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# 1 Background

A Release 17 study item “Study on enhancement of RAN Slicing” was approved in RAN#86. The following are the objectives of this work item:

|  |
| --- |
| The study item aims to investigate enhancement on RAN support of network slicing. Detailed objectives of the study item are:   1. Study mechanisms to enable UE fast access to the cell supporting the intended slice, including [RAN2] 2. Slice based cell reselection under network control 3. Slice based RACH configuration or access barring   Note: whether the existing mechanism can meet this scenario or requirement can be studied.   1. Study necessity and mechanisms to support service continuity, including [RAN3] 2. For intra-RAT handover service interruption, e.g. target gNB doesn’t support the UE’s ongoing slice, study slice re-mapping, fallback, and data forwarding procedures. Coordination with SA2 is needed.   Note: This study item should take SA2 output on slicing enhancement into consideration if RAN impacts are identified.  Note: The use of RAN slicing in given cells shall not prevent from accessibility for Rel-15 and Rel-16 UEs. |

In RAN2#111-e meeting, the following agreements are achieved during the online session:

|  |
| --- |
| => RAN2 can discuss the scenarios and requirements from a RAN2 perspective and then inform SA2 and RAN3  => TA discussion will not take place in RAN2, we will wait for SA2 input   1. Scenarios for now to be studied by RAN2:  * Multiple and different slices can be supported on different frequencies * Multiple and different slices can be supported in the same frequency layer in different regions.   2 For each scenario we study both IDLE and INACTIVE and determine whether there is need for a solution and possible solutions. Connected mode will also be considered but with a lower priority.  3 RAN2 will study both cell selection and cell re-selection  => Identify the problem with existing mechanisms with dedicated priority and study if some enhancements are needed  => RAN2 will study slice-based RACH resources/configuration and RACH parameters prioritization *to enable UE’s fast access for the intended slice.*  => Get input during email discussion on valid use cases |

**Email content to be finalized and discussion kicked off only after the online session on Aug 24th, potential scope below.**

**[AT111-e][213][RAN slicing] Use cases and deployment scenarios (CMCC)**

Scope:

* + - Discuss use cases and deployment scenarios based on online decisions.
    - Capture agreements from this meeting in a TP to the TR

Intended outcome:

* + - Discussion summary in [R2-2008143](file:///C:\Users\panidx\Documents\RAN2_111-e\Docs\R2-2008143.zip) (by email rapporteur), including TP for the TR.

Deadline for providing comments, for rapporteur inputs, conclusions and CR finalization:

* + - Deadline for companies' feedback: Wednesday 2020-08-26 12:00 UTC
    - Deadline for rapporteur's summary (in [R2-2008143](file:///C:\Users\panidx\Documents\RAN2_111-e\Docs\R2-2008143.zip)): Thursday 2020-08-27 12:00 UTC

# 2 Discussion

## 2.1 Capture the RAN2 agreements into TP

### 2.1.1 About the use cases and deployment scenarios

For the scenario, RAN2 has made the following agreements, which need to be captured into the TR 38.832:

**Agreements*:***

1. Scenarios for now to be studied by RAN2:

* Multiple and different slices can be supported on different frequencies
* Multiple and different slices can be supported in the same frequency layer in different regions.

From the rapporteur’s point of view, the scenarios in the following contributions are aligned with the RAN2 agreements, so it is suggested to discuss on the scenario descriptions based on these contributions.

[R2-2007716](file:///C:\Users\panidx\Documents\RAN2_111-e\Docs\R2-2007716.zip) Scenarios and requirements for RAN slicing SoftBank Corp. discussion Rel-17 FS\_NR\_slice

[R2-2007421](file:///C:\Users\panidx\Documents\RAN2_111-e\Docs\R2-2007421.zip) Discussion on support of RAN slicing CMCC discussion Rel-17 FS\_NR\_slice

[R2-2006707](file:///C:\Users\panidx\Documents\RAN2_111-e\Docs\R2-2006707.zip) Considerations on slice aware cell selection KDDI Corporation discussion

[R2-2008071](file:///C:\Users\panidx\Documents\RAN2_111-e\Docs\R2-2008071.zip) Considerations scenarios on enhancing the RAN support of network slicing China Unicom discussion Rel-17 FS\_NR\_slice

Based on the above contributions from operators, the rapporteur is to implement the draft TP for the scenario as follows.

