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# 1 Introduction

This document is the report of the following email discussion:

n77 for Canada

offline, CB online W2 if needed

* [AT118-e][039][NR17] n77 Canada (Nokia)

Scope: Treat [R2-2204459](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/TSG_RAN/WG2_RL2/TSGR2_118-e/Docs/R2-2204459.zip), [R2-2205393](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/TSG_RAN/WG2_RL2/TSGR2_118-e/Docs/R2-2205393.zip), [R2-2205394](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/TSG_RAN/WG2_RL2/TSGR2_118-e/Docs/R2-2205394.zip), [R2-2205395](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/TSG_RAN/WG2_RL2/TSGR2_118-e/Docs/R2-2205395.zip), [R2-2205396](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/TSG_RAN/WG2_RL2/TSGR2_118-e/Docs/R2-2205396.zip), [R2-2205450](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/TSG_RAN/WG2_RL2/TSGR2_118-e/Docs/R2-2205450.zip), Ph1 Determine agreeable parts, Ph2 agree CRs

Intended outcome: Report, Agreed CRs

Deadline: Schedule 1

[R2-2204459](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/TSG_RAN/WG2_RL2/TSGR2_118-e/Docs/R2-2204459.zip) LS On Canada band n77 (R4-2206568; contact: Telus) RAN4 LS in Rel-17 To:RAN2 Cc:RAN

[R2-2205393](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/TSG_RAN/WG2_RL2/TSGR2_118-e/Docs/R2-2205393.zip) Distinguishing support of band n77 restrictions in Canada Nokia, Nokia Shanghai Bell, Ericsson, Huawei, Telus, Bell Canada CR Rel-17 36.306 17.0.0 1847 - C TEI17

[R2-2205394](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/TSG_RAN/WG2_RL2/TSGR2_118-e/Docs/R2-2205394.zip) Distinguishing support of band n77 restrictions in Canada Nokia, Nokia Shanghai Bell, Ericsson, Huawei, Telus, Bell Canada CR Rel-17 36.331 17.0.0 4799 - C TEI17

[R2-2205395](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/TSG_RAN/WG2_RL2/TSGR2_118-e/Docs/R2-2205395.zip) Distinguishing support of band n77 restrictions in Canada Nokia, Nokia Shanghai Bell, Ericsson, Huawei, Telus, Bell Canada CR Rel-17 38.306 17.0.0 0714 - C TEI17

[R2-2205396](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/TSG_RAN/WG2_RL2/TSGR2_118-e/Docs/R2-2205396.zip) Distinguishing support of band n77 restrictions in Canada Nokia, Nokia Shanghai Bell, Ericsson, Huawei, Telus, Bell Canada CR Rel-17 38.331 17.0.0 3078 - C TEI17

[R2-2205450](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/TSG_RAN/WG2_RL2/TSGR2_118-e/Docs/R2-2205450.zip) Discussion on n77 issues Xiaomi Communications discussion Rel-17 TEI17

# 2 Contact Points

Respondents to the email discussion are kindly asked to fill in the following table.

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Company | Name | Email Address |
| Nokia (Rapporteur) | Tero Henttonen | tero.henttonen@nokia.com |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

# 3 Discussion

This discussion was triggered by the document [R2-2204459](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/TSG_RAN/WG2_RL2/TSGR2_118-e/Docs/R2-2204459.zip), requesting to provide similar signallling for the band n77 in Canada as was provided earlier for band n77 in the US. This was also discusssed in RAN#95e with the following decisions (with yellow highlighting showing the decisions impacting current RAN2 meeting and cyan highlighting showing how RAN plans to progress with the general issue):

|  |
| --- |
| **RP-221008** **Moderator's summary for discussion [95e-39-R17-TEIs]** ***RAN4 Chair (Huawei)***  Replaces  RP-220899  conclusion: proposals #1, #2, #3 of RP-221008 are endorsed    Solution specific to Canada n77 band:  Proposal #1: RAN tasks RAN4 and RAN2 to finalize the work to address Canada n77 issue based on RP-220038 in TEI17 and provide CRs for approval in RAN#96.    n77-like issues:  Proposal #2: It is recommended to have a two-quarter RAN-level SI to systematically study the regulatory compliance issues for regional frequency ranges on large global bands  - Investigate and identify the root cause of this issue as the first step  - If needed (pending outcome of the bullet above), provide a general solution for regulatory compliance issues for regional frequency ranges on large global bands considering  - Introduction of new bands  - Solutions without introduction of new bands, i.e., reusing the existing band numbers with appropriate signaling to differentiate UE support  - The UE should be ensured to support the full frequency range on its supported bands, and the fragmentation of market should be avoided  NOTE: The SI is expected to be submitted in RAN#96    Extension of switching to multiple TAG for UL CA:  Proposal #3: To support Tx switching with multiple TAG on 2 bands, it is proposed to add the following note in Rel-18 WI on multi-carrier enhancements in RAN#96, and consider release independence for the switching band pairs  Note: Extension of TX switching for 2 bands to multiple TAG configurations is included in the scope. The work is limited to RAN4. |

