gpp.org/ftp//tsg_ran/WG2_RL2/TSGR2_118-e/Docs//R2-2205867.zip), [R2-2205868](http://www.3gpp.org/ftp//tsg_ran/WG2_RL2/TSGR2_118-e/Docs//R2-2205868.zip), [R2-2205992](http://www.3gpp.org/ftp//tsg_ran/WG2_RL2/TSGR2_118-e/Docs//R2-2205992.zip), [R2-2205993](http://www.3gpp.org/ftp//tsg_ran/WG2_RL2/TSGR2_118-e/Docs//R2-2205993.zip), [R2-2206049](http://www.3gpp.org/ftp//tsg_ran/WG2_RL2/TSGR2_118-e/Docs//R2-2206049.zip), [R2-2206050](http://www.3gpp.org/ftp//tsg_ran/WG2_RL2/TSGR2_118-e/Docs//R2-2206050.zip). Ph1 Determine agreeable parts, Ph2 agree CRs

Intended outcome: Report, Agreed CRs

Deadline: Schedule 1

The following delegates participated in the discussion:

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Company | Contact Name, Email |
| Ericsson | Mattias Bergström, mattias.a.bergstrom@ericsson.com |
|  |  |
|  |  |

The following documents were treated:

[R2-2204510](http://www.3gpp.org/ftp//tsg_ran/WG2_RL2/TSGR2_118-e/Docs//R2-2204510.zip) LS on system information extensions for minimization of service interruption (MINT) ([C1-223219](http://www.3gpp.org/ftp//tsg_ct/WG1_mm-cc-sm_ex-CN1/TSGC1_135e/Docs//C1-223219.zip); contact: Ericsson) CT1 LS in Rel-17 MINT To:RAN2 Cc:SA2

[R2-2204527](http://www.3gpp.org/ftp//tsg_ran/WG2_RL2/TSGR2_118-e/Docs//R2-2204527.zip) Reply LS on Reply LS on MINT functionality for Disaster Roaming ([S3-220518](http://www.3gpp.org/ftp//tsg_sa/WG3_Security/TSGS3_106e/Docs//S3-220518.zip); contact: LGE) SA3 LS in Rel-17 MINT To:SA2 Cc:SA5, CT1, CT4, CT6, RAN2, SA, CT, RAN

[R2-2204529](http://www.3gpp.org/ftp//tsg_ran/WG2_RL2/TSGR2_118-e/Docs//R2-2204529.zip) LS on MINT functionality for Disaster Roaming ([S5-222575](http://www.3gpp.org/ftp//tsg_sa/WG5_TM/TSGS5_142e/Docs//S5-222575.zip); contact: Ericsson) SA5 LS in Rel-17 MINT To:SA2 Cc:SA, SA3, CT, CT1, CT4, CT6, RAN, RAN2

[R2-2205869](http://www.3gpp.org/ftp//tsg_ran/WG2_RL2/TSGR2_118-e/Docs//R2-2205869.zip) Remaining issues for MINT Ericsson discussion Rel-17

[R2-2205520](http://www.3gpp.org/ftp//tsg_ran/WG2_RL2/TSGR2_118-e/Docs//R2-2205520.zip) Discussion on supporting case A from CT1 on MINT Huawei, HiSilicon discussion Rel-17 MINT

[R2-2205618](http://www.3gpp.org/ftp//tsg_ran/WG2_RL2/TSGR2_118-e/Docs//R2-2205618.zip) TP to resolve TBD on oneBitApproach for MINT LG Electronics France discussion

[R2-2205867](http://www.3gpp.org/ftp//tsg_ran/WG2_RL2/TSGR2_118-e/Docs//R2-2205867.zip) Introducing single-bit approach for MINT [MINT] Ericsson CR Rel-17 36.331 17.0.0 4810 - B TEI17

[R2-2205868](http://www.3gpp.org/ftp//tsg_ran/WG2_RL2/TSGR2_118-e/Docs//R2-2205868.zip) Introducing single-bit approach for MINT [MINT] Ericsson CR Rel-17 38.331 17.0.0 3122 - B TEI17

