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# 1. Introduction

This document attempts to summarize the following offline discussion.

* [AT116-e][025][NR17] UL TX Switching & 100M BW (Huawei)

Scope: Treat R2-2111059, R2-2111060, R2-2111061, R2-2110424, R2-2110974

Determine agreeable parts, Identify discussion points for online (if needed).

Intended outcome: Ph1 Report, Ph2 if applicable: endorsed CRs.

Deadline: Friday W1 (CB online if needed)

Rapporteur suggests companies to provide comments before Thursday W1 UTC 10:00, so that the agreeable part/possible way forwards can be summarized before on-line CB Friday W1.

# 2. Contact info

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Company Name | Contact Person | Email Address |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

# 3. Phase 1 discussion

## 3.1 UL Tx switching

In previous RAN2 meetings, the UE capability reporting has been discussed and according to RAN2 agreements and common understanding, the running CRs to TS 38.331 and TS 38.306 on UE capability reporting were endorsed in R2-2109225 and R2-2109226 in RAN2 #115 meeting. However, RAN2 did not go deep into the RRC configuration part as some related aspects were under-discussion in RAN1.

In R2-2111059 explained that in RAN1 Oct meeting RAN1 made some progress related to the RRC configuration, i.e.:

* RAN1 agreed to introduce a new RRC parameter to configure switching state for inter-band CA 2T-2T switching option2.
* RAN1 has not achieved conclusion on how to enable UE/NW have aligned understanding on which switching mode (i.e. 1T-2T switching or 2T-2T switching) to be used, e.g. via new RRC configuration or existing RRC parameters.

Then R2-2111059 propose to capture the new RRC parameter into the RRC running CR. With regard to 2T-2T switching, R2-2111059 propose to continue waiting for RAN1 conclusion.

Meanwhile, for 1T-2T switching with 2CCs configured in Band B, as RAN1 agreed the existing Rel-16 1T-2T switching mechanism is reused, R2-2111059 propose RAN2 start to discuss this case and propose to reuse the existing Rel-16 parameters to indicate the switching period location and carrier role.

Companies are welcome to give comments on the 4 proposes within R2-2111059.

Proposal 1: RAN2 to capture the RRC parameter to configure the state of Tx chains for UL-CA option2 in case of 2Tx-2Tx switching.

Proposal 2: RAN2 to wait for RAN1 further progress on whether to reuse existing RRC parameter or introduce a new RRC parameter for UE differentiation 1Tx-2Tx switching and 2Tx-2Tx switching.

Proposal 3: To configure 2CCs on band B for 1Tx-2Tx switching, among the 3 uplinks configured with UplinkTxSwitching, the field uplinkTxSwitchingPeriodLocation is configured to either the uplink on band A or both uplinks on band B (i.e. the band capable of 2Tx).

Proposal 4: To configure 2CCs on band B for 1Tx-2Tx switching, among the 3 uplinks configured with UplinkTxSwitching, the field uplinkTxSwitchingCarrier is set as carrier1 for the uplink on band A, while the field uplinkTxSwitchingCarrier is set as carrier2 for the both uplinks on band B (i.e. the band capable of 2Tx).

**Q1-1: Do companies agree P1 within R2-2111059 as it is: RAN2 to capture the RRC parameter to configure the state of Tx chains for UL-CA option2 in case of 2Tx-2Tx switching?**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Company | Yes/No | Comments |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

**Q1-2: Do companies agree P2 within R2-2111059 as it is: RAN2 to wait for RAN1 further progress on whether to reuse existing RRC parameter or introduce a new RRC parameter for UE differentiation 1Tx-2Tx switching and 2Tx-2Tx switching?**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Company | Yes/No | Comments |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

**Q1-3: Do companies agree P3 within R2-2111059 as it is: To configure 2CCs on band B for 1Tx-2Tx switching, among the 3 uplinks configured with UplinkTxSwitching, the field uplinkTxSwitchingPeriodLocation is configured to either the uplink on band A or both uplinks on band B (i.e. the band capable of 2Tx)?**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Company | Yes/No | Comments |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

**Q1-4: Do companies agree P4 within R2-2111059 as it is: To configure 2CCs on band B for 1Tx-2Tx switching, among the 3 uplinks configured with UplinkTxSwitching, the field uplinkTxSwitchingCarrier is set as carrier1 for the uplink on band A, while the field uplinkTxSwitchingCarrier is set as carrier2 for the both uplinks on band B (i.e. the band capable of 2Tx)?**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Company | Yes/No | Comments |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

Moderator understand the R2-2111061 and R2-2110424 are resubmissions of the endorsed running CR ported on the latest version of TS 38.331 and TS 38.306. If companies have any concerns on either contribution, please comment in below table.

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Company | Comments |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |

## 3.2 100M BW

In RAN2#115 meeting, the newly introduced capability for 100M bandwidth for band n40 was discussed and the CRs for Rel-15/Rel-16 were agreed. However, how to handle the capability for 100M bandwidth in Rel-17 is not clear and postponed.

Regarding the two available options on table, R2-2110974 propose to support a consistent handling of the capability bit for 100MHz as Rel-15/Rel-16 for Rel-17, i.e. option2.

* Option 1: The UE shall set it to be 1 if 100MHz bandwidth is mandatory according to TS 38.101-1. (The 100MHz includes the existing 100MHz for bands n41, n48, n77, n78, n79 and n90 and introduced new 100MHz). **It means, the handling of the capability bit for 100MHz is different between Rel-15/Rel-16 and Rel-17.**
* Option 2: The UE shall set it to be 1 if 100MHz bandwidth is required to be mandatory from or after TS 38.101-1 v17.2.0. (The 100MHz only includes introduced new 100MHz). **It means, the handling of the capability bit for 100MHz is the same between Rel-15/Rel-16 and Rel-17.**

**Q2-1: Do companies agree P1 within R2-2110974 as it is: support a consistent handling of the capability bit for 100MHz as Rel-15/Rel-16 for Rel-17?**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Company | Yes/No | Comments |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

## 3.3 Any others issues?

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Company | Comments |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |

# 4. Phase 2 discussion

Based on Ph1 discussion, could discuss if any CR is needed.

…

# 5. Conclusion

[To be updated]
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