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# Introduction

This paper addresses the following email discussion:

* [AT114-e][019][NR16] BAP (Ericsson)

 Scope: Treat R2-2105357, R2-2105875, R2-2106027, R2-2106028, R2-2106218, R2-2106219

 Phase 1, determine agreeable parts, Phase 2, for agreeable parts Work on CRs.

 Intended outcome: Report and Agreed CRs.

 Deadline: Schedule A

[R2-2105357](file:///D%3A%5CDocuments%5C3GPP%5Ctsg_ran%5CWG2%5CTSGR2_114-e%5CDocs%5CR2-2105357.zip) Corrections on BAP Control PDU operations vivo CR Rel-16 38.340 16.4.0 0016 - F NR\_IAB-Core

[R2-2105875](file:///D%3A%5CDocuments%5C3GPP%5Ctsg_ran%5CWG2%5CTSGR2_114-e%5CDocs%5CR2-2105875.zip) Handling of erroneous data at BAP layer Samsung Electronics GmbH CR Rel-16 38.340 16.4.0 0017 - F NR\_IAB-Core

[R2-2106027](file:///D%3A%5CDocuments%5C3GPP%5Ctsg_ran%5CWG2%5CTSGR2_114-e%5CDocs%5CR2-2106027.zip) Corrections to the handling of unknown, unforeseen, and erroneous protocol data Ericsson, AT&T CR Rel-16 38.340 16.4.0 0018 - F NR\_IAB-Core

[R2-2106028](file:///D%3A%5CDocuments%5C3GPP%5Ctsg_ran%5CWG2%5CTSGR2_114-e%5CDocs%5CR2-2106028.zip) Handling of Unknown and Reserved Values in the BAP Header Ericsson, AT&T discussion NR\_IAB-Core

[R2-2106218](file:///D%3A%5CDocuments%5C3GPP%5Ctsg_ran%5CWG2%5CTSGR2_114-e%5CDocs%5CR2-2106218.zip) Correction on BAP handling for the hybrid release IAB deployment Huawei (Rapporteur), HiSilicon CR Rel-16 38.340 16.4.0 0019 - F NR\_IAB-Core

[R2-2106219](file:///D%3A%5CDocuments%5C3GPP%5Ctsg_ran%5CWG2%5CTSGR2_114-e%5CDocs%5CR2-2106219.zip) Discussion on extension principles for mixed deployment of IAB node in different releases Huawei, HiSilicon discussion Rel-16 NR\_IAB-Core

Deadline for comments is Friday May 21 1000 UTC

# Summary of AI 6.1.3.5 - BAP

## [R2-2105357](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/tsg_ran/WG2_RL2/TSGR2_114-e/Docs/R2-2105357.zip) - [Corrections on BAP Control PDU operations](https://ericsson.sharepoint.com/R2-2105357.zip)

The CR includes the following change proposals:

1. Add indication of detected BH RLF recovery failure to upper layers in Section 4.3.1
2. Clarifications to the flow control feedbacks:
	1. “Construct a BAP Control PDU for flow control feedback per ingress BH RLC channel, if configured by RRC”
	2. construct a BAP Control PDU for flow control feedback per BAP routing ID as configured in downstream, if configured by RRC, in accordance with clause 6.2.3;
3. Add action for the receiving part of the IAB-MT to inform the collocated IAB-DU to construct a BAP Control PDU for BH RLF indication, in case the IAB-node has no alternative path available to IAB-donor-CU

Rapporteur´s view: Related to the change 3 above, Rapporteur observes that according to TS 38.300 (Section 9.2.7), the BH RLF indication is triggered in case the RRC reestablishment procedure fails. So it is not clear why the BAP layer of the IAB-MT should inform the collocated IAB-DU, given that the reestablishment procedure is handled at RRC layer.