[R2-2205992](http://www.3gpp.org/ftp//tsg_ran/WG2_RL2/TSGR2_118-e/Docs//R2-2205992.zip) Support of of case A from CT1 on MINT Huawei, HiSilicon CR Rel-17 38.331 17.0.0 3147 - F MINT

[R2-2205993](http://www.3gpp.org/ftp//tsg_ran/WG2_RL2/TSGR2_118-e/Docs//R2-2205993.zip) Support of of case A from CT1 on MINT Huawei, HiSilicon CR Rel-17 36.331 17.0.0 4815 - F MINT

[R2-2206049](http://www.3gpp.org/ftp//tsg_ran/WG2_RL2/TSGR2_118-e/Docs//R2-2206049.zip) Corrections to MINT specification [MINT] Lenovo draftCR Rel-17 38.331 17.0.0 F TEI17

[R2-2206050](http://www.3gpp.org/ftp//tsg_ran/WG2_RL2/TSGR2_118-e/Docs//R2-2206050.zip) Corrections to MINT specification [MINT] Lenovo draftCR Rel-17 36.331 17.0.0 F TEI17

# 2 Discussion

## 2.1 LSs

RAN2 received these MINT-related LSs to this meeting:

[R2-2204510](http://www.3gpp.org/ftp//tsg_ran/WG2_RL2/TSGR2_118-e/Docs//R2-2204510.zip) LS on system information extensions for minimization of service interruption (MINT) ([C1-223219](http://www.3gpp.org/ftp//tsg_ct/WG1_mm-cc-sm_ex-CN1/TSGC1_135e/Docs//C1-223219.zip); contact: Ericsson) CT1 LS in Rel-17 MINT To:RAN2 Cc:SA2

[R2-2204527](http://www.3gpp.org/ftp//tsg_ran/WG2_RL2/TSGR2_118-e/Docs//R2-2204527.zip) Reply LS on Reply LS on MINT functionality for Disaster Roaming ([S3-220518](http://www.3gpp.org/ftp//tsg_sa/WG3_Security/TSGS3_106e/Docs//S3-220518.zip); contact: LGE) SA3 LS in Rel-17 MINT To:SA2 Cc:SA5, CT1, CT4, CT6, RAN2, SA, CT, RAN

[R2-2204529](http://www.3gpp.org/ftp//tsg_ran/WG2_RL2/TSGR2_118-e/Docs//R2-2204529.zip) LS on MINT functionality for Disaster Roaming ([S5-222575](http://www.3gpp.org/ftp//tsg_sa/WG5_TM/TSGS5_142e/Docs//S5-222575.zip); contact: Ericsson) SA5 LS in Rel-17 MINT To:SA2 Cc:SA, SA3, CT, CT1, CT4, CT6, RAN, RAN2

The first LS relates to the so called "single bit approach" which RAN2 has added a placeholder for in the RRC specifications. There are company contributions proposing how update the RAN2 specifications in response to the first LS, see below.

The second and the third LS require no RAN2 action.

The rapporteur proposes to note these three LSs and consider them in the rest of the discussion.

1. The LSs in [R2-2204510](http://www.3gpp.org/ftp//tsg_ran/WG2_RL2/TSGR2_118-e/Docs//R2-2204510.zip), [R2-2204527](http://www.3gpp.org/ftp//tsg_ran/WG2_RL2/TSGR2_118-e/Docs//R2-2204527.zip), and [R2-2204529](http://www.3gpp.org/ftp//tsg_ran/WG2_RL2/TSGR2_118-e/Docs//R2-2204529.zip) are noted.

## 2.2 Corrections in [R2-2206049](http://www.3gpp.org/ftp//tsg_ran/WG2_RL2/TSGR2_118-e/Docs//R2-2206049.zip) and [R2-2206050](http://www.3gpp.org/ftp//tsg_ran/WG2_RL2/TSGR2_118-e/Docs//R2-2206050.zip)

The following CRs proposes corrections to LTE and NR RRC specifications:

[R2-2206049](http://www.3gpp.org/ftp//tsg_ran/WG2_RL2/TSGR2_118-e/Docs//R2-2206049.zip) Corrections to MINT specification [MINT] Lenovo draftCR Rel-17 38.331 17.0.0 F TEI17

[R2-2206050](http://www.3gpp.org/ftp//tsg_ran/WG2_RL2/TSGR2_118-e/Docs//R2-2206050.zip) Corrections to MINT specification [MINT] Lenovo draftCR Rel-17 36.331 17.0.0 F TEI17

Both CRs add a requirement on the UE to maintain a valid version of the MINT-SIB (SIB30 in LTE and SIB15 in NR). The NR CR also removes the inner optionality bit of uac-BarringInfo-v1700, i.e. remove OPTIONAL from uac-BarringInfoSetList-v1700, and moves the Cond MINT to the outer field and add "Need R" to the "if absent" part of the condition.

