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# Introduction

This documents aims at gathering and summarizing companies views for the following offline discussion:

|  |
| --- |
| * [AT114-e][004][NR15] Connection Control I (Huawei)   Scope: Treat R2-2105769 if needed (on-line first), R2-2106329 (on-line first), R2-2106330 (on-line first), R2-2106304, R2-2106305, R2-2105582, R2-2105583, R2-2105584, R2-2105946, R2-2105947, R2-2105948, R2-2105949, R2-2105649, R2-2105650, R2-2106192, R2-2106193,  Phase 1, determine agreeable parts, Phase 2, for agreeable parts Work on CRs.  Intended outcome: Report and Agreed CRs.  Deadline: Schedule A |

For convenience Schedule A is copied below:

**Schedule A** (a schedule for main session for many offline dicussion):

A first round with **Deadline for comments Friday May 21 1000 UTC** to settle scope what is agreeable etc (phase 1).

A pre-final round with **Deadline for any functional and/or scope comments Wednesday May 26 1200 UTC.** At this point, non-agreeable parts shall be removed/excluded. (phase 2)

A final round (last 24h) for checking and smaller simplification / removal comments only including agreeable parts, with Deadline **EOM** (at this point all outcome documents need to be available in inbox with tdoc numbers).

Additional check-points etc if needed are defined by the Rapporteur. Offline discussion rapporteur must notify chairman / session chair if on-line comeback discussion is needed, if discussion doesn’t converge etc.

The discussion covers the following documents from AI 5.4.1.1 Connection control:

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| R2-2105769 | Summary of [Post113bis-e][060][NR15] RLC bearer handling with Full Configuration | Ericsson, Mediatek Inc. | discussion |
| R2-2106329 | Clarification on RLC bearer handling in full configuration | MediaTek Inc., Qualcomm Incorporated, Ericsson, Nokia, Nokia Shanghai Bell, Vivo, Huawei, HiSilicon, ZTE Corporation, Apple | CR |
| R2-2106330 | Clarification on RLC bearer handling in full Configuration | MediaTek Inc., Qualcomm Incorporated, Ericsson, Nokia, Nokia Shanghai Bell, Vivo, Huawei, HiSilicon, ZTE Corporation, Apple | CR |
| R2-2106304 | RLC re-establishment upon full configuration | Huawei, HiSilicon | CR |
| R2-2106305 | RLC re-establishment upon full configuration | Huawei, HiSilicon | CR |
| R2-2105582 | Discussion on abortion of resume procedure | Huawei, HiSilicon | discussion |
| R2-2105583 | Clarification on the abortion of RRC connection resume | Huawei, HiSilicon | CR |
| R2-2105584 | Clarification on the abortion of RRC connection resume | Huawei, HiSilicon | CR |
| R2-2105946 | Abortion of RRC connection resume procedure by upper layers | Ericsson | CR |
| R2-2105947 | Abortion of RRC connection resume procedure by upper layers | Ericsson | CR |
| R2-2105948 | Abortion of RRC connection resume procedure by upper layers | Ericsson | CR |
| R2-2105949 | Abortion of RRC connection resume procedure by upper layers | Ericsson | CR |
| R2-2105649 | Clarification for an ongoing establishment and resume procedure | Ericsson | CR |
| R2-2105650 | Clarification for an ongoing establishment and resume procedure | Ericsson | CR |
| R2-2106192 | Clarification of initiation of RRC resume procedure | Huawei, HiSilicon | CR |
| R2-2106193 | Clarification of initiation of RRC resume procedure | Huawei, HiSilicon | CR |

# Company contact details

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Company | Name and e-mail |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |

# Discussion

## 3.1 RLC bearer handling upon full configuration

There are two different sets of CRs proposed for this topic:

1. R2-2106329 and R2-2106330 includes the correcitons towards full configuration procedure in section 5.3.5.11.
2. R2-2106304 and R2-2106305 make a clarification in the field description of *reestablishRLC*.

This topic was discussed during the first online session during RAN2#114 meeting and in general there was consensus that clarifications are beneficial/needed. At the time of preparing this document, the chairman notes from the session are not yet available, but it seems the the changes proposed in both sets of CRs are (almost) aligned with the conclusions. Companies are invited to provide any comments to the CRs that still need to be considered after the online discussion that took place already.

