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# Introduction

This document summarizes the following email discussion.

* [AT118-e][045][NR17] DC Location Report (Qualcomm)

 Scope: Treat R2-2204506, R2-2205266, R2-2205386, R2-2205387, R2-2205735, R2-2205517, R2-2205518,

 Ph1 Determine agreeable parts, Ph2 agree CRs

 Intended outcome: Report, Agreed CRs

 Deadline: Schedule 1

* A **first round** with **Deadline for comments W1 Thursd May 12th 1200 UTC** to settle scope what is agreeable etc
* A Final round with **Final deadline W2 Wednesd May 18th 1200 UTC** to settle details / agree CRs etc.

Contact person for each participating company:

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Company | Name | Email Address |
| Qualcomm Incorporated (Rapporteur) | Masato Kitazoe | mkitazoe@qti.qualcomm.com |
| Huawei, HiSilicon | Yang Zhao | zhaoyang@huawei.com |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

# Discussion

In this document, the following solution identifiers are used in the discussion.

* Solution 1: R2-2205266 (Qualcomm)
* Solution 2: R2-2205386, R2-2205387, R2-2205735 (Nokia)
* Solution 3: R2-2205517, R2-2205518 (Huawei)

RAN2 made the following agreements in RAN2#116bis meeting, based on the first RAN4 LS [1].

|  |
| --- |
| * For default DC location derivation, the UE signals:

1. the choice of frequency component, among {Activated CC, Configured CC, Activated BWP, Configured BWP}.2. the choice of UL and/or DL for frequency component, among {UL, DL, Edge most frequencies among any DL and UL}* The network specifies the radio resource configuration (including BWP / CC activation state) for which the UE is requested to report the offset to default DC location. FFS how the radio resource configuration is specified.
* Introduce a new release-17 network request for the extended DC location reporting for more than 2 UL CCs.
* Upon a new release-17 network request, the UE reports the extended DC location reporting for more than 2 UL CCs, i.e. the release-17 network request does not trigger the reporting of *reportUplinkTxDirectCurrent* and *reportUplinkTxDirectCurrentTwoCarrier-r16*.
 |

RAN2 then sent an LS to RAN4, asking questions for clarification in [2]. Reply LS was received from RAN4 in [3]. RAN4’s reply is reproduced below.

|  |
| --- |
| RAN4 would like to thank you RAN2 for their Reply LS on DC location for >2CC.RAN4 has discussed the presented questions and conclude the following:For the Question 1 there are different understanding on the meaning of “Per band per band combination” and “Per intra-band UL CA component per band combination” in RAN4. And it is RAN4 understanding as below:For an intra-band UL CA configuration, a UE may have one or two default DC locations. For all the CCs within this band, there can be one or two CC groups where each CC group is associated with one default DC location. CC group can consist of multiple CCs. The default DC location framework applies to each CC group.For Question 2, RAN4 has concluded that UE should declare if it has two default DC locations via *dualPA-Architecture* capability. Frequency component type is same for both default DC locations. For the calculation of the default DC locations, the component carriers associated with each default DC location must be known. UE may need a non-zero offset for each DC location.Additionally, RAN4 has discussed and would like to clarify previous LS that in some cases for intra-band non-contiguous CA with one LO, the DC frequency may be outside the configured spectrum. The exact location of the carrier leakage must be known. |

* 1. DC location reporting granularity

The intention of RAN2 LS [2] was to clarify the granularity of DC location reporting. The solutions submitted to this RAN2 meeting propose the following.

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| # | Frequency component options (\*) | Offset to default |
| Solution 1 | Single PA:Per intra-band UL CA component per band combination.Dual PA:Per CC group per intra-band UL CA component per band combination | Same as left. |
| Solution 2 | Per CC group per band entry per band combination | Per configured UL BWP per serving cell |
| Solution 3 | Per CC group per band combination | Same as left. |

(\*) RAN2 #116bis agreement:

1. the choice of frequency component, among {Activated CC, Configured CC, Activated BWP, Configured BWP}.
2. the choice of UL and/or DL for frequency component, among {UL, DL, Edge most frequencies among any DL and UL}

The reason why solution 1 differentiates between single PA and dual PA case is because in case of single PA, all CCs of intra-band UL CA component are of single CC group, hence no need of explicitly signalling CC group. Solution 3 took more generic approach where the lowest CC and the highest CC for each CC group is explicitly signalled. Solution 2 is functionally different and does not seem to allow CC grouping across band entries, i.e. intra-band non-contiguous.

For the offset of default DC location, solution 1 and solution 3 follow the principle that there is one offset per default DC location.

The rapporteur thinks the solution 3 is the simplest / straightforward approach, without the need of addressing “UL CA component” or “single / dual PA” at least from the RRC signalling structure perspective. RAN4 indicated the frequency component option is the same for the two CC groups in case of dual PA, which probably can be implemented as requirement text instead of implementing the restriction in the ASN.1 signalling structure.

**Proposal 1:** DC location (frequency component option and offset to default) is signalled per CC group. For determination of each CC group, the UE signals the lowest CC/serving cell and the highest CC/serving cell.