**Q1: Do you agree with the intention of these CRs?**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Answer** | **Comments** |
| Ericsson | Yes |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

**Q2: Do you have any detailed suggested changes for the CRs?**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Comments** |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |

## 2.3 Capturing the "one bit approach"

These papers discuss how to capture the one bit approach.

[R2-2205520](http://www.3gpp.org/ftp//tsg_ran/WG2_RL2/TSGR2_118-e/Docs//R2-2205520.zip) Discussion on supporting case A from CT1 on MINT Huawei, HiSilicon discussion Rel-17 MINT

[R2-2205992](http://www.3gpp.org/ftp//tsg_ran/WG2_RL2/TSGR2_118-e/Docs//R2-2205992.zip) Support of of case A from CT1 on MINT Huawei, HiSilicon CR Rel-17 38.331 17.0.0 3147 - F MINT

[R2-2205993](http://www.3gpp.org/ftp//tsg_ran/WG2_RL2/TSGR2_118-e/Docs//R2-2205993.zip) Support of of case A from CT1 on MINT Huawei, HiSilicon CR Rel-17 36.331 17.0.0 4815 - F MINT

[R2-2205869](http://www.3gpp.org/ftp//tsg_ran/WG2_RL2/TSGR2_118-e/Docs//R2-2205869.zip) Remaining issues for MINT Ericsson discussion Rel-17

[R2-2205867](http://www.3gpp.org/ftp//tsg_ran/WG2_RL2/TSGR2_118-e/Docs//R2-2205867.zip) Introducing single-bit approach for MINT [MINT] Ericsson CR Rel-17 36.331 17.0.0 4810 - B TEI17

[R2-2205868](http://www.3gpp.org/ftp//tsg_ran/WG2_RL2/TSGR2_118-e/Docs//R2-2205868.zip) Introducing single-bit approach for MINT [MINT] Ericsson CR Rel-17 38.331 17.0.0 3122 - B TEI17

[R2-2205618](http://www.3gpp.org/ftp//tsg_ran/WG2_RL2/TSGR2_118-e/Docs//R2-2205618.zip) TP to resolve TBD on oneBitApproach for MINT LG Electronics France discussion

The CT specification defined the one bit approach in [C1-223001](http://www.3gpp.org/ftp//tsg_ct/WG1_mm-cc-sm_ex-CN1/TSGC1_135e/Docs//C1-223001.zip) as:

|  |
| --- |
| The disaster related indication indicates that the available PLMN broadcasting this indication is the only PLMN accessible for disaster inbound roamers, that this PLMN accepts disaster inbound roamers from any other PLMN, that a disaster condition applies to all other PLMNs in the location of the broadcast, and that the disaster inbound roamers attempt to determine the MS determined PLMN with disaster condition as per bullet q2) |

From this definition it is clear that only one PLMN can indicate the single bit approach. And this (one) PLMN is the only PLMN that offers disaster roaming and this PLMN further accepts disaster roamers from any other PLMN.

The main difference between the proposals for how to capture is one bit approach is if/how signalling is affected. [R2-2205520](http://www.3gpp.org/ftp//tsg_ran/WG2_RL2/TSGR2_118-e/Docs//R2-2205520.zip) proposes to change the ASN.1 as below. The rapporteur's understanding is that with this signalling approach the network should either indicate the oneBitApproach or provide the PLMNs with disaster conditions for each PLMN sharing the cell. If the oneBitApproach is indicated, the network also indicates an integer that points to the lists in SIB1 containing the PLMNs/NPNs. For example, if the integer is set to 4, it means that the fourth PLMN in the SIB1 list(s) (i.e. PLMN-list + NPN-list) is the "*only PLMN accessible for disaster inbound roamers*".