* **Q1: Which of the above changes in** [**R2-2105357**](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/tsg_ran/WG2_RL2/TSGR2_114-e/Docs/R2-2105357.zip) **are agreeable?**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Changes (1/2/3)** | **Detailed Comments** |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

## Handling of Unknown and Reserved Values in the BAP Header

In this section the following contributions are discussed:

* [R2-2105875](file:///D%3A%5CDocuments%5C3GPP%5Ctsg_ran%5CWG2%5CTSGR2_114-e%5CDocs%5CR2-2105875.zip) Handling of erroneous data at BAP layer Samsung Electronics GmbH CR Rel-16 38.340 16.4.0 0017 - F NR\_IAB-Core
* [R2-2106027](file:///D%3A%5CDocuments%5C3GPP%5Ctsg_ran%5CWG2%5CTSGR2_114-e%5CDocs%5CR2-2106027.zip) Corrections to the handling of unknown, unforeseen, and erroneous protocol data Ericsson, AT&T CR Rel-16 38.340 16.4.0 0018 - F NR\_IAB-Core
* [R2-2106028](file:///D%3A%5CDocuments%5C3GPP%5Ctsg_ran%5CWG2%5CTSGR2_114-e%5CDocs%5CR2-2106028.zip) Handling of Unknown and Reserved Values in the BAP Header Ericsson, AT&T discussion NR\_IAB-Core
* [R2-2106218](file:///D%3A%5CDocuments%5C3GPP%5Ctsg_ran%5CWG2%5CTSGR2_114-e%5CDocs%5CR2-2106218.zip) Correction on BAP handling for the hybrid release IAB deployment Huawei (Rapporteur), HiSilicon CR Rel-16 38.340 16.4.0 0019 - F NR\_IAB-Core
* [R2-2106219](file:///D%3A%5CDocuments%5C3GPP%5Ctsg_ran%5CWG2%5CTSGR2_114-e%5CDocs%5CR2-2106219.zip) Discussion on extension principles for mixed deployment of IAB node in different releases Huawei, HiSilicon discussion Rel-16 NR\_IAB-Core

Related to this topic, the following agreements were reached in RAN2#113-e:



The papers above are concerned with the fact that the current way of handling the unkown and reserved values in the BAP header might not be future-proof. In fact, according to the current BAP specification, the IAB node discards a received BAP PDU if that contains reserved or invalid values. Hence, if for example RAN2 decides in a future release to enhance the BAP header to support new features, and if a BAP PDU with such enhanced BAP header is received by any Rel.16 IAB node, such BAP PDU would be discarded, because the new BAP header will be interpreted by the Rel.16 IAB node as containing reserved or invalid values. This would obviously make the coexistence between Rel.16 IAB nodes and IAB nodes of future releases difficult.

The CRs [R2-2105875](file:///D%3A%5CDocuments%5C3GPP%5Ctsg_ran%5CWG2%5CTSGR2_114-e%5CDocs%5CR2-2105875.zip), [R2-2106027](file:///D%3A%5CDocuments%5C3GPP%5Ctsg_ran%5CWG2%5CTSGR2_114-e%5CDocs%5CR2-2106027.zip), [R2-2106218](file:///D%3A%5CDocuments%5C3GPP%5Ctsg_ran%5CWG2%5CTSGR2_114-e%5CDocs%5CR2-2106218.zip) (and related contributions [R2-2106027](file:///D%3A%5CDocuments%5C3GPP%5Ctsg_ran%5CWG2%5CTSGR2_114-e%5CDocs%5CR2-2106027.zip), [R2-2106219](file:///D%3A%5CDocuments%5C3GPP%5Ctsg_ran%5CWG2%5CTSGR2_114-e%5CDocs%5CR2-2106219.zip)), propose to address this problem in Rel.16 BAP specification.

However, before discussing the Rel.16 CRs, companies are asked to provide their views on whether this issue should be fixed in Rel.16 BAP specification or not.