//Start of the TP//

5.1 Slice based cell reselection under network control

5.1.1 Scenario and issue description

*Editor Note: capture the description of scenario and issue.*



Figure 1. Scenario for slice deployment

**General description for the scenario:**

**• Multiple and different slices can be supported on different frequencies**

**• Multiple and different slices can be supported in the same frequency layer in different regions.**

As shown in figure 1, eMBB service (slice 1) is supported in both 2.6GHz and 4.9GHz everywhere, since the frequency resources are so valuable and the top requirement for all operators’ 5G network is to serve millions or billions of smart phone users. URLLC service (slice 2) is supported only in 4.9GHz in some area, e.g. factory or hospital.

Area 1 is deployed in the factory or hospital. In this area, 2.6GHz supporting eMBB, 4.9GHz supporting both eMBB and URLLC.

Area 2 is the public area. 2.6GHz and 4.9GHz all supporting eMBB for smart phone users, no URLLC is supported in area 2. And 4.9GHz is deployed as hotspot to provide wideband access.

Here, eMBB and URLLC slices are used only as an example of various slices. The deployment of any slice on any frequency band is up to network implementation.

//End of the TP//

**Question 1: For scenario descriptions, do you agree to capture the above TP into the draft TR 38.832?**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Yes/No** | **Comments** |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

### 2.1.2 About others

For RAN2 agreements other than the use cases and deployment scenario, we have the following considerations.

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **RAN2 agreements** | **The rapporteur’s considerations** |
| 1. RAN2 can discuss the scenarios and requirements from a RAN2 perspective and then inform SA2 and RAN3 | No need to capture it in the TR. |
| 1. TA discussion will not take place in RAN2, we will wait for SA2 input | No need to capture it in the TR. |
| 1. For each scenario we study both IDLE and INACTIVE and determine whether there is need for a solution and possible solutions. Connected mode will also be considered but with a lower priority. | Suggest to capture it in the TR. |
| 1. RAN2 will study both cell selection and cell re-selection | No need to capture it in the TR. |
| 1. Identify the problem with existing mechanisms with dedicated priority and study if some enhancements are needed | Suggest to capture it in the TR. |
| 1. RAN2 will study slice-based RACH resources/configuration and RACH parameters prioritization *to enable UE’s fast access for the intended slice.* | Suggest to capture it in the TR. |
| 1. Get input during email discussion on valid use cases | No need to capture it in the TR. |

**Question 2: For the above considerations from the rapporteur, what is your opinion?**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Yes/No** | **Comments** |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

## 2.2 Scope for the long-term email discussion

In the session minutes, a long-term email is also mentioned. From the rapporteur’s point of view, the email is needed as it can help a lot on RAN slicing discussions.

Post-meeting email discussion

* TBD if this is needed - Email content to be announced during the CB session on Friday, Aug 28th, potential scope below
* [Post111-e#xx][NR][RAN slicing] TBD: Progressing RAN slicing SI (CMCC)

Scope: Based on online agreements (TBD if needed)

Intended outcome: Email discussion summary + TP

Deadline: Long

The rapporteur suggests to discuss the scope of the long-term email in this email discussion. Based on the contributions on RAN slicing in this RAN2 meeting, the rapporteur has summarized the following important questions because they are mentioned in quite a lot of contributions. And then companies check these questions, e.g. whether a topic is suitable to be discussed in the long-term email.

* Q1: What is the issue that RAN2 needs to study in this SI for the agreed scenario?
* Q2: What are the candidate solutions to address the above issues?
* Q3: Whether the R15 dedicated priority mechanism can solve the above issues?
* Q4: What are the use cases or intentions for studying slice-based RACH configuration or RACH parameters prioritization?

**Question 3: For the above 4 questions, do you support to discuss them in the long term email discussion (i.e. from the end of this RAN2 meeting until the next RAN2 meeting)? If there is another question, please also indicate it below and it should be straightforward and reasonable.**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Which question do you support to discuss?** | **Comments** |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

# 3 Summary