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**1. Overall Description:**

RAN2 thanks CT1 for the LS in [R2-2204510](http://www.3gpp.org/ftp//tsg_ran/WG2_RL2/TSGR2_118-e/Docs//R2-2204510.zip)/[C1-223219](http://www.3gpp.org/ftp//tsg_ct/WG1_mm-cc-sm_ex-CN1/TSGC1_135e/Docs//C1-223219.zip).

RAN2 discussed the following definition of the single bit approach described in the attached CR in C1-223001:

|  |
| --- |
| The disaster related indication indicates that the available PLMN broadcasting this indication is the only PLMN accessible for disaster inbound roamers, that this PLMN accepts disaster inbound roamers from any other PLMN, that a disaster condition applies to all other PLMNs in the location of the broadcast, and that the disaster inbound roamers attempt to determine the MS determined PLMN with disaster condition as per bullet q2) |

RAN2 identified some issues with this usage for example in a RAN sharing scenario. Consider a scenario where PLMN A and PLMN B share a cell and are both unaffected by a disaster condition while there are other PLMNs in the area which are affected by a disaster condition, it would not be possible for these two PLMNs to **both** use the single bit approach if defined as above. This because if indeed the single bit "*indicates that the available PLMN broadcasting this indication is the only PLMN accessible for disaster inbound roamers*" if PLMN A indicates the single bit, it would imply that disaster conditions apply for PLMN B, and vice versa.

RAN2 understands that the single bit from CT1 should only be interpreted as the PLMN indicating the bit accepts disaster inbound roaming from any other PLMN for the non-sharing case, but from RAN2 understanding this seems also feasible to be applied to the RAN-sharing case where this single-bit approach can be applied to all the PLMNs broadcast in SIB1.

RAN2 asks CT1 to indicate if CT1 sees any problems to extend the single-bit approach to the RAN-sharing case..

**2. Actions:**

**To CT1**

**ACTION:** RAN2 asks CT1 to indicate if CT1 sees any problems to extend the single-bit approach to the RAN-sharing case..

**3. Date of Next RAN2 Meetings:**

RAN2#119-e 2022-08-15 - 2022-08-26 electronic meeting

RAN2#119-bis-e 2022-10-10 - 2022-10-19 electronic meeting