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# 1 Background

**This is the Report for the following email discussion.**

* [AT110-e][035][TEI16] New Proposals (R2 Chairman)

Scope: Treat R2-2005159, R2-2005175, R2-2004535, R2-2004536, R2-2004537, R2-2004538, R2-2004539, R2-2005121, R2-2005184, R2-2004618, R2-2004863, R2-2005662, R2-2004601 (proponents are responsible to explain and drive)

Part 1: Identify agreeable changes. Deadline: June 5, 0700 UTC.

Part 2: For agreeable parts, continuation to agree CRs (may split the email discussion). Deadline: EOM

**Chairman’s overall assessment:**

* Background: NR TEI16 is a fairly large WI in R2, especially since TEI work in other groups also impact R2. Nevertheless given the nature of R15 it is natural that a significant number of small complementary fixes would be needed/desired on top of R15, some of which do not fit naturally in any other R16 WI, so this has been allowed. R2 110-e is the last point in time to look at any new TEI16 proposal that goes beyond bug-fixing (or do not stem from important operator issues).
* In order to agree a new proposal:
  + New proposal shall be small, simple and not generate much additional discussion. It should nominally be possible to finish the CR in this meeting (1Q), and realistically MUST be possible to finish with high quality in Q3. (*Note that for TEI16 in R2, also for simple proposals, frequently companies has requested more time to think about details. Such additional time have so far been granted to have better quality and wider involvement, even if it has meant a general divergence from the 1Q-rule for TEI proposals. Now there isn’t much time in R16 any longer*).
  + The new proposal shall pass the usual pain-gain analysis, i.e. it need to have significant support, usefulness, and limited drawbacks.
* With this in mind we can take a last look at TEI16 proposals. The following proposals has been included: Proposals that has been breifly discussed before but not yet agreed and non-discussed new proposals with >= 4 supporting companies.

# 2 Proposals and Discussion

Missing reportAddNeighMeas

Treated by email [035]

[R2-2005159](file:///D:/Documents/3GPP/tsg_ran/WG2/RAN2/2005_R2_110-e/Docs/R2-2005159.zip) Missing reportAddNeighMeas in periodic measurement reporting Nokia, Nokia Shanghai Bell, Ericsson, NTT DOCOMO CR Rel-16 38.331 16.0.0 1290 3 F TEI16 R2-2003109

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Company | Comment (support/other-opinion/not acceptable), reasons |
| Ericsson | As one of the proponent companies, we agree with the CR. Our understanding is that this was a mismatch between the procedural text (that is already supporting this) and the ASN.1, where the field was missing. |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |

Inter Node Request of measurement identities

Treated by email [035]

[R2-2005175](file:///D:\Documents\3GPP\tsg_ran\WG2\TSGR2_110-e\Docs\R2-2005175.zip) Introduction of SN request of measurement identities in INM Ericsson, NEC, ZTE Corporation, Sanechips, Vivo, Softbank, Turkcell, Deutsche Telekom, NTT DOCOMO INC., China Unicom, Qualcomm Incorporated, InterDigital discussion Rel-16 TEI16

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Company | Comment (support/other-opinion/not acceptable, reasons |
| Ericsson | As on oft he proposent companies, we agree on this. As a background, we already submitted the same contribution for Rel-15, and even if companies acknowledged that what we propose it has some benefits, they thought that this change was too late to be done for Rel-15.  Regarding the two issues mentioned in the paper, we think that it should be straightforward for the SN to release the measIDs to comply with the new limit, but since we agreed on this new signaling only in April we forgot to clarify all the missing aspects.  For the SN requesting a new measID limit to the MN, we believe that this it may be useful in efficiently managing the meanID space (that is common between the MN and SN) by avoiding that 1) no measID are wasted, 2) the SN have the chance to ask more measID if needed. The problem we see with the MN-initiated control of the measIDs is that is quite difficult for the MN to guess what a reasonable number of measID for the SN could be. Given that such limitations are send by the MN during the SN addition, there is still not a clear view on what is the situation at the SN. According to this, we would like to apply the same principle we have for the power sharing (on FR1 and FR2) and band combination in the inter-node message.  Regarding the complexity of the solution we want to propose, there is no RAN3 impact and I would say that no major impact on RAN2, apart adding two new fields in the CG-Config. Once we have done that, normal MR-DC procedures described in 37.340 are followed and there is no change at all in those. Therefore, the DC operations on the MN and SN will continue as they do nowadays, with the difference that the SN may ask for additional measurements when the SN addition/modification are triggered.  To help companies understand what ist he specification impact related to our proposal, we have uploaded tot he draft folder two CRs that show the needed changes. |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |

Simultaneous NR Unicast and LTE MBMS

Treated by email [035]

