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**1. Overall Description:**

RAN2 would like to thank SA2 for the LS on AN-PDB and PER targets for satellite access and provide following answers.

**SA2 Question 1:** SA2 would like to ask RAN1, and RAN2 to indicate what is the expected “lower” and “higher” AN-PDB values when the different RAT types for satellite access is used?

**RAN2 answer:**

As documented in TR 38.821, the worst-case round-trip delay in NTN with transparent payload is 541.46 ms for GEO, 41.77 ms for LEO at 1200km, and 25.77 ms for LEO at 600km. RAN2 understands the round-trip delay in HAPS based NTN with transparent payload would be similar to that in terrestrial network. The RTD can be used to determine PDB based on assumed number of retransmissions and value of PER.

**SA2 Question 2:** SA2 would like to ask RAN1, and RAN2 to indicate what is the expected upper bound of PER when the different RAT types for satellite access is used?

**RAN2 answer:**

RAN2 expects the same TN upper bound of PER to be applicable in NTN.

**2. Actions:**

**To** **SA2:**

**ACTION:** RAN2 respectfully asks SA2 to take the above information into account.

**3. Date of Next RAN2 Meetings:**

TSG-RAN WG2#113bis-e April 12th – April 20th, 2021 Online meeting