**Q1: Companies are requested to provide their input for proposal 1.**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Agree/Disagree** | **Comment** |
| Huawei, HiSilicon | Agree | We have the same understanding as the rapporteur that solution 1 and 3 follow the RAN4 principle and we could go with a generic way to simplify the changes. |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

* 1. Semi-static UE capability signalling vs Dynamic reporting

Different approaches are proposed for UE reporting, in particular on the reporting of frequency component option and CC group.

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| # | Description |
| Solution 1 | Frequency component option and CC group in UE capability signalling |
| Solution 2 | Frequency component option and CC group in UE capability signalling |
| Solution 3 | Frequency component option and CC group in RRC Reconfiguraion/Resume Complete |

The stated benefit of using UE capability signalling is that the network can know the CC group and frequency component option before it requests the extended DC location reporting, and can tailor the content of network request.

**Proposal 2:** Frequency component option and CC group are signalled per band combination as part of semi-static UE capability.

**Q2: Companies are requested to provide their input for proposal 2.**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Agree/Disagree** | **Comment** |
| Huawei, HiSilicon | Disagree | We understand there is a possibility that the network can know the CC group via UE capability reporting. But on the other hand, before the network configures which band combination and CCs are used for the UE, it is unclear to us how the UE can justify which CCs should be grouped together. We understand the UE decides which CCs are grouped together according to the frequency range configured for each CC for a specific BC from the network.So the basic question is whether CC group is semi-static or dynamic changed pending on network’s configuration, for example, if the reports involves CC1, CC2 and CC3, it is possible that CC3 is in the middle of CC1 and CC2, and the channel bandwidth could also be vary among CC1, CC2 and CC3 via network configuration. In this case, it would be difficult for the UE to group CC1/CC2 or CC1/CC3 together before getting the exact configuration.Our view is that we could use a unified way to report all the relevant information together, so if CC groups and DC location offsets are need to be reported in MSG5, we think frequency component option could be sent in MSG5 as well, thus we can avoid fragmenting the information in different messages. |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

If proposal 2 is agreeable, it also makes sense to introduce UE capability filter for such UE capability signalling.

**Proposal 3:** Introduce UE capability filter for the new UE capability signalling.

**Q3: Companies are requested to provide their input for proposal 3.**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Agree/Disagree** | **Comment** |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

* 1. Network request for DC location reporting

RAN2 #116bis agreed:

* The network specifies the radio resource configuration (including BWP / CC activation state) for which the UE is requested to report the offset to default DC location. FFS how the radio resource configuration is specified.

The solutions submitted to this RAN2 meeting propose the following.

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| # | Description |
| Solution 1 | Combinations (max. 64) of the lowest and the highest activated CCs / BWPs for each CC group. |
| Solution 2 | One bit flag (ENUMERATED {true}) |
| Solution 3 | CC/BWP Combinations of UL and DL CC state (CC (de)activation state/active BWP) for the configured CA band combination.(Rap NOTE: Not sure if I understood the intention of the proposed signalling) |

In [3], RAN4 indicated “*For all the CCs within this band, there can be one or two CC groups where* ***each CC group is associated with one default DC location***”. It seems only solution 1 addresses this requirement. Solution 2 does not cover the RAN2 agreement above.

**Proposal 4:** The network indicates combinations (max. number FFS) of the lowest and the highest activated CCs / BWPs for each CC group, for which the UE is requested to report DC location(s), when the frequency component is either ‘Activated CC’ or ‘Activated BWP’.

**Q4: Companies are requested to provide their input for proposal 4.**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Agree/Disagree** | **Comment** |
| Huawei, HiSilicon | Solution 3 | We understand the network would first configure the CCs, and then the UE uses these configured CCs to define the CC group and report the location offset for each CC group. After receiving the reporting from the UE, the network then know which CCs are grouped together with its associated DC location. Another difference with Solution 1 is that solution 3 indicates also the deactivated state for the CC, because we are not sure if one CC is deactivated while this CC is in the middle of lowest and highest activated CCs, whether the DC location will be changed compared with the case when the CC is activated. |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

* 1. Others

This section covers aspects that seem to be aligned among the proposed solutions.

**Proposal 5:** Network request for the extended DC location reporting for more than 2 UL CCs is introduced in *CellGroupConfig*.

**Proposal 6:** UE reporting of offset to default DC location is introduced in *RRCReconfigurationComplete* and *RRCResumeComplete*

**Q5: Companies are requested to provide their input for proposal 5 and 6.**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Agree/Disagree** | **Comment** |
| Huawei, HiSililicon | Agree | In addition to the above P5 and P6, solution 3 also discussed the below one, the intention is to address the case that in an BC both 3CC and 2CC DC intra-band contiguous block are supported, and we prefer to use one mechanism to report the mixed case.**Proposal 6: the Rel-17 DC location report mechanism should be used if a configured CA contains at least one UL intra-band CA block with more than 2 CCs, and in this case the report mechanism applies to all the intra-band CA blocks in the BC including 2 CCs UL intra-band CA blocks in this CA to avoid reporting Rel-16 DC location at the same time.** |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

# Conclusion
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