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# 1 Introduction

This document is to kick off the following email discussion:

* [AT114-e][614][POS] Remaining issues on LPP (Ericsson)

Scope: Discuss P1, P2, and P3 of R2-2106465. For P5, determine if UE behaviour for handling of expected RSTD in the broadcast case should be captured.

Intended outcome: Agreed CRs and report in R2-2106584

Deadline: Thursday 2021-05-27 0000 UTC

The below papers are part of this email discussion

R2-2104842 37.355 Draft CR on timestamp reference in NR positioning measurement report vivo draftCR Rel-16 37.355 16.4.0 NR\_pos-Core

R2-2105054 Correction to PRS-only TP Huawei, HiSilicon CR Rel-16 37.355 16.4.0 0305 - F NR\_pos-Core

R2-2105056 Correction to NR-ARFCN of the TRP Huawei, HiSilicon CR Rel-16 37.355 16.4.0 0306 - F NR\_pos-Core

R2-2105963 Correction of Expected RSTD to reflect Optional Presence for Broadcast Ericsson, Fraunhofer IIS, Fraunhofer HHI CR Rel-16 37.355 16.4.0 0308 - F NR\_pos-Core

To recap; the Proposals that were discussed during online and part of this email discussion are:

Proposal 1 RAN2 to agree to convert draft CR R2-2104842 to normal CR and clarify that the timestamp in measurement reporting is associated with *nr-DL-PRS-ReferenceInfo*.

Proposal 2 RAN2 to discuss CR R2-2105054 and decide if PRS-only TP applicability explicitly needs to be clarified in LPP specification.

Proposal 3 RAN2 to discuss if update of field description of nr-ARFCN and corresponding reference is needed or not.

Proposal 5 RAN2 to discuss whether expectedRSTD and expectedRSTD-Uncertainty is OPTIONAL for broadcast and NBC change is to be done or not.

# 2 Contact Information

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Company | Contact: Name (E-mail) |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |

# 3 Discussion

## 3.1 on timestamp reference in NR positioning measurement report

Based upon online discussion; the change is suggested as below.

***nr-TimeStamp***

This field specifies the time instance at which the TOA and DL PRS-RSRP (if included) measurement is performed and the time instance is derived corresponding to *nr-DL-PRS-ReferenceInfo*. Note, the TOA measurement refers to the TOA of this neighbour TRP or the reference TRP, as applicable, used to determine the *nr-RSTD* or *nr-RSTD-ResultDiff.*

**Input#1 Required for**: Please provide comments below on the suggested change.

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Company | Change is fine Yes/No | Comments |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

**Summary 1**:

## 3.2 Correction to PRS-only TP

As discussed online, TS 38.305 states:

**PRS-only TP**: A TP which only transmits PRS signals and is not associated with a cell.

Hence, it is clear that PRS-Only TP will not have any attributes that is associated with a cell such as PCI, CGI, ARFCN.

Further, if any specific classification is needed to flag PRS-Only-TP; an ASN.1 change as below would be needed

prs-only-tp-v16xy ENUMERATED { true } OPTIONAL

The current structure in LPP already allows Optionality for PCI. CGI, ARFCN. This would indicate that the TRP is not associated to any cell.

NR-DL-PRS-AssistanceDataPerTRP-r16 ::= SEQUENCE {

dl-PRS-ID-r16 INTEGER (0..255),

nr-PhysCellID-r16 NR-PhysCellID-r16 OPTIONAL, -- Need ON

nr-CellGlobalID-r16 NCGI-r15 OPTIONAL, -- Need ON

nr-ARFCN-r16 ARFCN-ValueNR-r15 OPTIONAL, -- Need ON

nr-DL-PRS-SFN0-Offset-r16 NR-DL-PRS-SFN0-Offset-r16,

nr-DL-PRS-ExpectedRSTD-r16 INTEGER (-3841..3841),

nr-DL-PRS-ExpectedRSTD-Uncertainty-r16

INTEGER (0..246),

nr-DL-PRS-Info-r16 NR-DL-PRS-Info-r16,

...

}

Hence it is already possible to have an implicit PRS-Only TP to be indicated. RAN2 need to decide if any explicit indication is needed.

**Input#2 Required for**: Companies are requested to provide their input if any explicit changes are needed to designate PRS-Only TP. If yes, please provide details.

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Company | Change is required Yes/No | Comments |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

**Summary 2**:

## 3.3 Correction to NR-ARFCN of the TRP

As discussed online, this field NR-ARFCN should be the frequency of the CD-SSB which is indicated in 38.300.

Further; for PRS-Only TP; the definition of PRS-Only TP says that there is no association of cell and hence it is clear NR-ARFCN is not applicable. No further clarification as such is required for this.

Potentially a clarification as below can be added for nr-ARFCN.

***nr-ARFCN***

This field specifies the frequencyof the CD-SSB as indicatedin TS 38.300 [xx].

**Input#3 Required for**: In light of above, companies are requested to provide their input if any change as above is needed or not OR pls provide the necessary changes in the comments

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Company | Change is fine Yes/No | Comments |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

**Summary 3**:

## 3.4 ExpectedRSTD for Broadcast

ExpectedRSTD is defined as below.

***nr-DL-PRS-ExpectedRSTD***

*This field indicates the RSTD value that the target device is expected to measure between this TRP and the assistance data reference TRP. The nr-DL-PRS-ExpectedRSTD field takes into account the expected propagation time difference as well as transmit time difference of PRS positioning occasions between the two TRPs. The resolution is 4×Ts, with Ts=1/(15000\*2048) seconds*

The view expressed online by the proponent companies was that propagation time difference is based upon pathloss measurements or indicated by RSRP measurements. This would depend upon cell size and where the UE is located in a cell. This part is UE specific rather than cell specific.

Hence, for broadcast; it should be clarified that

***nr-DL-PRS-ExpectedRSTD***

*This field indicates the RSTD value that the target device is expected to measure between this TRP and the assistance data reference TRP. The nr-DL-PRS-ExpectedRSTD field takes into account the expected propagation time difference as well as transmit time difference of PRS positioning occasions between the two TRPs. The resolution is 4×Ts, with Ts=1/(15000\*2048) seconds. In case of broadcast, the nr-DL-PRS-ExpectedRSTD indicates only the time difference of PRS positioning occasions between the two TRPs.*

And a clarification that:

***nr-DL-PRS-ExpectedRSTD-Uncertainty***

*This field indicates the uncertainty in nr-DL-PRS-ExpectedRSTD value.**The uncertainty is related to the location server′s a‑priori estimate of the target device location. The nr-DL-PRS-ExpectedRSTD and nr-DL-PRS-ExpectedRSTD-Uncertainty together define the search window for the target device. In case of broadcast, the nr-DL-PRS-ExpectedRSTD-Uncertainty is expected to be high. UE may also consider IE NR-RTD-Info, if available, to determine the search window.*

Comment1/Note1: NR-RTD-Info may have more frequent broadcast than the DL-PRS-AD containing expectedRSTD

Comment2/Note2: Of-course once the change is agreed for NR; the corresponding any applicable change can also be done for LTE OTDOA.

**Input#4 Required for**: In light of above, companies are requested to provide their input if they see the above change is justifiable and provide their feedback.

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Company | Change is fine Yes/No | Comments |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

**Summary 4**:

# 4 Conclusion

TBD