SIB15-r17 ::= SEQUENCE {

disasterRelatedIndicaiton-r17 ::= CHOICE {

oneBitApproach-r17 INTEGER (1..maxPLMN),

applicableDisasterInfoList-r17 SEQUENCE (SIZE (1..maxPLMN)) OF ApplicableDisasterInfo-r17

}

commonPLMNsWithDisasterCondition-r17 SEQUENCE (SIZE (1..maxPLMN)) OF PLMN-Identity OPTIONAL, -- Need R

lateNonCriticalExtension OCTET STRING OPTIONAL,

...

}

ApplicableDisasterInfo-r17 ::= CHOICE {

noDisasterRoaming-r17 NULL,

commonPLMNs-r17 NULL,

dedicatedPLMNs-r17 SEQUENCE (SIZE (1..maxPLMN)) OF PLMN-Identity

}

**Q3: Should RAN2 change the ASN.1 as proposed in** [**R2-2205520**](http://www.3gpp.org/ftp//tsg_ran/WG2_RL2/TSGR2_118-e/Docs//R2-2205520.zip)**,** [**R2-2205992**](http://www.3gpp.org/ftp//tsg_ran/WG2_RL2/TSGR2_118-e/Docs//R2-2205992.zip)**, and** [**R2-2205993**](http://www.3gpp.org/ftp//tsg_ran/WG2_RL2/TSGR2_118-e/Docs//R2-2205993.zip)**?**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Comments** |
| Ericsson | No, the existing signalling works. The field description for the one-bit approach should instead be clarified such that it is clear that there is only one PLMN that can indicate the single-bit approach.  The CT1-wording for this would be a good starting-point and detailed proposals are found in [R2-2205867](http://www.3gpp.org/ftp//tsg_ran/WG2_RL2/TSGR2_118-e/Docs//R2-2205867.zip)/[R2-2205868](http://www.3gpp.org/ftp//tsg_ran/WG2_RL2/TSGR2_118-e/Docs//R2-2205868.zip) and in [R2-2205618](http://www.3gpp.org/ftp//tsg_ran/WG2_RL2/TSGR2_118-e/Docs//R2-2205618.zip). |
|  |  |
|  |  |

If the ASN.1 is changed as above, the following field descriptions are proposed in [R2-2205520](http://www.3gpp.org/ftp//tsg_ran/WG2_RL2/TSGR2_118-e/Docs//R2-2205520.zip), [R2-2205992](http://www.3gpp.org/ftp//tsg_ran/WG2_RL2/TSGR2_118-e/Docs//R2-2205992.zip), and [R2-2205993](http://www.3gpp.org/ftp//tsg_ran/WG2_RL2/TSGR2_118-e/Docs//R2-2205993.zip):

| *SIB15* field descriptions |
| --- |
| ***applicableDisasterInfoList***  A list indicating the applicable disaster information for the networks indicated in *plmn-IdentityList* and *npn-IdentityList-r16*. The network indicates in this list one entry for each entry of *plmn-IdentityList*, followed by one entry for each entry of *npn-IdentifyList-r16*, meaning that this list will have as many entries as the number of entries of the combination of *plmn-IdentityList* and *npn-IdentifyList-r16*. The first entry in this list indicates the disaster information applicable for the network(s) in the first entry of *plmn-IdentityList*/*npn-IdentityList-r16*, the second entry in this list indicates the disaster information applicable for the network(s) in the second entry of *plmn-IdentityList*/*npn-IdentityList-r16*, and so on. Each entry in this list can either be having the value *noDisasterRoaming*, *commonPLMNs*, or *dedicatedPLMNs*. If an entry in this list takes the value *noDisasterRoaming*, disaster roaming is not allowed for this network(s). If an entry in this list takes the value *commonPLMNs*, the PLMN(s) with disaster conditions indicated in the field *commonPLMNsWithDisasterCondition* apply for this entry. If an entry in this list contains the value *dedicatedPLMNs*, the listed PLMN(s) are the PLMN(s) with disaster conditions that apply to the network(s) corresponding to this entry. For SNPNs, the network indicates the value *noDisasterRoaming*. |
| ***commonPLMNsWithDisasterCondition***  A list of PLMN(s) with disaster conditions which can be commonly applicable to the PLMNs sharing the cell. |
| ***disasterRelatedIndicaiton***  Disaster related indication information. *oneBitApproach-17* and *applicableDisasterInfoList-r17* are for case A) and case B) respectively described in [xx]. |
| ***OneBitApproach***  Indicate a PLMN, which is the only PLMN accessible for disaster inbound roamers, and accepts disaster inbound roamers from any other PLMN, and a disaster condition applies to all other PLMNs in the location of the broadcast, referring to [xx]. |

