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# Introduction

\* [AT113-e][503][2sRA] CRs on 2sRA User Plane and stage-2 (ZTE)

Scope:

§  Discuss submitted CRs in the UP AI and the stage-2 CR.  Rapporteur will do preliminary assessment on criticality and need to have the CRs and companies can provide their views.

      Intended outcome:

§  Agreeable CRs

      Deadline for providing comments:

§  Companies comments/text suggestions and on need/criticality of the CRs– Jan. 27h

§  Rapporteur to make suggestions on which CRs should be pursued further and any possible merges – Jan. 28th

§  Updated CRs (the ones agreed to be pursued) from responsible companies Jan. 29th

**Deadline for company comments:**

**Friday 27th Jan’21 17:00 UTC**

# Discussion

## Stage-2 corrections

Only the following tdoc was submitted for stage-2:

[R2-2101813](file://D://__%E4%BC%9A%E8%AE%AE%5C2021%5C202101%5CTSGR2_113-e%5CDocs%5CR2-2101813.zip) Correction on the allowed uplink transmission without TA Huawei, HiSilicon, Nokia (Rapporteur) CR Rel-16 38.300 16.4.0 0343 - F NR\_2step\_RACH-Core

As noted in the CR, we forgot to add MSGA to the list of allowed transmissions when the UE is non-synchronised. So the change looks correct and can hopefully be agreed.

Rapporteur view: The change looks correct and can be agreed

|  |
| --- |
| Q 1.1: Do companies agree that the change proposed in R2-2101813 is essential?  |
| Company | Y/N | Comments  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

|  |
| --- |
| Q 1.2: Are there any comments to the contents of R2-2101813?  |
| Company | Comments to the CR (if any) |
|  |  |
|  |  |

## UP corrections

* RA-RNTI usage:

There is one CR on RA-RNTI usage from Vivo:

R2-2100349 Correction on Usage of RA-RNTI in 2-step RA procedure vivo CR Rel-16 38.321 16.3.0 1015 - F NR\_2step\_RACH-Core

The proposal is to include RA-RNTI for UL-SCH in Table 7.1-2 of the MAC spec.

Although it is true that RA-RNTI is used for generation of the PUSCH scrambling code for MSGA, the usage of the RNTI for this purpose seems different to the “RNTI usage” mentioned in this table in the MAC spec. The table in the MAC spec seem mainly about the usage of RNTI for the monitoring of the channel (i.e. CRC being scrambled with this RNTI). So, it seems misleading to add this to this table as proposed – as the way RA-RNTI is used for MSGA is different – i.e. it is used in initialisation of the scrambling code not for masking the CRC?

Rapporteur view: The change is not critical and not needed

|  |
| --- |
| Q 2.1: Do companies agree that the change proposed R2-2100349 in is essential?  |
| Company | Y/N | Comments  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

|  |
| --- |
| Q 2.2: Are there any comments to the contents of R2-2100349?  |
| Company | Comments to the CR if you think the change should be adopted |
|  |  |
|  |  |

* Clarification on when to consider UL-SCH resources to be available:

The following 3 tdocs discuss the issue of clarifying when the UL-SCH resources are considered available:

R2-2100350 Correction on UL-SCH resource in 2-step RA procedure vivo CR Rel-16 38.321 16.3.0 1016 - F NR\_2step\_RACH-Core

R2-2101512 38321 CR Correction on available UL-SCH resource LG Electronics Inc. CR Rel-16 38.321 16.3.0 1037 - F NR\_2step\_RACH-Core

R2-2101811 Correction on BSR for two-step RA Huawei, HiSilicon CR Rel-16 38.321 16.3.0 0981 1 F NR\_2step\_RACH-Core R2-2010402

