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# Introduction

This document is the report of the following email discussion:

* [AT113-e][027][R4 Other] Miscellaneous (China Telecom)

Scope: [R2-2100025](file:///D:\Documents\3GPP\tsg_ran\WG2\TSGR2_113-e\Docs\R2-2100025.zip), [R2-210029](file:///D:\Documents\3GPP\tsg_ran\WG2\TSGR2_113-e\Docs\R2-2100029.zip)3, [R2-2101353](file:///D:\Documents\3GPP\tsg_ran\WG2\TSGR2_113-e\Docs\R2-2101353.zip), [R2-2101528](file:///D:\Documents\3GPP\tsg_ran\WG2\TSGR2_113-e\Docs\R2-2101528.zip)

Phase 1, determine agreeable parts, Phase 2, for agreeable parts Work on CRs.

Intended outcome: Report and Agreed CRs if any is agreeable.

Deadline for providing comments and for rapporteur inputs:

* + - Initial deadline (for companies' feedback and rapporteur's summary): 1st week Thu Jan 28, UTC 1200
    - Deadline for CR finalization: 2nd week Thu Feb 4, UTC 1000

**Contact from companies**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Company | Email |
| China Telecom | linp@chinatelecom.cn |
| Samsung | jack.jang@samsung.com |
| ZTE | liu.jing30@zte.com.cn  dong.fei@zte.com.cn |
| Huawei, HiSilicon | zhaoyang@huawei.com |
| Ericsson | mats.folke@ericsson.com |
| Qualcomm Incorporated | mkitazoe@qti.qualcomm.com |
| Intel | Youn.hyoung.heo@intel.com |

# Discussion

## Max data rate for uplink Tx switching

|  |
| --- |
| [R2-2100025](file:///D:\Documents\3GPP\tsg_ran\WG2\TSGR2_113-e\Docs\R2-2100025.zip) LS on uplink Tx switching (R1-2009676; contact: China Telecom) RAN1 LS in Rel-16 NR\_RF\_FR1 To:RAN2 Cc:RAN4  [R2-2100293](file:///D:\Documents\3GPP\tsg_ran\WG2\TSGR2_113-e\Docs\R2-2100293.zip) CR for the supported max date rate for uplink Tx switching China Telecommunication, huawei, HiSilicon CR Rel-16 38.306 16.3.0 0483 - F NR\_RF\_FR1-Core |

[R2-2100025](file:///D:\Documents\3GPP\tsg_ran\WG2\TSGR2_113-e\Docs\R2-2100025.zip) is an LS from RAN1 on uplink Tx switching. In RAN1#103-e meeting, RAN1 has reached the agreemeet to adopt the TP for max data rate for uplink Tx switching in TS 38.306, Section 4.1.2 and asked RAN2 to take the related agreements into account.

[R2-2100293](file:///D:\Documents\3GPP\tsg_ran\WG2\TSGR2_113-e\Docs\R2-2100293.zip) is a CR based on the RAN1 LS for the supported max data rate for uplink Tx switching. The proposed changes in the CR are listed as follows:

|  |
| --- |
| **< unchanged text omitted>**  4.1.2 Supported max data rate for DL/UL  For NR, the approximate data rate for a given number of aggregated carriers in a band or band combination is computed as follows.    wherein  J is the number of aggregated component carriers in a band or band combination  Rmax = 948/1024  For the j-th CC,  is the maximum number of supported layers given by higher layer parameter *maxNumberMIMO-LayersPDSCH* for downlink and maximum of higher layer parameters *maxNumberMIMO-LayersCB-PUSCH* and *maxNumberMIMO-LayersNonCB-PUSCH* for uplink.  is the maximum supported modulation order given by higher layer parameter *supportedModulationOrderDL* for downlink and higher layer parameter *supportedModulationOrderUL* for uplink.  is the scaling factor given by higher layer parameter *scalingFactor* and can take the values 1, 0.8, 0.75, and 0.4.  is the numerology (as defined in TS 38.211 [6])  is the average OFDM symbol duration in a subframe for numerology , i.e. . Note that normal cyclic prefix is assumed.  is the maximum RB allocation in bandwidth  with numerology , as defined in 5.3 TS 38.101-1 [2] and 5.3 TS 38.101-2 [3], where  is the UE supported maximum bandwidth in the given band or band combination.  is the overhead and takes the following values  0.14, for frequency range FR1 for DL  0.18, for frequency range FR2 for DL  0.08, for frequency range FR1 for UL  0.10, for frequency range FR2 for UL  NOTE 1: Only one of the UL or SUL carriers (the one with the higher data rate) is counted for a cell operating SUL.  NOTE 2:  For UL Tx switching between carriers in cell(s), only the supported MIMO layer combination across carriers that results in the highest combined data rate is counted for the cell(s) in the supported maximum UL data rate.  **< unchanged text omitted>** |