| Conditional presence | Explanation |
| --- | --- |
| *CaseB* | The field is optional present if *applicableDisasterInfoList-r17* is present. Otherwise the field is not present. |

**Q4: If yes to Q3, do you agree with the associated field descriptions?**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Comments** |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |

If the ASN.1 is not going to be updated, the field descriptions of applicableDisasterInfoList needs updating to capture the oneBitApproach. Two approaches have been provided.

**Approach A (**[**R2-2205867**](http://www.3gpp.org/ftp//tsg_ran/WG2_RL2/TSGR2_118-e/Docs//R2-2205867.zip) **and** [**R2-2205868**](http://www.3gpp.org/ftp//tsg_ran/WG2_RL2/TSGR2_118-e/Docs//R2-2205868.zip)**)**:

|  |
| --- |
| ***applicableDisasterInfoList***  A list indicating the applicable disaster information for the networks indicated by *plmn-IdentityList-r15* in *CellAccessRelatedInfo-5GC-r15*. The first entry in this list indicates the disaster information applicable for the network(s) in the first entry of *plmn-IdentityList*, the second entry in this list indicates the disaster information applicable for the network(s) in the second entry on *plmn-IdentityList*, and so on. Each entry in this list can either be having the value *noDisasterRoaming*, *oneBitApproach*, *commonPLMNs*, or *dedicatedPLMNs*. If an entry in this list takes the value *noDisasterRoaming*, disaster roaming is not allowed for this network(s). If an entry in this list takes the value *onlyPLMN-ForDisasterRoaming*, disaster conditions apply to all other PLMNs and this is the only network accessible for disaster roamers and this network accepts disaster roamers from any other PLMN. If an entry in this list takes the value *commonPLMNs*, the PLMN(s) with disaster conditions indicated in the field *commonPLMNsWithDisasterCondition* apply for this entry. If an entry in this list contains the value *dedicatedPLMNs*, the listed PLMN(s) are the PLMN(s) with disaster conditions that apply to the network(s) corresponding to this entry. |

Approach A applies "globally" in the sense it indicates that **all** other PLMNs experience disaster conditions, i.e. also the PLMNs **not** sharing the cell. It is also captured that this is the **only** network accessible for disaster roaming, and further that this network accepts UEs from **any** other PLMN. Approach A is lacking explicit wording that the network indicates "noDisasterRoaming" for PLMNs other than "the only" PLMN.

**Approach B (**[**R2-2205618**](http://www.3gpp.org/ftp//tsg_ran/WG2_RL2/TSGR2_118-e/Docs//R2-2205618.zip)**):**

|  |
| --- |
| ***applicableDisasterInfoList***  A list indicating the applicable disaster information for the networks indicated in *plmn-IdentityList* and *npn-IdentityList-r16*. The network indicates in this list one entry for each entry of *plmn-IdentityList*, followed by one entry for each entry of *npn-IdentifyList-r16*, meaning that this list will have as many entries as the number of entries of the concatenation of *plmn-IdentityList* and *npn-IdentifyList-r16*. The first entry in this list indicates the disaster information applicable for the network(s) in the first entry of *plmn-IdentityList*/*npn-IdentityList-r16*, the second entry in this list indicates the disaster information applicable for the network(s) in the second entry of *plmn-IdentityList*/*npn-IdentityList-r16*, and so on. Each entry in this list can either be having the value *noDisasterRoaming*, *oneBitApproach*, *commonPLMNs*, or *dedicatedPLMNs*. If an entry in this list takes the value *noDisasterRoaming*, disaster roaming is not allowed for this network(s). If an entry in this list takes the value *oneBitApproach*, a disaster condition applies to all the entries in *plmn-IdentityList* and *npn-IdentifyList-r16* and all other entries in the list shall be set to *noDisasterRoaming*. If an entry in this list takes the value *commonPLMNs*, the PLMN(s) with disaster conditions indicated in the field *commonPLMNsWithDisasterCondition* apply for this entry. If an entry in this list contains the value *dedicatedPLMNs*, the listed PLMN(s) are the PLMN(s) with disaster conditions that apply to the network(s) corresponding to this entry. For SNPNs, the network indicates the value *noDisasterRoaming*. |

