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# Introduction

This document summarizes the following offline discussion.

* [AT113-e][034][NR17 Other] NR17 other (Huawei)

Scope: Treat R2-2100054, R2-2100896, R2-2100897, R2-2100950, R2-2100951, T2-2100952, R2-2100953, R2-21002259, R2-21001457, R2-21001458, R2-2100046, R2-2101415, R2-2100055, R2-21001612, R2-21001613

Phase 1, determine agreeable parts, Phase 2, for agreeable parts Work on CRs and LS out if applicable.

Intended outcome: Report, Agreed CRs, approved LS if any is agreeable.

Deadline: Prepare such that results can be available Feb 3 (for potential CB Feb 4).

# Contact from companies

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Company | Email |
| Huawei, HiSilicon | Yiru Kuang (kuangyiru@huawei.com) |
| T-Mobile USA | John.Humbert2@T-Mobile.com |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |

# Discussion

## FR2 FWA power class

FR2 FWA - Power Class Release Indep R15

[R2-2100054](file:///D:\Documents\3GPP\tsg_ran\WG2\TSGR2_113-e\Docs\R2-2100054.zip) LS for FR2 FWA power class (R4-2016876; contact: Softbank) RAN4 LS in Rel-17 NR\_FR2\_FWA\_Bn257\_Bn258 To:RAN2

[R2-2100896](file:///D:\Documents\3GPP\tsg_ran\WG2\TSGR2_113-e\Docs\R2-2100896.zip) Introducing UE capability for power class 5 for FR2 FWA SoftBank, Huawei draftCR Rel-17 38.331 16.3.1 C NR\_FR2\_FWA\_Bn257\_Bn258-Core

[R2-2100897](file:///D:\Documents\3GPP\tsg_ran\WG2\TSGR2_113-e\Docs\R2-2100897.zip) Introducing UE capability for power class 5 for FR2 FWA SoftBank, Huawei draftCR Rel-17 38.306 16.3.0 C NR\_FR2\_FWA\_Bn257\_Bn258-Core

[R2-2100950](file:///D:\Documents\3GPP\tsg_ran\WG2\TSGR2_113-e\Docs\R2-2100950.zip) Introduction of PC5 for FR2 Nokia, Nokia Shanghai Bell CR Rel-15 38.331 15.12.0 2368 - B NR\_FR2\_FWA\_Bn257\_Bn258-Core

[R2-2100951](file:///D:\Documents\3GPP\tsg_ran\WG2\TSGR2_113-e\Docs\R2-2100951.zip) Introduction of PC5 for FR2 Nokia, Nokia Shanghai Bell CR Rel-16 38.331 16.3.0 2369 - A NR\_FR2\_FWA\_Bn257\_Bn258-Core

[R2-2100952](file:///D:\Documents\3GPP\tsg_ran\WG2\TSGR2_113-e\Docs\R2-2100952.zip) Introduction of PC5 for FR2 Nokia, Nokia Shanghai Bell CR Rel-15 38.306 15.12.0 0495 - B NR\_FR2\_FWA\_Bn257\_Bn258-Core

[R2-2100953](file:///D:\Documents\3GPP\tsg_ran\WG2\TSGR2_113-e\Docs\R2-2100953.zip) Introduction of PC5 for FR2 Nokia, Nokia Shanghai Bell CR Rel-16 38.306 16.3.0 0496 - A NR\_FR2\_FWA\_Bn257\_Bn258-Core

There are two options for introducing power class 5:

1. The power class 5 is introduced from Rel-17 with “Early implementation of this CR by Rel-15/16 UEs does not cause any inter-operability issues” in the cover sheet. (CRs R2-2100896/R2-2100897)
2. The power class 5 is introduced from Rel-15. (CRs R2-2100950~R2-2100953)

**Q1-1 Which option listed in above do companies support?**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Option** | **Comments** |
| Huawei, HiSilicon | (1) | A new power class 1.5 was introduced in Rel-16, it was added as an Rel-16 signalling with stating “implementation of the change from Rel-15” in the cover sheet of the CR. For this new power class 5, we understand the same operation can be applied, and it will be clearer. |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