**Q1: Do companies have any comment on RAN1’s LS** [**R2-2100025**](file:///D:\Documents\3GPP\tsg_ran\WG2\TSGR2_113-e\Docs\R2-2100025.zip)**?**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Yes/No** | **Comments** |
| China Telecom | No |  |
| Samsung | No |  |
| ZTE | No |  |
| Huawei, HiSilicon | No |  |
| Ericsson | No |  |
| Qualcomm Incorporated | No |  |
| LG | No |  |
| Nokia | No |  |

**Q2: Do companies agree with the proposed changes in** [**R2-2100293**](file:///D:\Documents\3GPP\tsg_ran\WG2\TSGR2_113-e\Docs\R2-2100293.zip)**?**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Yes/No** | **Comments** |
| China Telecom | Yes |  |
| Samsung | Yes | Several editorial comments: - In the coversheet, CR number should be added.  - In the coversheet, impact analysis should be added.  - ‘Tab’ character (not spaces) should be used after ‘NOTE 2:’. |
| ZTE | Yes | Agree with Samsung’s comments. |
| Huawei, HiSilicon | Yes |  |
| Ericsson |  | We think this is being discussed now again in Ran1, so probably we should wait for the updated Ran1 input. |
| Qualcomm Incorporated | Yes |  |
| LG | Yes |  |
| Intel | Yes | We understand that there is on-going RAN1 discussion as Ericsson mentioned. However, it seems the change is a bit small i.e. just some clarification in the NOTE. So, RAN2 could agree this CR as baseline and we could update once RAN1 agrees. |
| Nokia | Yes | Agree with Intel |

**Summary 1**: TBD.

**Proposal 1**: TBD.

## MPE

|  |
| --- |
| [R2-2101353](file:///D:\Documents\3GPP\tsg_ran\WG2\TSGR2_113-e\Docs\R2-2101353.zip) Clarification on the MPE-prohibit timer Apple, Nokia, Nokia Shanghai Bell CR Rel-16 38.321 16.3.0 1029 - F NR\_RF\_FR2\_req\_enh  [R2-2101528](file:///D:\Documents\3GPP\tsg_ran\WG2\TSGR2_113-e\Docs\R2-2101528.zip) Correction to 38.321 on MPE P-MPR Report ZTE Corporation, Sanechips CR Rel-16 38.321 16.3.0 1042 - F NR\_RF\_FR2\_req\_enh |

Both of the above CRs are focused on the correction to MPE related issues in TS 38.321.

[R2-2101353](file:///D:\Documents\3GPP\tsg_ran\WG2\TSGR2_113-e\Docs\R2-2101353.zip) clarifies that MPE-prohibit timer should be applicable for both the absolutive threshold and the relative threshold based MPE trigger.