* **Q2: Do you believe that is beneficial to address in Rel.16 BAP specification the issue described in contributions R2-2105875, R2-2106027, R2-2106028, R2-2106218, R2-2106219?**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Yes/No** | **Detailed Comments** |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

If the answer to Q2 is **“Yes”**, companies are asked to provide their views on which CR, among the following submitted CRs, should be used as baseline:

1. [R2-2105875](file:///D%3A%5CDocuments%5C3GPP%5Ctsg_ran%5CWG2%5CTSGR2_114-e%5CDocs%5CR2-2105875.zip) Handling of erroneous data at BAP layer Samsung Electronics GmbH CR Rel-16 38.340 16.4.0 0017 - F NR\_IAB-Core
2. [R2-2106027](file:///D%3A%5CDocuments%5C3GPP%5Ctsg_ran%5CWG2%5CTSGR2_114-e%5CDocs%5CR2-2106027.zip) Corrections to the handling of unknown, unforeseen, and erroneous protocol data Ericsson, AT&T CR Rel-16 38.340 16.4.0 0018 - F NR\_IAB-Core
3. [R2-2106218](file:///D%3A%5CDocuments%5C3GPP%5Ctsg_ran%5CWG2%5CTSGR2_114-e%5CDocs%5CR2-2106218.zip) Correction on BAP handling for the hybrid release IAB deployment Huawei (Rapporteur), HiSilicon CR Rel-16 38.340 16.4.0 0019 - F NR\_IAB-Core

Rapporteur notes that while the intention of [R2-2105875](file:///D%3A%5CDocuments%5C3GPP%5Ctsg_ran%5CWG2%5CTSGR2_114-e%5CDocs%5CR2-2105875.zip) and [R2-2106027](file:///D%3A%5CDocuments%5C3GPP%5Ctsg_ran%5CWG2%5CTSGR2_114-e%5CDocs%5CR2-2106027.zip) seems similar, i.e. both of them propose changes to the procedural text, the CR in [R2-2106218](file:///D%3A%5CDocuments%5C3GPP%5Ctsg_ran%5CWG2%5CTSGR2_114-e%5CDocs%5CR2-2106218.zip) proposes to add two notes (based on three different assumptions described in [R2-2106219](file:///D%3A%5CDocuments%5C3GPP%5Ctsg_ran%5CWG2%5CTSGR2_114-e%5CDocs%5CR2-2106219.zip)) which should explain the handling of a BAP PDU with a future release BAP header.

* **Q3: In case the answer to Q2 is “Yes”, which of the above submitted CRs should be used as baseline?**
	+ **If there is the need, companies are also invited to provide their views on possible changes to those submitted CRs.**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Baseline CR** | **Detailed Comments** |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

In case the answer to Q2 is **“No”**, discussion papers [R2-2106028](file:///D%3A%5CDocuments%5C3GPP%5Ctsg_ran%5CWG2%5CTSGR2_114-e%5CDocs%5CR2-2106028.zip) (see proposal P2a) and [R2-2106219](file:///D%3A%5CDocuments%5C3GPP%5Ctsg_ran%5CWG2%5CTSGR2_114-e%5CDocs%5CR2-2106219.zip) (see proposal P2) also propose a possible way forward to address this issue in future releases. In particular, it is proposed that the CU should ensure in a future release that a Rel.16 IAB node can receive a BAP PDU with a Rel-16 BAP header from an IAB node of a future release.

Rapporteur would like to note that even if this approach would avoid packet discarding at the Rel.16 IAB node, it would not allow a “new” IAB node to use a new feature if that affects the BAP header, and if there is an “old” Rel.16 IAB along the routing path which will receive such BAP header. Hence, this might be a limiting factor from a configuration/deployment perspective.

* **Q4: In case the answer to Q2 is “No”, do you agree with the proposals P2a in** [**R2-2106028**](file:///D%3A%5CDocuments%5C3GPP%5Ctsg_ran%5CWG2%5CTSGR2_114-e%5CDocs%5CR2-2106028.zip) **and P2 in** [**R2-2106219**](file:///D%3A%5CDocuments%5C3GPP%5Ctsg_ran%5CWG2%5CTSGR2_114-e%5CDocs%5CR2-2106219.zip)**, i.e. in a future release, the CU ensures that a Rel.16 IAB node can receive a BAP PDU with a Rel-16 BAP header from an IAB node of a future release.**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Yes/No** | **Detailed Comments** |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

# Conclusion

To be updated
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