Approach B applies "locally" in the sense that it indicates that disaster conditions apply **only to other the PLMNs sharing the cell**. But it is undefined if other PLMNs (not sharing the cell) are affected by disaster conditions. Also, it is undefined which PLMNs' UEs are accepted for disaster roaming.

These two approaches can be compared to CT1's wording:

|  |
| --- |
| The disaster related indication indicates that the available PLMN broadcasting this indication is the only PLMN accessible for disaster inbound roamers, that this PLMN accepts disaster inbound roamers from any other PLMN, that a disaster condition applies to all other PLMNs in the location of the broadcast, and that the disaster inbound roamers attempt to determine the MS determined PLMN with disaster condition as per bullet q2) |

RAN2 needs to reach an understanding of which interpretation is the one that CT1 have in mind.

**Q5: Which approach should be adopted?**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Company** | **A or B** | **Preferred name for the "oneBitApproach"** |
| Ericsson | A\* | B is omitting some important aspects that CT1 indicated.  RAN2 can consider a modified version of A (let's call it "A\*") where it is specified that the network indicates "*noDisasterRoaming*" for all other PLMNs sharing the cell. While strictly not needed (since A already states "*this is the only network accessible for disaster roamers*"), we would be OK to capture this signalling detail. |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

One discussion point is what field name should be used for the field so far called "oneBitApproach". [R2-2205618](http://www.3gpp.org/ftp//tsg_ran/WG2_RL2/TSGR2_118-e/Docs//R2-2205618.zip) proposes to stick to "oneBitApproach". [R2-2205867](http://www.3gpp.org/ftp//tsg_ran/WG2_RL2/TSGR2_118-e/Docs//R2-2205867.zip) and [R2-2205868](http://www.3gpp.org/ftp//tsg_ran/WG2_RL2/TSGR2_118-e/Docs//R2-2205868.zip) proposes "onlyPLMN-ForDisasterRoaming".

**Q6: Which name should be used for the oneBitApproach-field?**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Answer** |
| Ericsson | Something more descriptive than "oneBitApproach" would be good, e.g. "onlyPLMN-ForDisasterRoaming" but we are open to suggestion for improvement. |
|  |  |
|  |  |

## 2.4 Updating the section "Actions upon reception of SIB15"

The sections describing UE actions upon reception of the MINT-SIB needs updating. Three different approaches are provided:

**Approach A** (from [R2-2205618](http://www.3gpp.org/ftp//tsg_ran/WG2_RL2/TSGR2_118-e/Docs//R2-2205618.zip)) has an if-statement where the UE forwards the applicable PLMNs with disaster condition for each PLMN if the oneBitApproach is not used, otherwise the UE forwards the PLMN that broadcasts the oneBitApproach:

|  |
| --- |
| 5.2.2.4.17 Actions upon reception of *SIB15*  Upon receiving *SIB15*, the UE shall:  1> if no PLMN sharing the cell broadcasts *oneBitApproach,* forward the applicable PLMNs with disaster condition for each PLMN sharing the cell to upper layers;  1> else:  2> forwarding the PLMN broadcasting *oneBitApproach* and an indication that a disaster related indication is broadcast by the PLMN to upper layers. |

**Approach B** (from [R2-2205992](http://www.3gpp.org/ftp//tsg_ran/WG2_RL2/TSGR2_118-e/Docs//R2-2205992.zip) and [R2-2205993](http://www.3gpp.org/ftp//tsg_ran/WG2_RL2/TSGR2_118-e/Docs//R2-2205993.zip)) does not suggest any new wording, instead it removes the editor's note:

|  |
| --- |
| 5.2.2.4.17 Actions upon reception of *SIB15* Upon receiving *SIB15*, the UE shall:  1> forward the applicable PLMNs with disaster condition for each PLMN sharing the cell to upper layers. |

