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# 1 Introduction

This contribution summarizes the following discussion:

* [AT112-e][011][NR15] UE caps I (Ericsson)

Treat R2-2010512, R2-2010513, R2-2010238, R2-2009630, R2-2010567, R2-2010568, R2-2010539, R2-2010538, R2-2010517 - R2-2010520, R2-2010084

 Intended outcome: Intermediate: Determine agreeable parts. Final: For agreeable parts, agreed CRs.

 Deadline: Intermediate deadline(s) by Rapporteur, Final: Discussion stop at Wed Nov 11, 1200 UTC

# 2 Discussion

## 2.1 Part 1: Intended to determine agreeable parts

The proposals listed in this subsection 2.1 are merely extracted from discussion TDocs to facilitate the discussion and follow the numbering of the corresponding TDoc from which they were extracted (i.e. they do not represent actual proposals from this TDoc, which should be listed in subsection 2.2).

### 2.1.1 Band list for redirection and measurement configuration

In [1], the following proposals are made:

**Proposal 1: Ran2 to discuss whether the UE can report the single CC capability for the band that included in the*supportedBandListNR* but outside of the *frequencyBandListFilter.***

**Proposal 2: If the reporting on the Bands outside of the *frequencyBandListFilter* is not allowed by the legacy gNB, this optimization can be introduced from the Rel-16.**

**Q1 Do companies agree to introduce the functionalitly described in Proposal 1 above? If yes, please clarify if this should be introduced for both Rel-15 and Rel-16 or only for Rel-16 (Proposal 2). Companies are also invited to provide their views on the CRs related to this discussion, which are provided in [2] and [3]**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Yes/No** | **Comments** |
| Qualcomm Incorporated | No | This is non-backward compatible change, and we should stick to the current principle, i.e. the network should be including the NR SA bands in the UE capability filter. We should not change the behaviour for release-16 as well because the network does not even know the UE release at the time it puts together the UE capability filter. |
| Ericsson  | No | Agree with Qualcomm. |
| Apple | No | Agree with Qualcomm and Ericsson. NW should be able to provide the NR SA bands as well. We already have priority in the NW requested filter and these can be of lower priority. |
| MediaTek | No | For Rel-15, it is clear NBC change and not accetable to us.For Rel-16, we don’t see the need to have the CR either. We don’t understand why NW want to handover to band but does not want to set the *frequencyBandListFilter* to include that band. It also preferable to have same behavior as in Rel-15. |
|  |  |  |

If the proposals above cannot be agreed, [1] further discusses other ways forward, which seem to be in line with the proposals discussed in [4], hence the proposals in the latter TDoc are captured below:

**Proposal 1: The network can configure the band that included in *supportedBandListNR* (no matter if such band is included in the *supportedBandCombinationList* of the *RF-Parameters* and/or *RF-ParametersMRDC*) as a redirection target band.**

**Proposal 2: The network can configure the band that included in *supportedBandListNR* (no matter if such band is included in the *supportedBandCombinationList* of the *RF-Parameters* and/or *RF-ParametersMRDC*) as a measurement object.**

**Q2 Do companies agree with the Proposal 1 and 2 above? If yes: please clarify whether you agree with both proposals, only Proposal 1, or only Proposal 2. Companies are also invited to provide their views on the CRs related to this discussion, which are provided in [5] and [6].**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Yes/No** | **Comments** |
| Qualcomm Incorporated | P1: NoP2: Yes | P1: If a band is not included in supportedBandCombinationList of RF-Parameters and is included in supportedBandCombinationList of RF-ParametersMRDC , it means that the UE supports the NR band only in EN-DC setting. It is not clear what the network expects the UE to do when the UE is redirected to such band. We think the UE behaviour is unspecified in this case. |
| Ericsson | P1: Yes, see comment.P2: Yes | P1:The UE shall accept the Release-message. The UE will not trigger some error.In case the UE is directed to a frequency which the UE cannot support a PCell on, the UE will not go there. This is like when the system information points the UE to a frequency which the UE does not support to have a PCell on. The UE will accept the system information, but will not go there.Of course there is no guarantee to the network that, in this situation, the UE will end up on the indicated frequency, but again, the UE will accept the release-message. |
| Apple | P1:noP2: Ok | Same views as Qualcomm on the redirection for EN-DC only support. |
| MediaTek | Yes | We are ok with with both proposal 1 and proposal 2 in R2-2010238. The CR from [5] and [6] is also fine. However, we don’t really know while CR from [5] and [6] is linked to P1 and P2. It seems not directly related.  |
|  |  |  |

### 2.1.2 Feature sets and fallback concept

The CRs in [7],[8],[9] and [10] intend to remove the contradiction between 38.331 and 38.306 regarding Featurese Set per CC description by removing from 38.331 the description of the restrictions and rules for FSpUCC/FSpDCC and instead capturing these only in 38.306.

**Q3 Do companies agree with the intention of the CRs above?**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Yes/No** | **Comments** |
| Qualcomm Incorporated | Yes |  |
| Ericsson | Yes (Proponent) |  |
| Apple | Ok |  |
| MediaTek | Yes |  |
|  |  |  |

The CRs in [11] and [12] intend to correct the definition of fallback per CC feature set, where there are parameters that are part of the fallback per CC feature set but are not captured in the current definition.

**Q4 Do companies agree with the intention of the CRs above?**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Yes/No** | **Comments** |
| Qualcomm Incorporated | Yes |  |
| Ericsson | Yes (Proponent) |  |
| Apple | No | While we agree with the MCS part, there are other parameters that were (getting) added through R16 and so generalization is dangerous. We prefer to add MCS explicitly if needed, as this was the agreement in Rel-15 for fallback. |
| MediaTek | Yes |  |
|  |  |  |

### 2.1.3 Inter-node coordination

The discussion in [13] wants to confirm whether the UE capability coordination accounts for the behaviour captured in the proposal below:

**Proposal: RAN2 is requested to confirm that according to current standards MN can include a fallback BC not explicitly signalled within the UE MRDC capabilities (i.e. by setting bandCombinationIndex to a superset BC reported by the UE and by signalling value 0 for some bands indicated by allowedFeatureSetsList)**

**Q5 Do companies agree with the Proposal above?**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Yes/No** | **Comments** |
| Ericsson | No | The allowedFeatureSetsList cannot be used to preclude the use of specific bands in a band combination. If we look at the figure in R2-2009308, for instance, the allowedFeatureSetsList actually indicates a row of feature sets across the UE supported bands. Hence, this field can only indicate (for a given band combination) which rows the SN is allowed to take into consideration to generate the SCG configuration, but it cannot preclude a particular column in the Feature Set Combination of a band combination. It could be that the UE have reported fallback band combinations within a Feature Set Combination of a super-set band combination, i.e. some feature set downlinks are set as 0 in some of the rows. Hence, if the MN limits the allowedFeatureSetsList to those rows where feature set downlink ID 0s are present, it could be able to indicate a fallback band combination to the SN, but this would depend on what the UE reports and could not be enforced/guaranteed. |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

## 2.2 Part 2: Intended to progress discussion on agreeable parts

- To be updated after discussion on part 1 -

# 3 Conclusion

- To be updated after discussion on part 1 -
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