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# Introduction

This document provides the summary report of the following discussion:

* [AT112-e][042][IIOT] MAC II (Samsung)

 Scope: Treat tdocs, R2-2009599, R2-2009752, R2-2010525,R2-2009048, R2-2009372, R2-2010052,

 Intended outcome: Intermediate: Determine agreeable parts. Final: For agreeable parts, agreed CRs.

 Deadline: Intermediate deadline(s) by Rapporteur, Final: Thu Nov 12, 1200 UTC

Note that the rapporteur would like to postpone R2-2010525, because this issue is already under discussion in RAN1 and a pre-requisite UL skipping issue is under discussion in RAN2.

**< Contact information >**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Name** | **Email** |
| Samsung | Sangkyu Baek | sangkyu.baek@samsung.com |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

# Discussion

## 2.1 Determination of Priority

|  |
| --- |
| [R2-2009599](file:///D%3A%5CDocuments%5C3GPP%5Ctsg_ran%5CWG2%5CTSGR2_112-e%5CDocs%5CR2-2009599.zip) Priority of Uplink Grant Samsung, Ericsson discussion Rel-16 NR\_IIOT-Core[R2-2009752](file:///D%3A%5CDocuments%5C3GPP%5Ctsg_ran%5CWG2%5CTSGR2_112-e%5CDocs%5CR2-2009752.zip) Clarification of Grant Priority Determination Nokia, Nokia Shanghai Bell CR Rel-16 38.321 16.2.1 0939 - F NR\_IIOT-Core |

In the current MAC specification, the priority value of a MAC PDU could be determined based on the highest LCH priority of data that are multiplexed in the MAC PDU, or the highest LCH priority of data that can be multiplexed in the MAC PDU. This should depend on whether this MAC PDU to transmit is already generated and stored in the HARQ buffer. But the current text may not be so clear from the implementation perspective and the direct interpretation could be the choice is up to UE implementation. One misinterpretation can be that the grant priority is determined by the OLD data stored in the buffer. However, it is not the intended behaviour. Samsung/Ericsson (R2-2009599) and Nokia (2009752) proposed to clarify this, as follows:

|  |
| --- |
| R2-2009599For the MAC entity configured with *lch-basedPrioritization*, priority of an uplink grant is determined by the highest priority among priorities of the logical channels that are multiplexed (i.e., the MAC PDU to transmit is already stored in the HARQ buffer of the uplink grant) or among priorities of the logical channels with data available that can be multiplexed in the MAC PDU, according to the mapping restrictions as described in clause 5.4.3.1.2 (i.e., the MAC PDU to transmit is not stored in the HARQ buffer of the uplink grant). The priority of an uplink grant for which no data for logical channels is multiplexed or can be multiplexed in the MAC PDU is lower than either the priority of an uplink grant for which data for any logical channels is multiplexed or can be multiplexed in the MAC PDU or the priority of the logical channel triggering an SR. |
| R2-2009752For the MAC entity configured with *lch-basedPrioritization*, priority of an uplink grant is determined by the highest priority among priorities of the logical channels that are multiplexed in the MAC PDU if it is already generated or the highest priority among priorities of the logical channels with data available that can be multiplexed in the MAC PDU if it is not yet generated, according to the mapping restrictions as described in clause 5.4.3.1.2. The priority of an uplink grant for which no data for logical channels is multiplexed or can be multiplexed in the MAC PDU is lower than either the priority of an uplink grant for which data for any logical channels is multiplexed or can be multiplexed in the MAC PDU or the priority of the logical channel triggering an SR. |

**Q1a) Do companies agree the intention of R2-2009599 and R2-2009752?**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Yes/No** | **Comment** |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

**Q1b) If your answer to Q1a is yes, please provide your preference on TP:**

1. **R2-2009599**
2. **R2-2009752**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Company** | **A/B** | **Comment (or alternative TP)** |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