**Approach C** (from [R2-2205867](http://www.3gpp.org/ftp//tsg_ran/WG2_RL2/TSGR2_118-e/Docs//R2-2205867.zip) and [R2-2205868](http://www.3gpp.org/ftp//tsg_ran/WG2_RL2/TSGR2_118-e/Docs//R2-2205868.zip)) changes the wording so the UE forwards "applicable disaster information" rather than the current "applicable PLMNs with disaster condition":

|  |
| --- |
| 5.2.2.38 Actions upon reception of *SystemInformationBlockType30* Upon receiving *SystemInformationBlockType30*, the UE shall:  1> forward the applicable disaster information for each PLMN sharing the cell to upper layers. |

**Q7: Which approach do you prefer to update the sections for UE action upon reception of the MINT SIB?**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Company** | **A, B or C** | **Comments** |
| Ericsson | C | Approach B does not support the one bit approach as NAS will not know that the single-bit approach is indeed sent for a PLMN.  Approach A and Approach C both supports the one bit approach.  Approach C is more succinct as it refers to that RRC forwards "applicable disaster information", which covers any type of disaster roaming information (i.e. "list of PLMNs with disaster conditions", "oneBitApproach" or "no disaster roaming"). |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

## 2.5 "Combination" or "concatenation" of the PLMN- and NPN-list

[R2-2205618](http://www.3gpp.org/ftp//tsg_ran/WG2_RL2/TSGR2_118-e/Docs//R2-2205618.zip) proposes to use the word "concatenation" instead of "combination" in the field description of the disaster roaming information. It is argued that it is more suitable to say that the entries of the list correspond to those of the "concatenation" of the two lists, rather than "combination". The proposed changed is shown here:

|  |
| --- |
| ***applicableDisasterInfoList***  A list indicating the applicable disaster information for the networks indicated in *plmn-IdentityList* and *npn-IdentityList-r16*. The network indicates in this list one entry for each entry of *plmn-IdentityList*, followed by one entry for each entry of *npn-IdentifyList-r16*, meaning that this list will have as many entries as the number of entries of the concatenation ~~combination~~ of *plmn-IdentityList* and *npn-IdentifyList-r16*. The first entry in this list indicates the disaster information applicable for the network(s) in the first entry of *plmn-IdentityList*/*npn-IdentityList-r16*, the second entry in this list indicates the disaster information applicable for the network(s) in the second entry of *plmn-IdentityList*/*npn-IdentityList-r16*, and so on. Each entry in this list can either be having the value *noDisasterRoaming*, *oneBitApproach*, *commonPLMNs*, or *dedicatedPLMNs*. If an entry in this list takes the value *noDisasterRoaming*, disaster roaming is not allowed for this network(s). If an entry in this list takes the value *oneBitApproach*, [TBD what happens]. If an entry in this list takes the value *commonPLMNs*, the PLMN(s) with disaster conditions indicated in the field *commonPLMNsWithDisasterCondition* apply for this entry. If an entry in this list contains the value *dedicatedPLMNs*, the listed PLMN(s) are the PLMN(s) with disaster conditions that apply to the network(s) corresponding to this entry. For SNPNs, the network indicates the value *noDisasterRoaming*. |

**Q8: Should RAN2 change from " combination" to "concatenation" as proposed in** [**R2-2205618**](http://www.3gpp.org/ftp//tsg_ran/WG2_RL2/TSGR2_118-e/Docs//R2-2205618.zip)**?**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Comments** |
| Ericsson | No strong view. The existing "combination" works and we think it is clear enough. If majority wants to change we are OK to change. Note though that the field description has this wording which should make it clear how the signalling work:  The first entry in this list indicates the disaster information applicable for the network(s) in the first entry of *plmn-IdentityList*/*npn-IdentityList-r16*, the second entry in this list indicates the disaster information applicable for the network(s) in the second entry of *plmn-IdentityList*/*npn-IdentityList-r16*, and so on. |
|  |  |
|  |  |

# 3 Conclusion

Based on the discussion above we propose:

**No table of figures entries found.**