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# 1 Introduction

This is the summary of the following email discussion.

* [AT118-e][041][NR17] FR2 UL gap (Apple)

 Scope: Treat R2-2205666, R2-2204507, R2-2205659, R2-2205667, R2-2205392

 Ph1 Determine agreeable parts, Ph2 agree CRs

 Intended outcome: Report, Agreed CRs

 Deadline: Schedule 1

# 2 Discussion

## 2.1 On RAN4 LS in R2-2204507

Rapporteur thinks this LS can be just noted as RAN4 has no questions to RAN2.

**Question 1: Do companies agree to note the RAN4 LS R2-2204507?**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Yes / No** | **Comments** |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

## 2.2 RIL(s) and Class 0 issues (R2-2205666, R2-2205667)

The conclusion from R2-2205666 is on FR2 UL gap RIL(s) and Class 0 issues is copied below:

**Proposal 1: Agree the following RIL(s) and Class 0 issues and reflect them in rapporteur CR.**

**- RIL: Z151, Z152, A803, A804, A807, A808**

**- Class 0 issues: 155, 156, 193, 425**

**Question 2: Do companies agree the proposal 1 in [1]?**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Yes / No** | **Comments** |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

**Question 3: Do companies have comments on the CR R2-2205667 to address the RIL(s) and Class 0 issues?**

It is also fine to add the comments into the CR.

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Comments** |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |

## 2.3 MAC CR in R2-2205659

The reason for change is copied below:

|  |
| --- |
| RAN4 agreed with the following UE behavior in FR2 UL gap in R4-2206511.*During UL gaps, except for the signals used for random access procedure according to TS 38.321, CG-PUSCH (type 1 and 2) and PUCCH allocations for SR and LRR [and for the signals used for other RAN4 agreed procedures], UE is not required to conduct transmission to the corresponding NR serving cells in FR2 single CC, intra-band CA. For inter-band FR2-FR2 CA/DC, UE may or may not be required to conduct transmission to the corresponding NR serving cells based on UE capability whether UL transmission within a gap is feasible.* Note that CR 1191 (R2-2204231) already captured that RACH procedure is prioritized during FR2 UL gap. |

The summary of change:

|  |
| --- |
| Capture that during FR2 UL gap, if UE does not support UL Tx transmission, UE should not perform certain actions. CG-PUSCH, PUCCH for SR and LRR are the exceptions. |

**Question 4: Do companies have comments on R2-2205659?**

**It is also fine to add comments to the CR.**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Comments on the change** |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |

## 2.4 Discussions on release of UAI preference in R2-2205392

The discussion in R2-2205392 is not limited to FR2 UL gap, but a rather generic one on UAI message.

|  |
| --- |
| **Observation 1:** There is some ambiguity with the release of FR2 UL gap preference indication in the UAI.**Observation 2:** The issue with the release of UAI preference indications exist for several UAI fields.And proposed the following:**Proposal 1:** Add NOTE to 5.7.4.3 indicating the following: "When UE includes an indication that requires not including certain fields, UE is still required to include the parent field to ensure network comprehends the message as requesting release of a previously indicated configuration." |

**Question 5: Do companies agree with Proposal 1 in R2-2205392 to add a note.**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Yes / No** | **Comments on the Note in Proposal 1** |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

# 3 Conclusions

**[TBA]**
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