## 2.2 Clarification on UL Grant Address to Temporary C-RNTI

|  |
| --- |
| [R2-2009372](file:///D%3A%5CDocuments%5C3GPP%5Ctsg_ran%5CWG2%5CTSGR2_112-e%5CDocs%5CR2-2009372.zip) Correction on resource overlapping with grants addressed to T-C-RNTI Huawei, HiSilicon CR Rel-16 38.321 16.2.1 0927 - F NR\_IIOT-Core |

In the current MAC spec, collision handling where a SR/CG overlaps with an uplink grant addressed to temporary C-RNTI is not clear (or not reflected). In R2-2009372, it is pointed out that priority comparison between a SR/CG and an uplink grant addressed to temporary C-RNTI may not be possible. Huawei proposed to add “uplink grant addressed to temporary C-RNTI” to the condition of possible collisions, as follows:

|  |
| --- |
| 5.4.1 UL Grant reception…For each Serving Cell and each configured uplink grant, if configured and activated, the MAC entity shall:1> if the MAC entity is configured with *lch-basedPrioritization*, and the PUSCH duration of the configured uplink grant does not overlap with the PUSCH duration of an uplink grant received in a Random Access Response (or addressed to Temporary C-RNTI) for this Serving Cell or with the PUSCH duration of a MSGA payload; or1> if the PUSCH duration of the configured uplink grant does not overlap with the PUSCH duration of an uplink grant received on the PDCCH or in a Random Access Response for this Serving Cell or with the PUSCH duration of a MSGA payload:2> set the HARQ Process ID to the HARQ Process ID associated with this PUSCH duration;2> if, for the corresponding HARQ process, the *configuredGrantTimer* is not running and *cg-RetransmissionTimer* is not configured (i.e. new transmission):3> consider the NDI bit for the corresponding HARQ process to have been toggled;3> deliver the configured uplink grant and the associated HARQ information to the HARQ entity.5.4.2.1 HARQ Entity…2> else (i.e. retransmission):3> if the uplink grant received on PDCCH was addressed to CS-RNTI and if the HARQ buffer of the identified process is empty; or3> if the uplink grant is part of a bundle and if no MAC PDU has been obtained for this bundle; or3> if the uplink grant is part of a bundle of the configured uplink grant, and the PUSCH duration of the uplink grant overlaps with a PUSCH duration of another uplink grant received on the PDCCH or an uplink grant received in a Random Access Response (i.e. MAC RAR or fallbackRAR), or an uplink grant addressed to Temporary C-RNTI, or an uplink grant determined as specified in clause 5.1.2a for MSGA payload for this Serving Cell; or:3> if the MAC entity is configured with *lch-basedPrioritization* and this uplink grant is not a prioritized uplink grant:4> ignore the uplink grant.5.4.4 Scheduling Request…1> else, for the SR configuration corresponding to the pending SR:2> when the MAC entity has an SR transmission occasion on the valid PUCCH resource for SR configured; and2> if *sr-ProhibitTimer* is not running at the time of the SR transmission occasion; and2> if the PUCCH resource for the SR transmission occasion does not overlap with a measurement gap:3> if the PUCCH resource for the SR transmission occasion overlaps with neither a UL-SCH resource nor an SL-SCH resource; or3> if the MAC entity is able to perform this SR transmission simultaneously with the transmission of the SL-SCH resource; or3> if the MAC entity is configured with *lch-basedPrioritization*, and the PUCCH resource for the SR transmission occasion does not overlap with an uplink grant received in a Random Access Response (or addressed to Temporary C-RNTI) nor with the PUSCH duration of a MSGA payload, and the PUCCH resource for the SR transmission occasion for the pending SR triggered as specfied in clause 5.4.5 overlaps with any other UL-SCH resource(s), and the physical layer can signal the SR on one valid PUCCH resource for SR, and the priority of the logical channel that triggered SR is higher than the priority of the uplink grant(s) for any UL-SCH resource(s) where the uplink grant was not already de-prioritized, and the priority of the uplink grant is determined as specified in clause 5.4.1; or |

**Q2) Do companies agree with this change?**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Yes/No** | **Comment (or alternative TP)** |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