[R2-2101528](file:///D:\Documents\3GPP\tsg_ran\WG2\TSGR2_113-e\Docs\R2-2101528.zip) also raises the issue that“MEP P-MPR report” shall apply to the relative change based MPE reporting as well as absolute based MPE reporting. Besides that, some other changes related to MPE report are proposed including the following contents:

|  |
| --- |
| 1: Make the terminology ‘MPE P-MPR report’ apply to relative change MPE reporting  3: Restrict the relative MPE P-MPR reporting with the FR2 serving cell only  2: Remove the redundant sentence ‘start or restart phr-PeriodicTimer’ from the text procedure. |

**Q3: Do companies agree with the proposed changes in** [**R2-2101353**](file:///D:\Documents\3GPP\tsg_ran\WG2\TSGR2_113-e\Docs\R2-2101353.zip) **and** [**R2-2101528**](file:///D:\Documents\3GPP\tsg_ran\WG2\TSGR2_113-e\Docs\R2-2101528.zip)**?**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Yes/No** | **Comments** |
| China Telecom | Yes | The corrections on MPE report are reasonable and can make the spec clear. For the clarification on the MPE-prohibit timer applicable for both the absolute threshold and the relative threshold based MPE trigger, we slightly prefer the changes in R2-2101353, which seems easier to understand. We could further discuss the detail wording and merge them into one agreeable CR. |
| Samsung | Yes | In general, we agree with the intention of the changes in two CRs, but the text can be improved/simplified like below? (maybe this was discussed before, and if so, we are also fine to go with R2-2101353)   |  | | --- | | …  - if *mpe-Reporting-FR2* is configured, and *mpe-ProhibitTimer* is not running  - the measured P-MPR applied to meet FR2 MPE requirements as specified in TS 38.101-2 [15] is equal to or larger than *mpe-Threshold* for at least one activated FR2 Serving Cell since the last transmission of a PHR in this MAC entity; or  - the measured P-MPR applied to meet FR2 MPE requirements as specified in TS 38.101-2 [15] for at least one activated FR2 Serving Cell having changed more than *phr-Tx-PowerFactorChange* dB since the last transmission of a PHR in this MAC entity.  In which case the PHR is referred below to as ‘MPE P-MPR report’.  NOTE 2: The MAC entity should avoid triggering a PHR when the required power backoff due to power management decreases only temporarily (e.g. for up to a few tens of milliseconds) and it should avoid reflecting such temporary decrease in the values of PCMAX,f,c/PH when a PHR is triggered by other triggering conditions.  NOTE 3: If a HARQ process is configured with *cg-RetransmissionTimer* and if the PHR is already included in a MAC PDU for transmission by this HARQ process, but not yet transmitted by lower layers, it is up to UE implementation how to handle the PHR content.  … |   In addition, the last change in R2-2101528 (about redundant) is incorrect (I guess they confused between periodic and prohibit) |
| ZTE(Fei) | Yes | We agree with Samsung’s suggestion for the simplicity, and sorry for the incorrect last change from our CR. |
| Huawei, HiSilicon | Yes | We also think the change is better to be kept simple. |
| Ericsson | Yes | Samsung’s proposal is acceptable. |
| Qualcomm Incorporated | Yes | But the last change in R2-2101528. It removed the phr-**Prohibit**Timer, as opposed to phr-**Periodic**Timer. |
| LG | Yes | For MPE-prohibit timer, we think the change in R2-2101353 is easier to understand.  Regarding R2-2101528, the current text to trigger the relative change based MPE reporting is clear and no change is needed. |
| Intel | Yes with comments | We agree with the intention of two CRs. Instead of “and mpe-ProhibitTimer expires or has expired”, “mpe-ProhibitTimer is not running” is more preferred. Samsung’s suggestion seems more readable but don’t have strong view as previously we discussed to merge them and there was no consensus. But indeed, the current structure is not so clean.  Regarding removing phr-ProhibitTimer or phr-PeriodicTimer, we are not sure why any of them should be removed. Definitely, phr-ProhibitTimer should be kept. Phr-PeriodicTimer is also restarted if we assume the same behaivor as in LTE PHR reporting i.e. periodic timer is reset when the UE actually sends PHR MAC CE. |
| Nokia | Yes | [Proponent] MPE-prohibit timer is to control the MPE reporting interval and should be applicable for both the absolutive threshold and the relative threshold based MPE trigger but current specification description did not take this timer into account. |

**Summary 2**: TBD.

**Proposal 2**: TBD.

# Conclusion

To be filled.
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