There was some discussion in this issue already at the last meeting and the following is the copy of the chairman’s notes:

|  |
| --- |
| - ZTE is fine with the change but is wondering why we don’t mention mgs3. - LG suggests to maybe keep the note only applicable to CG instead and remove dynamic grant- Vivo thinks that we don’t have enough space to include the MAC CE and this is more of an optimization than a clarification- Oppo thinks that the change is not need and also wonder why this note doesn’t capture the 4-step RA case- Ericson and Lenovo explain that the UE is allowed to multiplex but in most cases there won’t be enough space, but the UE is allowed to do it. - LG explains that when we added the note the only thing that was ambiguous was for CG case, for all other case it was already clear that the US-SCH resources are available. - Apple also doesn’t think we need to list all of the cases and the only useful sentence is the last sentence.- Huawei would prefer to not modify legacy text but if it removes ambiguity, we would be ok - Mediatek agrees with Nokia that we can substitute the uplink grants with one generic uplink grant so it is applicable to all grants and future proof. => The RAN2 understanding is that the UE is allowed to multiplex BSR in msgA and msg3. FFS if anything needs to be changed in the text and we would need to ensure that there are no issues with NR-U=> The CR is postponed |

So, based on the above, the CR should be applicable to both 2-step and 4-step RACH (i.e. MSGA and MSG3) and any change should be compatible with NR-U.

Vivo (clarify for 2-step RA only): It seems that R2-2100350 only proposes the change for 2-step RACH but not for 4-step RACH.

LG (general clarification): The change in R2-2101512 modifies the text in such way that the condition is generalized (i.e. not just for RA procedure but seems the intention is to apply this for any procedure)

HW (Clarification for both 2-step and 4-step RA): The change in R2-2101811 seems to include both 2-step and 4-step RA, as intended at RAN2#112e.

Rapporteur view: The change is useful, HW CR seems to implement it according to what was agreed at the last meeting.

So, based on the above, the first question is whether this change is essential:

|  |
| --- |
| Q 3.1: Do companies agree that the updates to Note 2 in section 5.4.5 to capture the missing scenarios – specifically those for RACH – are essential?  |
| Company | Y/N | Comments  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

|  |
| --- |
| Q 3.2: Assuming we need a change, which approach do companies prefer? – in the comments please also include any views on the specific change and whether the change is compatible with other features (e.g. NR-U etc)  |
| Company | Vivo/LG/HW | Comments  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

* Conditions to stop ongoing RA procedure:

There are couple of CRs on the conditions to stop ongoing RA:

R2-2101838 Conditions to stop an ongoing RA procedure Asia Pacific Telecom, FGI CR Rel-16 38.321 16.3.0 1054 - F NR\_2step\_RACH-Core Withdrawn

R2-2101857 Conditions to stop an ongoing RA procedure Asia Pacific Telecom, FGI CR Rel-16 38.321 16.3.0 1055 - F NR\_2step\_RACH-Core

The proposed change in both CRs is fairly similar and is about the following text (or similar):

|  |
| --- |
| a MAC PDU is transmitted using a UL grant other than a UL grant provided by Random Access Response and other than a UL grant determined as specified in clause 5.1.2a for the transmission of the MSGA payload, and this PDU includes a BSR MAC CE which contains buffer status up to (and including) the last event that triggered a BSR (see clause 5.4.5) prior to the MAC PDU assembly; |

It seems the confusion is whether the “**other than**” clause above applies **to both** “a UL grant provided by Random Access Response” and “a UL grant determined as specified in clause 5.1.2a for the transmission of the MSGA payload” according to the original text or not? It seems the original text is fine from this perpective (i.e. it is clear that the “other than” clause applies to both the above conditions). So, seems the change is not needed?

Rapporteur view: The change is not needed

|  |
| --- |
| Q 3.1: Do companies agree that the changes proposed R2-2101838 and R2-2101857 are essential? |
| Company | Y/N | Comments  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

|  |
| --- |
| Q 3.2: Assuming companies think the changes are needed, please provide any further feedback to the CRs in R2-2101838 and R2-2101857 |
| Company | Comments to the CR |
|  |  |
|  |  |
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