## 2.3 Ignored Uplink Grant Scheduled with TC-RNTI

|  |
| --- |
| [R2-2009048](file:///D%3A%5CDocuments%5C3GPP%5Ctsg_ran%5CWG2%5CTSGR2_112-e%5CDocs%5CR2-2009048.zip) CR on 38.321 for the UL transmission scheduled with TC-RNTI ZTE Corporation, Sanechips CR Rel-16 38.321 16.2.1 0906 - F NR\_IIOT-Core |

An uplink grant addressed to TC-RNTI is not determined as either prioritized or de-prioritized. This means “uplink grant is not prioritized” would include “uplink grant addressed to TC-RNTI”. In R2-2009048, it is pointed out that uplink grant addressed to temporary C-RNTI is always ignored. ZTE proposed to change “not a prioritized uplink grant” to “a de-prioritized uplink grant”

|  |
| --- |
| 2> else (i.e. retransmission):3> if the uplink grant received on PDCCH was addressed to CS-RNTI and if the HARQ buffer of the identified process is empty; or3> if the uplink grant is part of a bundle and if no MAC PDU has been obtained for this bundle; or3> if the uplink grant is part of a bundle of the configured uplink grant, and the PUSCH duration of the uplink grant overlaps with a PUSCH duration of another uplink grant received on the PDCCH or an uplink grant received in a Random Access Response (i.e. MAC RAR or fallbackRAR) or an uplink grant determined as specified in clause 5.1.2a for MSGA payload for this Serving Cell; or:3> if the MAC entity is configured with *lch-basedPrioritization* and this uplink grant is a depioritized uplink grant:4> ignore the uplink grant.3> else:4> deliver the uplink grant and the HARQ information (redundancy version) of the TB to the identified HARQ process;4> instruct the identified HARQ process to trigger a retransmission;… |

**Q3) Do companies agree with this change?**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Yes/No** | **Comment (or alternative TP)** |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

## 2.4 SPS HPI Calculation

|  |
| --- |
| [R2-2010052](file:///D%3A%5CDocuments%5C3GPP%5Ctsg_ran%5CWG2%5CTSGR2_112-e%5CDocs%5CR2-2010052.zip) Correction for SPS HARQ process ID calculation Ericsson CR Rel-16 38.321 16.2.1 0957 - F NR\_IIOT-Core |

NOTE 1 in 5.3.1 applies for DL SPS HPI calculation irrespective of *harq-ProcID-Offset*. But NOTE 1 is written after the rule without *harq-ProcID-Offset*, which may misunderstand NOTE 1 applies only for the case without *harq-ProcID-Offset*. Ericsson proposed to move this note down to before NOTE 2, as follows:

|  |
| --- |
| For configured downlink assignments without *harq-ProcID-Offset*, the HARQ Process ID associated with the slot where the DL transmission starts is derived from the following equation:HARQ Process ID = [floor (CURRENT\_slot × 10 / (*numberOfSlotsPerFrame* × *periodicity*))] modulo *nrofHARQ-Processes*where CURRENT\_slot = [(SFN × *numberOfSlotsPerFrame*) + slot number in the frame] and *numberOfSlotsPerFrame* refers to the number of consecutive slots per frame as specified in TS 38.211 [8].For configured downlink assignments with *harq-ProcID-Offset*, the HARQ Process ID associated with the slot where the DL transmission starts is derived from the following equation:HARQ Process ID = [floor (CURRENT\_slot × 10 / (*numberOfSlotsPerFrame* × *periodicity*))] modulo *nrofHARQ-Processes* + *harq-ProcID-Offset*where CURRENT\_slot = [(SFN × *numberOfSlotsPerFrame*) + slot number in the frame] and *numberOfSlotsPerFrame* refers to the number of consecutive slots per frame as specified in TS 38.211 [8].NOTE 1: In case of unaligned SFN across carriers in a cell group, the SFN of the concerned Serving Cell is used to calculate the HARQ Process ID used for configured downlink assignments.NOTE 2: CURRENT\_slot refers to the slot index of the first transmission occasion of a bundle of configured downlink assignment. |

**Q4) Do companies agree with this change?**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Yes/No** | **Comment (or alternative TP)** |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

# Conclusion