**Q1-2 If the option (1) is selected, do companies agree the CRs R2-2100896/R2-2100897? Please companies provide your comments on the CRs if any.**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Yes/No** | **Comments** |
| Huawei, HiSilicon | Yes, but | We agree with the signalling change in 38.331, however we have some concerns on the inter-operability issue, if the new UE reports the new field PC5 but the legacy cannot understand it, which power class should be applied? |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

**Q1-3 If the option (2) is selected, do companies agree the CRs R2-2100950~R2-2100953? Please companies provide your comments on the CRs if any.**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Yes/No** | **Comments** |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

## 35 and 45 MHz channel Bandwidths

FR1\_35MHz\_45MHz\_BW - Release Indep R15

All Moved from 5.4.3:

[R2-2102259](file:///D:\Documents\3GPP\tsg_ran\WG2\TSGR2_113-e\Docs\R2-2102259.zip) LS to RAN2 on 35 and 45 MHz channel Bandwidths (R4-2017846; contact: T-Mobile) RAN4 LS in Rel-15 NR\_FR1\_35MHz\_45MHz\_BW-Core To:RAN2

[R2-2101457](file:///D:\Documents\3GPP\tsg_ran\WG2\TSGR2_113-e\Docs\R2-2101457.zip) Support of 35 MHz and 45 MHz channel bandwidth for FR1 Apple Inc, T-Mobile CR Rel-15 38.306 15.12.0 0511 - F NR\_FR1\_35MHz\_45MHz\_BW-Core

[R2-2101458](file:///D:\Documents\3GPP\tsg_ran\WG2\TSGR2_113-e\Docs\R2-2101458.zip) Support of 35 MHz and 45 MHz channel bandwidth for FR1 Apple Inc, T-Mobile CR Rel-16 38.306 16.3.0 0512 - A NR\_FR1\_35MHz\_45MHz\_BW-Core

The changes in the CRs are given as below:

|  |
| --- |
| ***channelBWs-DL***  Indicates for each subcarrier spacing the UE supported channel bandwidths. Absence of the *channelBWs-DL* (without suffix) for a band or absence of specific scs-XXkHz entry for a supported subcarrier spacing means that the UE supports the channel bandwidths among [5, 10, 15, 20, 25, 30, 40, 50, 60, 80, 100] and [50, 100, 200] that were defined in clause 5.3.5 of TS 38.101-1 version 15.7.0 [2] and TS 38.101-2 version 15.7.0 [3] for the given band or the specific SCS entry.  For FR1, the bits in *channelBWs-DL* (without suffix) starting from the leading / leftmost bit indicate 5, 10, 15, 20, 25, 30, 40, 50, 60 and 80MHz. For FR2, the bits in *channelBWs-DL* (without suffix) starting from the leading / leftmost bit indicate 50, 100 and 200MHz. The third / rightmost bit (for 200MHz) shall be set to 1.  For FR1, the leading/leftmost bit in *channelBWs-DL-v1590* indicates 70MHz, the second leftmost bit indicates 45MHz, the third leftmost bit indicates 35MHz and all the remaining bits in *channelBWs-DL-v1590* shall be set to 0.  NOTE: To determine whether the UE supports a specific SCS for a given band, the network validates the *supportedSubCarrierSpacingDL* and the *scs-60kHz*. To determine whether the UE supports a channel bandwidth of 90 MHz, the network may ignore this capability for and validate instead the *channelBW-90mhz* and the *supportedBandwidthCombinationSet*. For serving cells with other channel bandwidths the network validates the *channelBWs-DL*, the *supportedBandwidthCombinationSet*, the *asymmetricBandwidthCombinationSet* (for a band supporting asymmetric channel bandwidth as defined in clause 5.3.6 of TS 38.101-1 [2]) and *supportedBandwidthDL*. For each of the channel bandwidths indicated in *channelBWs-DL-v1590,* for the network to use the relevant *FeatureSetDownlinkPerCC*, the UE shall include at least one *FeatureSetDownlinkPerCC* with *supportedBandwidthDL* where the supported bandwidth value is greater than the channel bandwidth indicated in *channelBWs-DL-v1590*. |
| ***channelBWs-UL***  Indicates for each subcarrier spacing the UE supported channel bandwidths.  Absence of the *channelBWs-UL* (without suffix) for a band or absence of specific scs-XXkHz entry for a supported subcarrier spacing means that the UE supports the channel bandwidths among [5, 10, 15, 20, 25, 30, 40, 50, 60, 80, 100] and [50, 100, 200] that were defined in clause 5.3.5 of TS 38.101-1 version 15.7.0 [2] and TS 38.101-2 version 15.7.0 [3] for the given band or the specific SCS entry.  For FR1, the bits in *channelBWs-UL* (without suffix) starting from the leading / leftmost bit indicate 5, 10, 15, 20, 25, 30, 40, 50, 60 and 80MHz. For FR2, the bits in *channelBWs-UL* (without suffix) starting from the leading / leftmost bit indicate 50, 100 and 200MHz. The third / rightmost bit (for 200MHz) shall be set to 1.  For FR1, the leading/leftmost bit in *channelBWs-UL-v1590* indicates 70 MHz, the second leftmost bit indicates 45MHz, the third leftmost bit indicates 35MHz and all the remaining bits in *channelBWs-UL-v1590* shall be set to 0.  NOTE: To determine whether the UE supports a specific SCS for a given band, the network validates the *supportedSubCarrierSpacingUL* and the *scs-60kHz*. To determine whether the UE supports a channel bandwidth of 90 MHz the network may ignore this capability for and validate instead the *channelBW-90mhz* and the *supportedBandwidthCombinationSet*. For serving cells with other channel bandwidths the network validates the *channelBWs-UL*, the *supportedBandwidthCombinationSet*, the *asymmetricBandwidthCombinationSet* (for a band supporting asymmetric channel bandwidth as defined in clause 5.3.6 of TS 38.101-1 [2]) and *supportedBandwidthUL*. . For each of the channel bandwidths indicated in *channelBWs-UL-v1590,* for the network to use the relevant *FeatureSetUplinkPerCC*, the UE shall include at least one *FeatureSetUplinkPerCC* with *supportedBandwidthUL* where the supported bandwidth value is greater than the channel bandwidth indicated in *channelBWs-UL-v1590*. |

**Q2-1 Do companies agree the CRs R2-2101457/R2-2101458? Please companies provide your comments on the CRs if any.**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Yes/No** | **Comments** |
| Huawei, HiSilicon | Yes, but | We are fine with the first change, but not sure about the second change for NOTE, why we need such restrict for per CC BW and per band BW? |
| T-Mobile USA | Yes | The clarification on max supported BW is needed, this clarifies the case when the maximum channel BW supported by a band is increased. |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

## UL MIMO restrictions for SUL

FR1 enh - UL MIMO restrictions for SUL

[R2-2100055](file:///D:\Documents\3GPP\tsg_ran\WG2\TSGR2_113-e\Docs\R2-2100055.zip) LS on removing restriction on configuring UL MIMO for SUL band (R4-2016909; contact: CMCC) RAN4 LS in Rel-17 NR\_RF\_FR1\_enh-Core To:RAN2 Cc:RAN1

[R2-2101612](file:///D:\Documents\3GPP\tsg_ran\WG2\TSGR2_113-e\Docs\R2-2101612.zip) Draft CR: Remove the maximum number of MIMO layers configuration restrictions for SUL CMCC, Huawei, HiSilicon, CATT draftCR Rel-17 38.331 16.3.1 B NR\_RF\_FR1\_enh

[R2-2101613](file:///D:\Documents\3GPP\tsg_ran\WG2\TSGR2_113-e\Docs\R2-2101613.zip) Draft CR: Remove the maximum number of MIMO layers restrictions for SUL CMCC, Huawei, HiSilicon, CATT draftCR Rel-17 38.306 16.3.0 B NR\_RF\_FR1\_enh