[R2-2004535](file:///D:\Documents\3GPP\tsg_ran\WG2\TSGR2_110-e\Docs\R2-2004535.zip) Mechanisms to enable simultaneous operation of NR Unicast + LTE MBMS Qualcomm Incorporated, FirstNet, AT&T, Telstra, Academy of Broadcasting Science, Shanghai Jiao Tong University, British Broadcasting Corporation, European Broadcasting Union, Institut für Rundfunktechnik discussion Rel-16 TEI16

[R2-2004536](file:///D:\Documents\3GPP\tsg_ran\WG2\TSGR2_110-e\Docs\R2-2004536.zip) Introduction of simultaneous operation of NR Unicast + LTE MBMS Qualcomm Incorporated, FirstNet, AT&T, Telstra, Academy of Broadcasting Science, Shanghai Jiao Tong University, British Broadcasting Corporation, European Broadcasting Union, Institut für Rundfunktechnik CR Rel-16 38.300 16.1.0 0228 - B TEI16

[R2-2004537](file:///D:\Documents\3GPP\tsg_ran\WG2\TSGR2_110-e\Docs\R2-2004537.zip) Introduction of simultaneous operation of NR Unicast + LTE MBMS Qualcomm Incorporated, FirstNet, AT&T, Telstra, Academy of Broadcasting Science, Shanghai Jiao Tong University, British Broadcasting Corporation, European Broadcasting Union, Institut für Rundfunktechnik CR Rel-16 38.304 16.0.0 0159 - B TEI16

[R2-2004538](file:///D:\Documents\3GPP\tsg_ran\WG2\TSGR2_110-e\Docs\R2-2004538.zip) Introduction of simultaneous operation of NR Unicast + LTE MBMS Qualcomm Incorporated, FirstNet, AT&T, Telstra, Academy of Broadcasting Science, Shanghai Jiao Tong University, British Broadcasting Corporation, European Broadcasting Union, Institut für Rundfunktechnik CR Rel-16 38.306 16.0.0 0310 - B TEI16

[R2-2004539](file:///D:\Documents\3GPP\tsg_ran\WG2\TSGR2_110-e\Docs\R2-2004539.zip) Introduction of simultaneous operation of NR Unicast + LTE MBMS Qualcomm Incorporated, FirstNet, AT&T, Telstra, Academy of Broadcasting Science, Shanghai Jiao Tong University, British Broadcasting Corporation, European Broadcasting Union, Institut für Rundfunktechnik CR Rel-16 38.331 16.0.0 1611 - B TEI16

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Company | Comment (support/other-opinion/not acceptable, reasons |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |

FreqBandIndicator in NR redirection

Treated by email [035]

[R2-2005121](file:///D:\Documents\3GPP\tsg_ran\WG2\TSGR2_110-e\Docs\R2-2005121.zip) CR to 38.331 on missing freqBandIndicator in NR redirection Qualcomm Incorporated, Ericsson, MediaTek Inc., ZTE Corporation, Sanechips, Apple, Intel, OPPO draftCR Rel-16 38.331 16.0.0 F TEI16

[R2-2005184](file:///D:\Documents\3GPP\tsg_ran\WG2\TSGR2_110-e\Docs\R2-2005184.zip) CR to 36.331 on missing freqBandIndicator in NR redirection Qualcomm Incorporated, Ericsson, MediaTek Inc., ZTE Corporation, Sanechips, Apple, Intel, OPPO draftCR Rel-16 36.331 16.0.0 F TEI16

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Company | Comment (support/other-opinion/not acceptable, reasons |
| Ericsson | As one oft he proponent companies, we agree on this CRs. |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |

Reestablishment

Treated by email [035]

[R2-2004618](file:///D:\Documents\3GPP\tsg_ran\WG2\TSGR2_110-e\Docs\R2-2004618.zip) Updates to reestablishment procedure ZTE Corporation, Sanechips, Intel Corporation, CATT, Mediatek CR Rel-16 38.331 16.0.0 1143 6 C TEI16 R2-2002970

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Company | Comment (support/other-opinion/not acceptable, reasons |
| Ericsson | We disagree with the proposal. It is proposed to define a basic L1 configuration, but isn’t exactly what the UE does when it applies the following below?  5.3.7.3 Actions following cell selection while T311 is running  Upon selecting a suitable NR cell, the UE shall:  […]  1> apply the default L1 parameter values as specified in corresponding physical layer specifications except for the parameters for which values are provided in *SIB1*;  1> apply the default MAC Cell Group configuration as specified in 9.2.2;  1> apply the CCCH configuration as specified in 9.1.1.2;  1> apply the *timeAlignmentTimerCommon* included in *SIB1*;  1> initiate transmission of the *RRCReestablishmentRequest* message in accordance with 5.3.7.4;  […]  5.3.7.4 Actions related to transmission of *RRCReestablishmentRequest* message  The UE shall set the contents of *RRCReestablishmentRequest* message as follows:  […]  1> re-establish PDCP for SRB1;  1> re-establish RLC for SRB1;  1> apply the specified configuration defined in 9.2.1 for SRB1;  […]  We acknoledge that this it may be not as efficient as in LTE, but our understanding is that what is proposed it only happens in case the network does not multiplex the RRCReconfiguration with the RRCReestablishment. Therefore, network implementation may avoid the case pointed out in the CR. |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |

PDCP security issue

Treated by email [035]

[R2-2004863](file:///D:\Documents\3GPP\tsg_ran\WG2\TSGR2_110-e\Docs\R2-2004863.zip) CR on PDCP security issue about duplicate detection Samsung, LG Electronics Inc., Nokia, Nokia Shanghai Bell, LG Uplus, Deutsche Telekom, NTT DOCOMO, Intel, Huawei, HiSilicon CR Rel-16 38.323 16.0.0 0032 6 F TEI16 R2-2003825

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Company | Comment (support/other-opinion/not acceptable, reasons |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |

Retransmission of an RLC SDU with a poll after discard

[R2-2005662](file:///D:\Documents\3GPP\tsg_ran\WG2\TSGR2_110-e\Docs\R2-2005662.zip) Retransmission of an RLC SDU with a poll after discard procedure LG Electronics Inc., Ericsson, NTT Docomo, LG Uplus, Sharp discussion Rel-16 TEI16 R2-2002998

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Company | Comment (support/other-opinion/not acceptable, reasons |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |

CFRA resource handling for BFR upon TAT expiry

[R2-2004601](file:///D:\Documents\3GPP\tsg_ran\WG2\TSGR2_110-e\Docs\R2-2004601.zip) CFRA resource handling for BFR upon TAT expiry Nokia, Nokia Shanghai Bell, Apple, ASUSTek discussion Rel-16 TEI16

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Company | Comment (support/other-opinion/not acceptable, reasons |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |

Dynamic LCP mapping restrictions – not yet agreed

Treat on-line

[R2-2004512](file:///D:\Documents\3GPP\tsg_ran\WG2\TSGR2_110-e\Docs\R2-2004512.zip) Dynamic LCP Mapping Restrictions Nokia, Deutsche Telekom, Ericsson, Fujitsu, Nokia Shanghai Bell, NTT DOCOMO INC., T-Mobile CR Rel-16 38.300 16.1.0 0226 - B TEI16

[R2-2004514](file:///D:\Documents\3GPP\tsg_ran\WG2\TSGR2_110-e\Docs\R2-2004514.zip) Dynamic LCP Mapping Restrictions Nokia, Deutsche Telekom, Ericsson, Fujitsu, Nokia Shanghai Bell, NTT DOCOMO INC., T-Mobile CR Rel-16 38.321 16.0.0 0740 - B TEI16

[R2-2004515](file:///D:\Documents\3GPP\tsg_ran\WG2\TSGR2_110-e\Docs\R2-2004515.zip) Dynamic LCP Mapping Restrictions Nokia, Deutsche Telekom, Ericsson, Fujitsu, Nokia Shanghai Bell, NTT DOCOMO INC., T-Mobile CR Rel-16 38.331 16.0.0 1610 - B TEI16

[R2-2004519](file:///D:\Documents\3GPP\tsg_ran\WG2\TSGR2_110-e\Docs\R2-2004519.zip) Dynamic LCP Mapping Restrictions Nokia, Deutsche Telekom, Ericsson, Fujitsu, Nokia Shanghai Bell, NTT DOCOMO INC., T-Mobile CR Rel-16 38.306 16.0.0 0309 - B TEI16

[R2-2005663](file:///D:\Documents\3GPP\tsg_ran\WG2\TSGR2_110-e\Docs\R2-2005663.zip) Consideration on LCP mapping restrictions LG Electronics Inc. discussion Rel-16 TEI16

[R2-2004511](file:///D:\Documents\3GPP\tsg_ran\WG2\TSGR2_110-e\Docs\R2-2004511.zip) Offline 053 on LCP Mapping Restrictions Nokia (Rapporteur) discussion Rel-16 TEI16 R2-2004114

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Company | Comment (support/other-opinion/not acceptable, reasons |
| **Chairman** | **NOTE:** IF you commented last meeting you don’t need to comment in this table/email discussion. The Offline Summary from last meeting in R2-2004511 (above) will be taken into account and treated. Please do not repeat comments from last meeting, List here **only** delta comments or additional information. |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |

# 4 Proposals