The documents [R2-2205393](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/TSG_RAN/WG2_RL2/TSGR2_118-e/Docs/R2-2205393.zip), [R2-2205394](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/TSG_RAN/WG2_RL2/TSGR2_118-e/Docs/R2-2205394.zip), [R2-2205395](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/TSG_RAN/WG2_RL2/TSGR2_118-e/Docs/R2-2205395.zip), [R2-2205396](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/TSG_RAN/WG2_RL2/TSGR2_118-e/Docs/R2-2205396.zip) take a very concrete approach to this by having the exact same approach for CRs as was done for the US (with slight differences in the cover page), i.e. one capability bit and a new NS-value. In contrast, the proposals in [R2-2205450](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/TSG_RAN/WG2_RL2/TSGR2_118-e/Docs/R2-2205450.zip) propose more than one capability bit (e.g. two bits or a bitmap) and some UAC enhancements for cell barring, which seems to be related to the SI that . To start with, it seems the question is whether anything else than what was done for US is needed, after which it's easier to progress with the CR details.

### Phase 1: CR approach and inter-operability issues

**Question 1**: Which approach to do in RAN2#118e: Alt.1) The US-like approach (as per [R2-2205393](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/TSG_RAN/WG2_RL2/TSGR2_118-e/Docs/R2-2205393.zip), [R2-2205394](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/TSG_RAN/WG2_RL2/TSGR2_118-e/Docs/R2-2205394.zip), [R2-2205395](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/TSG_RAN/WG2_RL2/TSGR2_118-e/Docs/R2-2205395.zip), [R2-2205396](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/TSG_RAN/WG2_RL2/TSGR2_118-e/Docs/R2-2205396.zip)) or Alt.2) more extensive approach (as per [R2-2205450](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/TSG_RAN/WG2_RL2/TSGR2_118-e/Docs/R2-2205450.zip))?

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Answers to Question 1 | | |
| Company | Alt.1/Alt.2 | Technical Arguments |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

**Summary 1**: TBD.

**Proposal 1**: TBD.

Whichever approach is selected, CRs are needed. As the CRs in [R2-2205393](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/TSG_RAN/WG2_RL2/TSGR2_118-e/Docs/R2-2205393.zip), [R2-2205394](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/TSG_RAN/WG2_RL2/TSGR2_118-e/Docs/R2-2205394.zip), [R2-2205395](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/TSG_RAN/WG2_RL2/TSGR2_118-e/Docs/R2-2205395.zip), [R2-2205396](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/TSG_RAN/WG2_RL2/TSGR2_118-e/Docs/R2-2205396.zip) likely cover all the impacted specifications, moderator would propose to start discusssing the exact contents of those already in the first phase to better converge on how to write the cover page an inter-operability impacts: Since the NOTE 12 in 38.101-1 doesn't apply for band n77 in Canada, the situation is slightly different than for US, but in practice moderator assumes much the same assumptions apply. Therefore, whichever solution is adopted, it's good to discuss what the cover page inter-operability statement should say for these CRs.

**Question 2**: Are there any inter-operability issues for UEs supporting band n77 from these RAN2 CRs? If so, what should be written to the cover page?

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Answers to Question 2 | | |
| Company | Yes/No | Technical Arguments |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

**Summary 2**: TBD.

**Proposal 2**: TBD.

### Phase 2: CR details

Based on Phase 1, (TBA)

**Question 3**: TBA

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Answers to Question 3 | | |
| Company | Yes/No | Technical Arguments |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

**Summary 3**: TBD.

**Proposal 3**: TBD.

**Question 4**: TBA

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Answers to Question 4 | | |
| Company | Yes/No | Technical Arguments |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

**Summary 4**: TBD.

**Proposal 4**: TBD.

# 4 Conclusion

TBD.