The changes in the 38.331 CRs are given as below:

|  |
| --- |
| ***maxMIMO-Layers***  Indicates the maximum MIMO layer to be used for PUSCH in all BWPs of the normal UL of this serving cell (see TS 38.212 [17], clause 5.4.2.1). If present, the network sets *maxRank* to the same value. The field *maxMIMO-Layers* refers to DCI format 0\_1. |
| ***maxMIMO-LayersForDCI-Format0-2***  Indicates the maximum MIMO layer to be used for PUSCH for DCI format 0\_2 in all BWPs of the normal UL of this serving cell (see TS 38.212 [17], clause 5.4.2.1). If present, the network sets *maxRankForDCI-Format0-2* to the same value. |

The changes in the 38.306 CRs are given as below:

| ***maxNumberMIMO-LayersCB-PUSCH***  Defines supported maximum number of MIMO layers at the UE for PUSCH transmission with codebook precoding. UE indicating support of this feature shall also indicate support of PUSCH codebook coherency subset. | FSPC | No | N/A | N/A |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| ***maxNumberMIMO-LayersNonCB-PUSCH***  Defines supported maximum number of MIMO layers at the UE for PUSCH transmission using non-codebook precoding.  UE supporting non-codebook based PUSCH transmission shall indicate support of *maxNumberMIMO-LayersNonCB-PUSCH, maxNumberSRS-ResourcePerSet* and *maxNumberSimultaneousSRS-ResourceTx* together. | FSPC | No | N/A | N/A |
| ***maxNumberSimultaneousSRS-ResourceTx***  Defines the maximum number of simultaneous transmitted SRS resources at one symbol for non-codebook based transmission to the UE. | FSPC | No | N/A | N/A |
| ***maxNumberSRS-ResourcePerSet***  Defines the maximum number of SRS resources per SRS resource set configured for codebook or non-codebook based transmission to the UE. | FSPC | No | N/A | N/A |

**Q3-1 Do companies agree the CRs R2-2101612/R2-2101613? Please companies provide your comments on the CRs if any.**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Yes/No** | **Comments** |
| Huawei, HiSilicon | Yes |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

## Broadcast of gNB ID length

R3 TEI17 - Broadcast of gNB ID length

[R2-2100046](file:///D:\Documents\3GPP\tsg_ran\WG2\TSGR2_113-e\Docs\R2-2100046.zip) LS on broadcasting gNB ID length in system information block (R3-207226; contact: Ericsson) RAN3 LS in Rel-17 TEI17 To:RAN2 Cc:SA3

[R2-2101415](file:///D:\Documents\3GPP\tsg_ran\WG2\TSGR2_113-e\Docs\R2-2101415.zip) On broadcasting gNB ID length in SIB1 (reply LS to R3-207226) Ericsson discussion

According to the LS in R2-2100046, RAN2 is supposed to answer RAN3, which asked:

RAN3 WG respectfully asks RAN2 WG to check the feasibility of broadcasting the gNB ID’s length in system information blocks and related UE behaviour including reporting for ANR purposes.

Based on the above and the proposals in R2-2101415 (copied below), the moderator ask companies to reply the questions below.

|  |
| --- |
| [Observation 1 The current TNL address discovery is not well prepared for the RAN node ID’s flexible length.](#_Toc61531882)  [Proposal 1 Include gNB ID length in *PLMN-IdentityInfo* IE in SIB1 for each cell that is served by that gNB.](#_Toc61531880)  [Proposal 2 Include gNB ID length in reportCGI measurement report.](#_Toc61531881) |

**Q4-1 Do companies agree that Proposal 1 is feasible from RAN2 point of view? Which pros and cons do you see in the proposal?**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Yes/No** | **Comments** |
| Huawei, HiSilicon |  | This would be too costly to broadcast.  It will not work for legacy UEs. |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

**Q4-2 Do companies agree that Proposal 2 is feasible from RAN2 point of view? Which pros and cons do you see in the proposal?**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Yes/No** | **Comments** |
| Huawei, HiSilicon |  | It will not work for legacy UEs. |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

# 4 Conclusions

*To be added…*
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