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# 1 Introduction

The scope of this paper is as follows:

**\* [AT117-e][108][NTN] CHO open issues (Nokia)**

Initial scope: Discuss open issues for CHO based on company contributions in AI 8.10.3.2.1

Initial intended outcome: Summary of the offline discussion with e.g.:

§  List of proposals for agreement (if any)

§  List of proposals that require online discussions

§  List of proposals that should not be pursued (if any)

Initial deadline (for companies' feedback): Tuesday 2022-02-22 0800 UTC

Initial deadline (for rapporteur's summary in R2-2203536): Tuesday 2022-02-22 1000 UTC

The CHO details are handled in the following sections.

# 2 Discussion

This section is divided topic-wise, based on what has been contributed by the companies.

## 2.1 On simultaneous configuration of time-based and location-based CHO execution conditions

This aspect has been discussed for multiple meetings already, but apparently no formal and final decision was taken. Several papers submitted to RAN2#117 try to address this issue, e.g. [4][5][6]. Rapporteur thinks there may not be a solid use case which would justify such combination, in addition to the measurement events Ax. However, companies are asked to clarify whether they see a need for such joint time-based and location-based triggering. Please provide a use case where this would be especially desirable.

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Question 1: Do you support joint time-based and location-based CHO triggering? If yes, please provide a use case, where this would be particularly helpful.** | | |
| **Company** | **Answer** | **Comments** |
| Ericsson | no | **Can be further discussed in rel-18 if needed** |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

## 2.2 On the behavior at T2 if UE does not execute CHO

Another topic widely addressed in the papers to RAN2#117 concerns the UE behavior at T2, defining the end of the time window for CHO execution. In some papers (e.g. [5][10]) it is suggested those CHO configurations are released at T2, while in other papers ([1][7][8]) it is claimed those configurations can be kept and used for potential recovery. This area requires more clarity and at least the following issues should be resolved: is CHO Recovery supported for NTN UEs? What happens when the UE does not execute CHO at T2 (e.g. RLF?). What happens with the target cell’s CHO configurations at T2? Please answer those questions in the following tables.

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Question 2: What happens when the UE does not execute CHO at T2? Consider at least the following:**   1. **The UE encounters Radio Link Failure (RLF)** 2. **The UE continues the operation in the source cell/evaluates other possible CHO conditions** 3. **Other** | | |
| **Company** | **Answer** | **Comments** |
| Ericsson | Depends but option b is closest | **T2 is per candidate serving cell and not per UE in the time based CHO what we ended up agreeing. Afetr T2 UE should not consider that candidate target cell anymore.** |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

Irrespective of the answer to Q2, please share your view what happens with the CHO configurations at T2.

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Question 3: What happens with the CHO configuration at T2?** | | |
| **Company** | **Answer** | **Comments** |
| Ericsson | depends | **After last T2 UE should discard. After T2 of particular cell UE should not consider that cell as network would not reserve the resources after T2.** |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

And finally whether the NTN UE, supporting CHO, can be configured with CHO Recovery? If it can, then perhaps it makes sense not to delete the CHO configurations even at T2.

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Question 4: Can the NTN UE supporting CHO, be configured with CHO Recovery? Please share the details how this may work beyond time T2.** | | |
| **Company** | **Answer** | **Comments** |
| Ericsson | Yes, but see comments. | If T2 has not expired, the UE should be able to re-use the CHO configuration in a re-establishment procedure in case of RLF in the source cell or in the target cell (provided the UE has been configured with the *attemptCondReconfig*). |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

## 2.3 On evaluating the CHO conditions in NTN

Few papers (e.g. [3][14]) also discuss the UE’s behavior concerning the time/location-based triggering and events Ax. I.e. whether the UE shall evaluate them only within the time window [T1, T2] or when the location condition is met? Or should it be left up to the UE implementation?

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Question 5: What is the expected UE behavior when it is configured with time- or location-based condition jointly with the RRM event Ax:**   1. **UE is required to evaluate event Ax only when the time-based or location-based condition is met** 2. **It is up to the UE implementation as long as it has RRM measurements within the time window [T1, T2] or when the location condition is met** | | |
| **Company** | **Answer** | **Comments** |
| Ericsson | b |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

## 2.4 On the duration and granularity of T2

Some remaining Stage-3 details need to be resolved as well. One of them concerns the duration and granularity of T2. It has been decided that T1 is expressed as an absolute time value, while the T2 is a timer, started at T1. T2 should be long enough so that it covers large NTN footprints and allows the UE to be configured early. On the other hand, the rapporteur believes it does not have to be extremely accurate and the granularity of 10 or even 100 ms could be sufficient. Please share your opinion.

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Question 6: What is the preferred duration and granularity of timer T2?** | | |
| **Company** | **Answer** | **Comments** |
| Ericsson | The duration field should not only cover the “overlap time” between the old and the new candidate target cell, but also, to some extent, the visibility time of a neighbour cell as candidate target cell | **Would be good to get satellite companies views on exact values** |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

## 2.5 On the number of MeasIDs for the CHO

E.g. in [4][12] it is discussed whether the number of MeasIDs to be used for CHO execution triggering shall be increased. [4] proposes to extend it to 3, while [12] states it is acceptable to keep the existing limit. Please share your view on the maximum number of configurable MeasIDs in NTN CHO.

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Question 7: What is the maximum value of MeasIDs for NTN CHO that should be supported in Rel-17?** | | |
| **Company** | **Answer** | **Comments** |
| Ericsson | 2 or 3 | **Support of 3 MeasIDs shall only be considered if a justified use case can be provided.** |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

## 2.6 Other

There are few other CHO-related proposals in the papers submitted to RAN2#117. E.g. [1][2] elaborate on the benefits of preparing multiple CHO candidates in advance and storing those CHO commands. Please kindly respond what other important aspects need to be addressed in Rel-17 NTN WI.

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Question 8: What other important CHO issues need to be discussed and decided in Rel-17 NTN? E.g.**   1. **Storing CHO commands for future candidate cells** 2. **Other** | | |
| **Company** | **Answer** | **Comments** |
| Ericsson | unclear | CHO command may have 8 candidate target cells. What is meant by the proposal a? is this related to what should be done after respective T2 or last T2?  Additionally:  The proposal referred to in option a) has already been discussed in RAN2 with the conclusion not to proceed in present release.  The idea as such is interesting, but it should not be addressed in Rel-17. |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

# 3 Conclusion

The following proposals have been made in this document:

**Proposals for agreement:**

**Proposal y:**

**Proposals for discussion:**

**Proposal x**
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