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Comments for the CRs** |
|  |  |
|  |  |

## 3.2 Abortion of RRC connection resume procedure

This topic was discussed during RAN2#113bis-e meeting and there was a willingness to clarify the handling of timers and UE behaviour upon abortion of RRC connection resume by upper layers, similarly as this is handled for RRC connection setup procedure. However, the issue was postponed with the following conclusions:

|  |
| --- |
| [R2-2104267](file:///D:\Documents\3GPP\tsg_ran\WG2\TSGR2_113bis-e\Docs\R2-2104267.zip) Clarification on the abortion of RRC connection establishment Huawei, HiSilicon CR Rel-15 38.331 15.13.0 2566 - F NR\_newRAT-Core  - [006] Rapporteur: Some issues should be further discussed, e.g. whether the UE should stay in RRC INACTIVE (e.g. from NAS perspective) and what happens in case the UE still receives RRCSetup or RRCResume after aborting the procedure.   * [006] Postponed   [R2-2104268](file:///D:\Documents\3GPP\tsg_ran\WG2\TSGR2_113bis-e\Docs\R2-2104268.zip) Clarification on the abortion of RRC connection establishment Huawei, HiSilicon CR Rel-16 38.331 16.4.1 2567 - A NR\_newRAT-Core   * [006] Postponed |

For this meeting, there are two different approaches proposed by companies in their papers/CRs:

1. The UE should stay in RRC INACTIVE state upon abortion of RRC connection resume (R2-2105946, R2-2105947, R2-2105948, R2-2105949)
2. The UE should move to RRC IDLE state upon abortion of RRC connection resume (R2-2105582, R2-2105583, R2-2105584)

The second approach is more aligned with the proposal which was discussed during RAN2#113bis-e meeting. However, in R2-2105582 it is indicated that this approach may lead to RRC state mismatch happening between the UE and the network as the network will remove the UE context after not receiving the reponse for RRCResume message. Hence, a proposal is brought up that UE should rather move to RRC IDLE state upon abortion of RRC connection resume by upper layers. Companies are then requested to express their view on which approach should be applied to solve this issue.

**Question 2.1: What should be the UE behaviour upon abortion of RRC connection resume by upper layers:**

1. **The UE stays in RRC INACTIVE state (e.g. according to R2-2105946).**
2. **The UE moves to RRC IDLE state (e.g. according to R2-2105583).**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Preferred approach** | **Comments (any immediate comments on the CRs for the preferred option are welcome as well)** |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

Previously, the topic was discussed for NR only, but there are CRs submitted to solve this issue for LTE as well. Putting aside the chosen approach, do companies agree that the issue should be addressed for both LTE and NR.

**Question 2.2: Do you agree to address this issue for both LTE (36.331) and NR specifications (38.331)?**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Yes/No** | **Comments** |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

## 3.3 Ongoing establishment and resume procedure

This topic was discussed during RAN2#113bis-e meeting and the final conclusions as minuted in [1] are:

|  |
| --- |
| RRC Resume  [R2-2102715](http://www.3gpp.org/ftp/TSG_RAN/WG2_RL2/TSGR2_113bis-e/Docs/R2-2102715.zip) Corrections to initiation upon reception of RAN paging and T380 Expiry Samsung Electronics Co., Ltd CR Rel-15 38.331 15.13.0 2476 - F NR\_newRAT-Core  - [006] Rap: Not pursued, no spec change required  - [006] Late comment: Ericsson – think we shall consider a Note, keep open for next meeting. Rap: OK to keep open for checking.   * [006] Not pursued * [006] The UE should not start the 2nd RRC resumption procedure when there is a RRC resumption procedure ongoing |

Hence, the intention was to allow further discussion on whether/how to capture the agreement in the specifications. There are two proposals submitted on this issue to this meeting:

1. CRs in R2-2106192 and R2-2106193 cover only RRC connection resume procedure, which was discussed during the last meeting, and propose to add the following sentence in section 5.3.13.2: “The UE does not initiate the procedure again when there is already a RRC resume procedure ongoing.”
2. CRs in R2-2105649 and R2-2105650 propose to cover both RRC connection establishment and RRC connection resume procedures by adding the following sentences:
   1. In section 5.3.3.2: “The UE shall not initiate an RRC connection establishment procedure when T300 is running.”
   2. In section 5.3.13.2: “The UE shall not initiate an RRC connection resume procedure when T319 is running.”

Companies are requested to indicate whether they support clarifying this issue and according to which CRs.

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Preferred approach** | **Comments (any immediate comments on the CRs for the preferred option are welcome as well)** |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

# Conclusions

TBD
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