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# Introduction

The Session Chair decided to use the following email discussion to gather feedback and put forward suggestions for the CRs and papers submitted to the Rel-16 NR-U Control Plane agenda item.

* [AT113-e][501][NR-U] CRs on NR-U Control Plane (Qualcomm)

Scope:

* + - Discuss submitted CRs in the CP AI.  Rapporteur will do preliminary assessment on criticality and need to have the CRs and companies can provide their views.

      Intended outcome:

* + - Agreeable CRs

      Deadline for providing comments:

* + - Companies comments/text suggestions and on need/criticality of the CRs– Jan. 27th
    - Rapporteur to make suggestions on which CRs should be pursued further and any possible merges – Jan. 28th
    - Updated CRs (the ones agreed to be pursued) from responsible companies Jan. 29th

This document will capture feedback from the companies and suggest whether or not to agree to the submitted CRs, possibly with some modifications.

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Company | Contact Name, Email |
| Nokia | Jarkko Koskela, jarkko.t.koskela@nokia.com |
|  |  |

# Discussion

In this section, we discuss each document submitted to Agenda Item 6.3.3, which are as follows:

R2-2101491 Correction on description of measResultForRSSI and of conditional presence SharedSpectrum Huawei, HiSilicon 38.331 CR2415

R2-2100183 Correction on RSSI and channel occupancy measurements Samsung 38.331 CR2306

R2-2101269 Correction to search space switch configuration Ericsson 38.331 CR2396

R2-2101163 RRC Corrections for NR-U (Rel-16) ZTE Corporation, Sanechips 38.331 CR2387

R2-2101164 Corrections to UE capability for NR-U (Rel-16) ZTE Corporation, Sanechips 38.306 CR0502

R2-2100870 Discussion on NR-U RSSI/CO measurement Apple, xiaomi

R2-2100871 Clarification on NR-U RSSI measurement procedure Apple 38.331 CR2360

**R2-2101491 Correction on description of measResultForRSSI and of conditional presence SharedSpectrum Huawei, HiSilicon 38.331 CR2415**

Summary of Changes from the CR:

1. The descrption of *measResultForRSSI* is changed into“Includes RSSI result in dBm as the average of sample value(s) (see TS 38.215 [9]) and *channelOccupancy* as the rounded percentage of sample value(s) which are beyond the configured *channelOccupancyThreshold* within all the sample value(s) in the *reportInterval* for the associated *reportConfig*.”;
2. Remove “Need R” in the description of conditional presence “SharedSpectrum”.

Rapporteur opinion: The first change is of editorial type and it does not really clarify any misleading text. The second change needs feedback from RRC rapporteur for consistency in 38.331

**Do you agree with the changes proposed in this CR?**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Response** | **Comments** |
| **Nokia** | **Not needed** | **Not necessary as 38.215 has valid description but nothing wrong technically with the CR. So no strong objection if other companies see the need.** |
| **OPPO** | **Yes, but** | **For the first change, if the concerned ambiguity is the “measured”, it’s ok the remove this word.**  **For the second change, no issue is we don’t remove Need R.** |

**Summary:**

**Proposal:**

**R2-2100183 Correction on RSSI and channel occupancy measurements Samsung 38.331 CR2306**

Summary of Changes from the CR:

1. Update the procedural text in 5.5.4.1 to allow the UE to perform RSSI measurements for channel occupancy evaluation Remove “Need R” in the description of conditional presence “SharedSpectrum”.

Rapporteur opinion: The change is essential and needed.

**Do you agree with the changes proposed in this CR?**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Response** | **Comments** |
| **Nokia** | **Needed** | **To us this looks like required change. Coversheet is in order** |
| **OPPO** | **Yes** |  |

**Summary:**

**Proposal:**

**R2-2101269 Correction to search space switch configuration Ericsson 38.331 CR2396**

Summary of Changes from the CR:

1. Add possibility to configure up to 16 cells for search space switching:

* Dummify the existing *switchTriggerToAddModList* and *switchTriggerToReleaseList* which can only configure up to 4 cells/cell groups.
* Add a new *switchTriggerToAddModList* and a new *switchTriggerToReleaseList* such that up to 16 cells can be configured.
* Add clarification in the field description that at most one cell within a cell group is configured with search space switching, which would apply to all cells in the same cell group as described in TS 38.213, clause 10.4.

1. Add missing field descriptions

Rapporteur opinion: First change is not needed. The limit “4” in *switchTriggerToAddModList-r16* is for the number of cell groups for switching where each group can have up to 16 cells as shown below. These numbers came from RAN1 list of parameters. The IE in *SlotFormatIndicator* is to configure an index in DCI for each group (needs to be done only for one cell in each group) and therefore the limit 4 in SFI is correct.

SearchSpaceSwitchConfig-r16 ::=     SEQUENCE {

    cellGroupsForSwitchList-r16         SEQUENCE(SIZE (1..4)) OF CellGroupForSwitch-r16                  OPTIONAL,   -- Need R

    searchSpaceSwitchDelay-r16          INTEGER (10..52)                                                 OPTIONAL    -- Need R

}

CellGroupForSwitch-r16 ::=          SEQUENCE(SIZE (1..16)) OF ServCellIndex

The second change is editorial and is acceptable.

