3GPP TSG-RAN WG2 #111-e R2-20xxxxx

Electronic Meeting, 2 – 13 Nov 2020

Agenda Item: 5.4.1

Source: Ericsson

Title: [AT112-e][014][NR15] RRC Misc

Document for: Discussion, Decision

# 1 Introduction

This document is to capture companies’ comments the following email discussion:

* **[AT112-e][014][NR16] RRC general (Ericsson)**

 Scope:

 Intended outcome:

 Deadline:

Companies are asked to provide their comments at the latest Tue 13.00 UTC.

# 2 Discussion

Companies are requested to add their comments for each of the treated CRs of this email discussion in the boxes below (one for each CR to be treated).

### 2.1 Miscellaneous non-controversial corrections Set VIII

[R2-2009841](file:///D%3A%5CDocuments%5C3GPP%5Ctsg_ran%5CWG2%5CTSGR2_112-e%5CDocs%5CR2-2009841.zip) Miscellaneous non-controversial corrections Set VIII Ericsson CR Rel-16 38.331 16.2.0 2134 - F NR\_newRAT-Core, TEI16

- Ericsson indicate that there were a cpl of offline comments

- Chair: no on-line comments, treat in [014]

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Company | Agree?(Yes or No) | Comments |
| MediaTek | Yes | Just same comment as R15 misc CRIn 5.3.10.3, remove duplicate “previous” in “previous previous UEAssistanceInformation”In field description of *supplementaryUplink*, it seems overlap with R2-2009699. We should discuss this in R2-2009699. |
| Nokia | Yes | Looks okay in general, MediaTek comment is good for us also. |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

# 3. Conclusion

In the previous sections we made the following observations:

Based on the discussion in the previous sections we propose the following:
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