**Do you agree with the changes proposed in this CR?**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Response** | **Comments** |
| **Nokia** | **Agree with rapportuer** | **Like indicated by rapporteur the actual configuration for cell within searchSpaceSwitchGroup is in the PDCCH config and not in the SlotFormatIndicator.**  **Though, It is good to add missing field descriptions.** |
| **OPPO** | **Agree with rapportuer** |  |

**Summary:**

**Proposal:**

**R2-2101163 RRC Corrections for NR-U (Rel-16) ZTE Corporation, Sanechips 38.331 CR2387**

Summary of Changes from the CR:

1. It is clarified in the field description that PUSCH repetition type B is not configured simultaneously with *nrofPUSCH-InSlot and cg-nrofSlots.*
2. It is clarified in the field description that, *pusch-TimeDomainAllocationListForMultiPUSCH* is configured simultaneously with pusch-AggregationFactor.

Rapporteur opinion: These are useful clarifications.

**Do you agree with the changes proposed in this CR?**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Response** | **Comments** |
| **Nokia** | **No strong view** | **Nothing wrong with the CR but also not very essential as existing text is not that misleading. But we are fine to agree on the CR.** |
| **OPPO** | **Yes** |  |

**Summary:**

**Proposal:**

**R2-2101164 Corrections to UE capability for NR-U (Rel-16) ZTE Corporation, Sanechips 38.306 CR0502**

Summary of Changes from the CR:

1. In the field description of *ssb-RLM-Semi-StaticChAccess-r16* SMTC is replaced by DRS window.
2. In the field description of *dci-AvailableRB-Set-r16* *availableRB-Sets-r16* is replaced with available RB sets.
3. In the field description of *searchSpaceSetGroupSwitchingwithDCI-r16* and *searchSpaceSetGroupSwitchingwithoutDCI-r16*, *jointSearchSpaceGroupSwitchingAcrossCells-r16* is replaced with *searchSpaceSetGroupSwitchingcapability2-r16*.
4. In the field description of *non-numericalPDSCH-HARQ-timing-r16,* for the IEdl-DataToUL-ACK the -r16 suffix is added.

Rapporteur opinion: The corrections all look reasonable.

**Do you agree with the changes proposed in this CR?**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Response** | **Comments** |
| **Nokia** | **Yes with modifications** | **Regarding “DRS window”: RAN1 specs (38.213) talks about “discovery burst transmission window”, so better use that terminology in RRC too.**  **dci-AvailableRB-Set-r16: this could refer to “available RB set indicator”, since in DCI 2\_0 we have:**  **The following information is transmitted by means of the DCI format 2\_0 with CRC scrambled by SFI-RNTI:**  **- If the higher layer parameter slotFormatCombToAddModList is configured,**  **o Slot format indicator 1, Slot format indicator 2, …, Slot format indicator N,**  **- If the higher layer parameter availableRB-SetsToAddModList-r16 is configured,**  **o Available RB set Indicator 1, Available RB set Indicator 2, …, Available RB set Indicator N1,** |
|  |  |  |

**Summary:**

**Proposal:**

**R2-2100870 Discussion on NR-U RSSI/CO measurement Apple, Xiaomi**

Proposals from the paper:

Proposal 1: RAN2 to discuss if companies have the common understanding on the discrepancy between TS 36.331 and TS 38.331 on NR-U RSSI reporting. Further discussion is needed on whether and how RAN2 would like to remove the discrepancy.

Proposal 2: Suggest to add the per band inter-RAT NR-U RSSI/CO measurement UE capability into LTE spec, as shown below.

Rapporteur opinion: For Proposal 1, agree that LAA and NR-U have different behavior but don’t see a strong reason to change NR-U at this stage in order to align with LAA.

For Proposal 2, also agree that an eNB may not be able to configure RSSI measurements for inter-RAT handover to NR-U if such eNB does not look into NR capability container (doing so is an allowed implementation). The main question is whether this is worth having an NBC CR in Rel-16 or whether it should be postponed to Rel-17.

**Do you agree to the stated discrepancy in Proposal 1? If yes, do you see a need for a solution?**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Response** | **Comments** |
| **Nokia** | **Behaviour seem to be slightly different between LAA and NR-U** | **But we do not think we need to align the behavior anymore. Although we have no strong view on the matter.** |
| **OPPO** | **No strong view** |  |

**Summary:**

**Proposal:**

**Do you agree to Proposal 2 for introducing NR-U RSSI reporting UE capability in LTE RRC?**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Response** | **Comments** |
| **Nokia** | **No** | **it is quite difficult to add new capabilities anymore and as stated by rapporteur this is possible to be solved within network without new capability.** |
|  |  |  |

**Summary:**

**Proposal:**

**R2-2100871 Clarification on NR-U RSSI measurement procedure Apple 38.331 CR2360**

Summary of Changes from the CR:

Makes it clear that the average on RSSI samples is performed in linear domain.

Rapporteur opinion: This is an acceptable clarification.

**Do you agree with the change proposed in this CR?**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Response** | **Comments** |
| **Nokia** | **Yes** | **This is correct although 38.215 defines this already but OK to add this clarification** |
| **OPPO** | **Yes** |  |

**Summary:**

**Proposal:**

# Conclusion

This report captures the feedback for the Control Plane contributions submitted for NR-U and, based on feedback from the companies, the following are proposed: